



25 June 2026

DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND PLANNING DECISION MEETING

A Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning Decision Meeting will be held at the Council Chamber - Council Offices, Trinity Road, Cirencester, GL7 1PX on **Friday, 3 July 2026 at 2.30 pm.**

Recording of Proceedings – The law allows the public proceedings of Council, Cabinet, and Committee Meetings to be recorded, which includes filming as well as audio-recording. Photography is also permitted.

As a matter of courtesy, if you intend to record any part of the proceedings please let the Committee Administrator know prior to the date of the meeting.

AGENDA

1. **Cabinet Member Introductions**

To welcome the public and introduce all participants.

2. **Declarations of Interest**

To receive any declarations of interest made by the Cabinet member or any officer present.

3. **Officer overview of the Report** (Pages 3 - 266)

Officer to provide a brief summary of the report with key details relating to the decision, providing any updates that need to be considered by the Cabinet Member when taking the decision.

4. **Confirmation of Comments**

Confirmation of comments received by the officer or Democratic Services.

5. **Public Questions**

The Cabinet Member may allow members of the public present at the meeting to speak or make representations. However, all questions must relate directly to the decision being discussed. If a question is deemed irrelevant by the Cabinet Member the member of the public will be advised where to direct their question.

6. **Cabinet Member Questions**

The Cabinet Member may request clarification on the report and the proposed decision, including any alternative options for consideration.

7. **Decision**

The Cabinet Member will verbally confirm the decision, stating whether they:

- Accept the recommendations of the officer,
- Decide to take a different decision contrary to the officer's recommendation, or
- Decide to refer the decision to a meeting of Cabinet.

The Cabinet Member must inform the Democratic Services Officer of the reason for the decision, which will be officially recorded on the Decision Notice.



Council name	COTSWOLD DISTRICT COUNCIL
Name and date of Committee	CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND PLANNING DECISION MEETING, 3 JULY 2026
Subject	SIDDINGTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN (REG 15/16)
Wards affected	Siddington: Siddington & Cerney Rural
Accountable member	Juliet Layton, Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning Email: juliet.layton@cotswold.gov.uk
Accountable officer	Mark Harrison, Senior Local and Neighbourhood Planning Policy Officer Email: mark.harrison@cotswold.gov.uk
Report author	Mark Harrison, Senior Local and Neighbourhood Planning Policy Officer Email: mark.harrison@cotswold.gov.uk
Summary/Purpose	Siddington Neighbourhood Plan: - To approve the submission of the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan and accompanying documents (Reg. 15). - Approve a CDC-led public consultation (Reg. 16) starting on Monday 13th July, and ending on Sunday 23rd August.
Annexes	Annex A – Siddington NP Reg. 15 Plan (includes its own Appendices A-G) Annex B – Siddington NP Policies Maps Annex C – Siddington NP Basic Conditions Statement Annex D – Siddington NP Consultation Statement Annex E – Siddington NP Reg 14 Response Log Annex F – Siddington NP SEA-HRA Screening Annex G – Siddington NP Historic England SEA Screening



Recommendation(s)	That the Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning resolves that: 1. The Siddington Parish Council (Reg. 15) submission of a Neighbourhood Plan is suitable and accepted by CDC. 2. A CDC-led public consultation (Reg. 16) can commence, starting on Monday 13 July, and ending on Sunday 23 August.
Corporate priorities	All of the corporate priorities are captured by the emerging Neighbourhood Plans: • Delivering Good Services • Responding to the Climate Emergency • Delivering Housing • Supporting Communities • Supporting the Economy
Key Decision	NO Whilst a Neighbourhood Plan <i>could</i> have a significant impact in regards part 2, the submitted Neighbourhood Plans are not deemed to have a <i>significant</i> impact to the planning framework. All communities to which they relate will have the opportunity to vote on the adoption (or not) of NPs via a referendum.
Exempt	NO
Consultees/ Consultation	Siddington Parish Council have been leading on the development of the plan, evidence, documents and maps. Opportunity to thank them for their hard (and voluntary) work. Annex D and E shows how they have consulted and taken reps into account up to this point. As part of the Reg. 16 consultation (see Recommendation 2) CDC will consult residents of Siddington Parish, community groups, statutory stakeholders, landowners and internally within the planning department at CDC.



Neighbourhood Planning Regs. for reference

Reg 14	Public consultation on draft Plan (led by PC/TC)
Reg 15	Submit revised plan to CDC
Reg 16	Public consultation on submitted Plan (led by CDC)
Reg 17	CDC appoints independent examiner
Reg 17a	Make decisions on examiner's recommendations
Reg 18	CDC publish examination report and decision statement
Referendum	CDC to arrange referendum (polling station type)
Making	CDC makes (adopts) the Plan if >50% votes YES



Siddington Neighbourhood Plan: Reg 15/16

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1** Consider submission (Reg 15) of the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan (and accompanying documents).
- 1.2** Recommend for the Member to approve progressing to a Reg. 16 consultation

2. BACKGROUND

- 2.1** Under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, Local Planning Authorities have a statutory duty to advise or assist communities in the preparation of Neighbourhood Development Plans (NDP)1. The Localism Act 2011 (Part 6 chapter 3) sets out the LPA responsibilities.
- 2.2** The Plan should be checked to ensure it meets the criteria in the Localism Act, as set out in the table below.

3. MAIN POINTS

- 3.1** Reg. 15 submission check:

Submission Requirements	Yes/No	Comments
1. A Map which identifies a) the area to which the proposed NDP relates b) geographically the policies in the plan	a) YES b) YES	See Annex B – Siddington NP Policies Maps
2. A Consultation Statement , containing: a. details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed NDP; a. explains how they were consulted; a. summarises the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted; and a. describes how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed NDP.	YES	See Annex D – Siddington NP Consultation Statement; and Annex E – Siddington NP Reg 14 Response Log
3. The proposed NDP N.B. Does the plan state <u>the period it covers</u> ?	YES	See Annex A – Siddington NP Reg 15 Plan. (2025-2031).



Submission Requirements	Yes/No	Comments
4. A Basic Conditions Statement Following the 2023 Regulations (new Basic Conditions are underlined), a draft neighbourhood development plan meets the Basic Conditions if: - a) having regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State, it is appropriate to make the neighbourhood development plan, b) the making of the neighbourhood development plan contributes to the achievement of sustainable development, c) the making of the neighbourhood development plan would not result in the development plan for the area of the authority proposing that less housing is provided by means of development taking place in that area than if the neighbourhood development plan were not made, d) the making of the neighbourhood development plan does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with, EU obligations, and e) prescribed conditions are met in relation to the neighbourhood development plan and prescribed matters have been complied with in connection with the proposal for the neighbourhood development plan. 8. In addition, whilst not basic conditions, the qualifying body must demonstrate that, so far as is appropriate having regard to the subject matter of the plan: a) the plan is designed to secure that the development and use of land in the neighbourhood area contribute to the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate change; and,	YES	See Annex C – Siddington NP Basic Conditions Statement: a. page 9 b. page 15 c. page 14 d. page 19 e. An Independent Examiner will judge at Reg. 17);



Submission Requirements	Yes/No	Comments
b) the plan is designed to take account of any Local Nature Recovery Strategy prepared under section 104 of the Environment Act 2021 that relates to all or part of the neighbourhood area.		
5. An environmental report or a screening opinion that SEA is not required.	YES	See Annex F – Siddington NP SEA-HRA Screening; and Annex G – Siddington NP Historic England SEA Screening Response
6. An up-to-date HRA	YES	See Annex F – Siddington NP SEA-HRA Screening;
7. Any relevant background papers / supporting documents	NO	None provided

* The provisions of the Levelling-up and Regeneration Act 2023 (Commencement No. 11 and Saving and Transitional Provisions) Regulations 2026 (UK Statutory Instruments 2026 No. 169 (C. 16)) ('the 2023 Regulations') came into force recently on 25th March 2026. The 2023 Regulations have brought into force Section 98 of the Levelling up and Regeneration Act (LURA) (contents of a neighbourhood development plan) and section 99 (neighbourhood development plans and orders; basic conditions). These new rules amend parts of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

- 3.2** Important to note, that it is not for CDC to judge here if the Basic Conditions are met, or if the submission is worthy of adoption; only that the necessary items for Reg. 15 have been submitted.
- 3.3** As the submission can be deemed to meet the submission requirements, the Neighbourhood Plan process becomes the responsibility of CDC to progress. The first stage of which is a Reg. 16 consultation. This is a public consultation giving



members of the public, community groups, landowners, statutory stakeholders, and internally colleagues in the planning department, the opportunity to make representations on the content of the plan.

- 3.4** After this consultation closes, approval will be required from the Cabinet Member to procure an Independent Examiner. All the representations received at Reg. 16 will be redacted and sent in full to the IE for consideration.
- 3.5** The Reg. 16 consultation is proposed to start on Monday 13th July, following the call-in period for this decision. It must last for six weeks, and therefore will end on Sunday 23rd August. This is purposeful to avoid overlap with a Local Plan consultation which will not commence until after this date. Although there is nothing preventing the two running concurrently, consensus is it would alleviate a great deal of potential confusion.

4. CONCLUSIONS

- 4.1** Recommend that the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan is accepted for submission (Reg. 15) as it meets the submission requirements.
- 4.2** Recommend that the Officer commences with a Reg. 16 consultation (Monday 13th July until Sunday 23rd August).

5. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 5.1** The Cabinet Member could reject the submission, with reasons required.

6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1** The Council already have financial obligations towards the procedural elements of Neighbourhood Planning following Reg. 15. Some or all of this can be reclaimed from the Government (MHCLG).
- 6.2** There will be costs for the Reg. 16 consultation, printing hard copies of Plans, adding notices around the Neighbourhood Area (Siddington), approximately £150.
- 6.3** Officer support towards Neighbourhood Planning is already budgeted for.



7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1** If the Member wished to take the Alternative Option, then CDC must give reasons and issue a decision statement, in accordance with Regulation 19 and also Schedule 4B, paragraph 6 (4) (b) and para 8 of the TCPA 1990.
- 7.2** Once CDC accepts the submission then it must publicise the NDP in accordance with Regulation 16 for not less than 6 weeks and invite representations. It must also notify the bodies referred to in the submitted consultation statement.
- 7.3** Once adopted the Neighbourhood Plan must be considered as part of relevant planning applications, and planning is a statutory function for Cotswold District Council.

8. RISK ASSESSMENT

- 8.1** There is a time-related risk that some preparation for the Reg. 16 consultation will need to be made before the call-in period has ended. This is in order for the consultation to close before the Local Plan consultation commences.

9. EQUALITIES IMPACT

- 9.1** Under equality legislation, the Council has a legal duty to pay 'due regard' to the need to eliminate discrimination and promote equality in relation to:
- Race
 - Disability
 - Gender, including gender reassignment
 - Age
 - Sexual Orientation
 - Pregnancy and maternity
 - Religion or belief
- 9.2** Siddington have not submitted an Equality Impact Assessment, however this can be scrutinised through consultation and examination.

10. CLIMATE AND ECOLOGICAL EMERGENCIES IMPLICATIONS

- 10.1** The Neighbourhood Plan addresses these issues through the following policies:
- Policy 6: Local Green Space
 - Policy 8: Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland
 - Policy 9: Flood Policy



10.2 The Plan should not contradict the sustainable balance of development in line with national policy and guidance, including climate change/ adaptation.

11. BACKGROUND PAPERS

Annex A – Siddington NP Reg. 15 Plan (includes its own Appendices A-G)

Annex B – Siddington NP Policies Maps

Annex C – Siddington NP Basic Conditions Statement

Annex D – Siddington NP Consultation Statement

Annex E – Siddington NP Reg 14 Response Log

Annex F – Siddington NP SEA-HRA Screening

Annex G – Siddington NP Historic England SEA Screening

END.

This page is intentionally left blank



Council name	COTSWOLD DISTRICT COUNCIL
Name and date of Committee	CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND PLANNING DECISION MEETING, 3 JULY 2026
Subject	SIDDINGTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN (REG 15/16)
Wards affected	Siddington: Siddington & Cerney Rural
Accountable member	Juliet Layton, Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning Email: juliet.layton@cotswold.gov.uk
Accountable officer	Mark Harrison, Senior Local and Neighbourhood Planning Policy Officer Email: mark.harrison@cotswold.gov.uk
Report author	Mark Harrison, Senior Local and Neighbourhood Planning Policy Officer Email: mark.harrison@cotswold.gov.uk
Summary/Purpose	Siddington Neighbourhood Plan: • To approve the submission of the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan and accompanying documents (Reg. 15). • Approve a CDC-led public consultation (Reg. 16) starting on Monday 13th July, and ending on Sunday 23rd August.
Annexes	Annex A – Siddington NP Reg. 15 Plan (includes its own Appendices A-G) Annex B – Siddington NP Policies Maps Annex C – Siddington NP Basic Conditions Statement Annex D – Siddington NP Consultation Statement Annex E – Siddington NP Reg 14 Response Log Annex F – Siddington NP SEA-HRA Screening Annex G – Siddington NP Historic England SEA Screening



Recommendation(s)	That the Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning resolves that: 1. The Siddington Parish Council (Reg. 15) submission of a Neighbourhood Plan is suitable and accepted by CDC. 2. A CDC-led public consultation (Reg. 16) can commence, starting on Monday 13 July, and ending on Sunday 23 August.
Corporate priorities	All of the corporate priorities are captured by the emerging Neighbourhood Plans: • Delivering Good Services • Responding to the Climate Emergency • Delivering Housing • Supporting Communities • Supporting the Economy
Key Decision	NO Whilst a Neighbourhood Plan <i>could</i> have a significant impact in regards part 2, the submitted Neighbourhood Plans are not deemed to have a <i>significant</i> impact to the planning framework. All communities to which they relate will have the opportunity to vote on the adoption (or not) of NPs via a referendum.
Exempt	NO
Consultees/ Consultation	Siddington Parish Council have been leading on the development of the plan, evidence, documents and maps. Opportunity to thank them for their hard (and voluntary) work. Annex D and E shows how they have consulted and taken reps into account up to this point. As part of the Reg. 16 consultation (see Recommendation 2) CDC will consult residents of Siddington Parish, community groups, statutory stakeholders, landowners and internally within the planning department at CDC.



Neighbourhood Planning Regs. for reference

Reg 14	Public consultation on draft Plan (led by PC/TC)
Reg 15	Submit revised plan to CDC
Reg 16	Public consultation on submitted Plan (led by CDC)
Reg 17	CDC appoints independent examiner
Reg 17a	Make decisions on examiner's recommendations
Reg 18	CDC publish examination report and decision statement
Referendum	CDC to arrange referendum (polling station type)
Making	CDC makes (adopts) the Plan if >50% votes YES



Siddington Neighbourhood Plan: Reg 15/16

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 Consider submission (Reg 15) of the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan (and accompanying documents).
- 1.2 Recommend for the Member to approve progressing to a Reg. 16 consultation

2. BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, Local Planning Authorities have a statutory duty to advise or assist communities in the preparation of Neighbourhood Development Plans (NDP)1. The Localism Act 2011 (Part 6 chapter 3) sets out the LPA responsibilities.
- 2.2 The Plan should be checked to ensure it meets the criteria in the Localism Act, as set out in the table below.

3. MAIN POINTS

- 3.1 Reg. 15 submission check:

Submission Requirements	Yes/No	Comments
1. A Map which identifies a) the area to which the proposed NDP relates b) geographically the policies in the plan	a) YES b) YES	See Annex B – Siddington NP Policies Maps
2. A Consultation Statement , containing: a. details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed NDP; a. explains how they were consulted; a. summarises the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted; and a. describes how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed NDP.	YES	See Annex D – Siddington NP Consultation Statement; and Annex E – Siddington NP Reg 14 Response Log
3. The proposed NDP N.B. Does the plan state <u>the period it covers</u> ?	YES	See Annex A – Siddington NP Reg 15 Plan. (2025-2031).



Submission Requirements	Yes/No	Comments
4. A Basic Conditions Statement Following the 2023 Regulations (new Basic Conditions are underlined), a draft neighbourhood development plan meets the Basic Conditions if: - a) having regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State, it is appropriate to make the neighbourhood development plan, b) the making of the neighbourhood development plan contributes to the achievement of sustainable development, c) the making of the neighbourhood development plan would not result in the development plan for the area of the authority proposing that less housing is provided by means of development taking place in that area than if the neighbourhood development plan were not made, d) the making of the neighbourhood development plan does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with, EU obligations, and e) prescribed conditions are met in relation to the neighbourhood development plan and prescribed matters have been complied with in connection with the proposal for the neighbourhood development plan. 8. In addition, whilst not basic conditions, the qualifying body must demonstrate that, so far as is appropriate having regard to the subject matter of the plan: a) the plan is designed to secure that the development and use of land in the neighbourhood area contribute to the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate change; and,	YES	See Annex C – Siddington NP Basic Conditions Statement: a. page 9 b. page 15 c. page 14 d. page 19 e. An Independent Examiner will judge at Reg. 17);



Submission Requirements	Yes/No	Comments
b) the plan is designed to take account of any Local Nature Recovery Strategy prepared under section 104 of the Environment Act 2021 that relates to all or part of the neighbourhood area.		
5. An environmental report or a screening opinion that SEA is not required.	YES	See Annex F – Siddington NP SEA-HRA Screening; and Annex G – Siddington NP Historic England SEA Screening Response
6. An up-to-date HRA	YES	See Annex F – Siddington NP SEA-HRA Screening;
7. Any relevant background papers / supporting documents	NO	None provided

* The provisions of the Levelling-up and Regeneration Act 2023 (Commencement No. 11 and Saving and Transitional Provisions) Regulations 2026 (UK Statutory Instruments 2026 No. 169 (C. 16)) ('the 2023 Regulations') came into force recently on 25th March 2026. The 2023 Regulations have brought into force Section 98 of the Levelling up and Regeneration Act (LURA) (contents of a neighbourhood development plan) and section 99 (neighbourhood development plans and orders; basic conditions). These new rules amend parts of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

- 3.2** Important to note, that it is not for CDC to judge here if the Basic Conditions are met, or if the submission is worthy of adoption; only that the necessary items for Reg. 15 have been submitted.
- 3.3** As the submission can be deemed to meet the submission requirements, the Neighbourhood Plan process becomes the responsibility of CDC to progress. The first stage of which is a Reg. 16 consultation. This is a public consultation giving



members of the public, community groups, landowners, statutory stakeholders, and internally colleagues in the planning department, the opportunity to make representations on the content of the plan.

- 3.4** After this consultation closes, approval will be required from the Cabinet Member to procure an Independent Examiner. All the representations received at Reg. 16 will be redacted and sent in full to the IE for consideration.
- 3.5** The Reg. 16 consultation is proposed to start on Monday 13th July, following the call-in period for this decision. It must last for six weeks, and therefore will end on Sunday 23rd August. This is purposeful to avoid overlap with a Local Plan consultation which will not commence until after this date. Although there is nothing preventing the two running concurrently, consensus is it would alleviate a great deal of potential confusion.

4. CONCLUSIONS

- 4.1** Recommend that the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan is accepted for submission (Reg. 15) as it meets the submission requirements.
- 4.2** Recommend that the Officer commences with a Reg. 16 consultation (Monday 13th July until Sunday 23rd August).

5. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 5.1** The Cabinet Member could reject the submission, with reasons required.

6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 6.1** The Council already have financial obligations towards the procedural elements of Neighbourhood Planning following Reg. 15. Some or all of this can be reclaimed from the Government (MHCLG).
- 6.2** There will be costs for the Reg. 16 consultation, printing hard copies of Plans, adding notices around the Neighbourhood Area (Siddington), approximately £150.
- 6.3** Officer support towards Neighbourhood Planning is already budgeted for.



7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1** If the Member wished to take the Alternative Option, then CDC must give reasons and issue a decision statement, in accordance with Regulation 19 and also Schedule 4B, paragraph 6 (4) (b) and para 8 of the TCPA 1990.
- 7.2** Once CDC accepts the submission then it must publicise the NDP in accordance with Regulation 16 for not less than 6 weeks and invite representations. It must also notify the bodies referred to in the submitted consultation statement.
- 7.3** Once adopted the Neighbourhood Plan must be considered as part of relevant planning applications, and planning is a statutory function for Cotswold District Council.

8. RISK ASSESSMENT

- 8.1** There is a time-related risk that some preparation for the Reg. 16 consultation will need to be made before the call-in period has ended. This is in order for the consultation to close before the Local Plan consultation commences.

9. EQUALITIES IMPACT

- 9.1** Under equality legislation, the Council has a legal duty to pay 'due regard' to the need to eliminate discrimination and promote equality in relation to:
- Race
 - Disability
 - Gender, including gender reassignment
 - Age
 - Sexual Orientation
 - Pregnancy and maternity
 - Religion or belief
- 9.2** Siddington have not submitted an Equality Impact Assessment, however this can be scrutinised through consultation and examination.
- ## **10. CLIMATE AND ECOLOGICAL EMERGENCIES IMPLICATIONS**
- 10.1** The Neighbourhood Plan addresses these issues through the following policies:
- Policy 6: Local Green Space
 - Policy 8: Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland
 - Policy 9: Flood Policy



10.2 The Plan should not contradict the sustainable balance of development in line with national policy and guidance, including climate change/ adaptation.

11. BACKGROUND PAPERS

Annex A – Siddington NP Reg. 15 Plan (includes its own Appendices A-G)

Annex B – Siddington NP Policies Maps

Annex C – Siddington NP Basic Conditions Statement

Annex D – Siddington NP Consultation Statement

Annex E – Siddington NP Reg 14 Response Log

Annex F – Siddington NP SEA-HRA Screening

Annex G – Siddington NP Historic England SEA Screening

END.

This page is intentionally left blank

**Siddington
Neighbourhood
Development Plan
Regulation 15
Submission Draft
2025-2031**

Siddington
Parish Council

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	7
1.1 What is a Neighbourhood Plan and what does it do in terms of planning?	7
1.2 The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan 2026-2031	7
1.3 Financial benefits of having an adopted Neighbourhood Plan.....	9
1.4 Summary of what is in our Neighbourhood Plan	9
THE PROCESS	10
1.5 The Statutory Process	10
1.6 What's Next?	11
2 SIDDINGTON PARISH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN BOUNDARY	13
3 SIDDINGTON PARISH	14
3.1 Village Location	14
3.2 Why People Like Living in Siddington.....	14
3.3 What Makes Siddington Special	14
3.4 Siddington: A Brief History	15
3.5 Village Character	16
3.6 Relationship of Village with Surrounding Landscape	17
3.7 Landscape Character	18
3.8 The Natural Environment	19
3.9 Relationship of Village to Nearby Developments in Neighbouring Parishes.....	25
3.10 The Development of the Village and Parish Since the Second World War.....	26
4 SIDDINGTON PROFILE	27
4.1 Population	27
4.2 Demography and Housing	28
4.3 Employment	30
4.4 Local Green Spaces	32
4.5 Views	34
4.6 Getting Around Siddington.....	35
4.7 Community Facilities.....	36
5 ISSUES AND EVIDENCE	36
6 VISION, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES	37
6.1 Background	37
6.2 Summary of our Vision, Objectives and Policies	38
6.3 The Approach	38
6.4 Our Vision.....	38

6.5	Our Objectives.....	38
6.6	Our Policies - Overview.....	39
6.7	Preventing Coalescence of Siddington with Cirencester.....	40
6.8	Development Boundary and Infill Development.....	41
6.9	Housing to Meet the Needs of the Community.....	43
6.10	General Design Principles.....	44
6.11	Old Talland School of Equitation.....	47
6.12	Local Green Spaces.....	50
6.13	Important Views.....	52
6.14	Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland.....	54
6.15	Local Flood Prevention.....	55
6.16	Sustainable Transport and Active Travel.....	58
6.17	Supporting Community Facilities.....	61
6.18	Community Aspirations.....	63
6.19	Driving Priorities for Local Infrastructure Improvements.....	62
7	ASPIRATIONS	62
8	MONITORING AND REVIEW	63
9	APPENDICES	64
9.1	Appendix A - Glossary of Terms.....	64
9.2	Appendix B - Parish Aspirations and Actions.....	69
9.3	Appendix C - Locally Important Views.....	71
9.4	Appendix D - Community Facilities.....	85
9.5	Appendix E - Listed Historic Buildings in Siddington.....	89
9.6	Appendix F - Locations of Notable Trees.....	91
9.7	Appendix G - List of References.....	96

List of Tables and Figures

Figure 1 The Neighbourhood Plan Preparation Process.....	10
Figure 2 Siddington Neighbourhood Plan Area. (Source: Cotswold District Council).....	13
Figure 3 Map showing the location of the Cotswold Lakes (SSSI) and Local Wildlife Sites	20
Figure 4 Local wildlife sites to the east of the village	21
Figure 5 Green Corridors in and around Siddington	22
Figure 6 Severn Thames Canal footpath and green corridor	23
Figure 7 The oldest tree in the parish - a mighty oak	24
Figure 8 Black poplars on the Churn	24
Figure 9 Graph depicting age distribution of Siddington (Source of data - OCSI 2023 Local Insight profile for Siddington CP).....	27
Figure 10 House Types in Siddington Parish. (Source of data - OCSI 2023 Local Insight profile for Siddington CP).....	28
Figure 11 Number of bedrooms in housing in Siddington Parish. (Source of data - OCSI 2023 Local Insight profile for Siddington CP)	29
Figure 12 Housing tenure in Siddington Parish. (Source of data - OCSI 2023 Local Insight profile for Siddington CP; 2011 Census).....	29
Figure 13 Council Tax Bands in Siddington - (Source of data - OCSI 2023 Local Insight profile for Siddington CP).....	30
Figure 14 Economic breakdown of Siddington Parish – (Source of data: OCSI 2023 Local Insight Profile for Siddington CP).....	31
Figure 15 Local Green spaces - two already designated in the Cotswold District Council Local Plan and one new addition.....	33
Figure 16 View of St Peter's Church from meadow next to Siddington Road	34
Figure 17 Existing and proposed foot and cycle paths	36
Figure 18 Structure of our Vision, Objectives and Policies	38
Figure 19 Identified Siddington 'Gap' to prevent the coalescence of Siddington and Cirencester.....	39
Figure 20 Siddington Development Boundary	41
Figure 21 Map of Old Talland/Church Farm Site	49
Figure 22 Aerial view of Old Talland/Church Farm development site.....	49
Figure 23 Old Talland Site - Tithe Barn and stables	49
Figure 24 Siddington Playing Fields - a Local Green Space	50
Figure 25 Siddington Allotments - a Local Green Space.....	51
Figure 26 Canal Lane - a Local Green Space.....	51
Figure 27 A view of the Round House, a converted 18th century windmill, seen from near South Cerney Road.....	53
Figure 28 Flood Zones 2 and 3 in Siddington Parish. (Source Environment Agency.).....	57
Figure 29 Proposed new cycle and footpath routes.....	60
Figure 30 St Peter's Church. View 1	71
Figure 31 St Peter's Church and tithe barn, View 1A.....	72
Figure 32 Siddington Road looking south to Siddington. View 2A.....	72
Figure 33 View of St Peter's Church from meadow next to Siddington Road. View 2B.....	72
Figure 34 View from Siddington Road to Park Way. View 2C.....	73
Figure 35 Looking south-east from Spire View towards Siddington and St Peter's Church. View 3A	73

Figure 36 Looking south-west from Spire View towards Siddington. View 3B.....	73
Figure 37 Ashton Road through the village from the south. View 4A.....	74
Figure 38 Ashton Road from The Greyhound. View 4A.....	74
Figure 39 The Greyhound Public House. View 4B.....	74
Figure 40 View of horse paddocks looking East from Ashton Road towards the canal. View 4C.....	75
Figure 41 Glimpsed view of Siddington Hall from Ashton Road. View 40.....	75
Figure 42 Barton Farmhouse on Park Way. View 5.....	76
Figure 43 The Old Schoolhouse. View 5	76
Figure 44 View east along Park Way on entering the village. View 5.....	76
Figure 45 View from South Cerney Road to the south across Ridge and Furrow pasture. View 6A.....	77
Figure 46 Siddington Manor and St Peter's. View 68	77
Figure 47 South Cerney Road on entry to Siddington. View 6C.....	78
Figure 48 Cotswold cottages entering village on South Cerney Road. View 6C.....	78
Figure 49 Roberts House. View 6C.	79
Figure 50 Map of Views	80
Figure 51 Siddington Playground	84
Figure 52 Siddington Playing Field	84
Figure 53 Siddington Allotments.....	85
Figure 54 Siddington Village Shop	85
Figure 55 The Greyhound Public House.....	86
Figure 56 Siddington Village Hall	86
Figure 57 Siddington CofE School	87
Figure 58 St Peter's Church.....	87
Figure 59 Siddington Manor	88
Figure 60 Roberts House.....	88
Figure 61 Upper Siddington Bridge.....	89
Figure 62 Locations of Listed Buildings	89

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Glossary of Terms
Appendix B	Parish Aspirations and Actions
Appendix C	Locally Important Views
Appendix D	Community Facilities
Appendix E	Listed Historic Buildings in Siddington
Appendix F	Locations of Notable Trees
Appendix G	List of References

FOREWORD

Dear residents of Siddington,

I am delighted to present to you this draft Neighbourhood Development Plan for Siddington. This draft plan has been developed by a Steering Group of Siddington residents including members of the parish council. The Steering Group was established by the parish council in 2023. I am grateful to the members of the Steering Group whose collective efforts have produced this draft Plan, and to our appointed planning consultants, Brodie Planning Associates, for their guidance and advice.

The function of this Neighbourhood Plan is described in more detail in the document but essentially it will become part of the framework within which planning decisions on developments in the parish will be taken.

I am aware that this document is long and contains a lot of information and I apologise for that, but there are statutory requirements that we have to meet. Section 1.5 sets out the process for producing the Plan, Sections 3, 4 and 5 describe the parish and provide relevant information about it. Section 5 sets out the issues we have identified and the evidence on which we have relied. Section 6 contains our vision for Siddington, our objectives for the Plan and the Planning Policies that we are proposing. Section 7 deals with the future after the Plan has been approved.

Our Vision is that: Over the Plan period, the Parish of Siddington will retain its own identity as a village settlement distinct from the nearby urban centre of Cirencester and maintain its rural character and landscape setting. The community will continue to be a peaceful, friendly, and inclusive place to live with thriving facilities for all age groups. New development will be sensitively integrated into the existing settlement and contribute positively to the immediate surroundings.

To achieve this vision, we have set out four key objectives:

- Protecting Siddington's identity as a rural village distinct from Cirencester
- Protecting and enhancing the natural environment
- Supporting, maintaining and improving the facilities in the community for all age groups
- Minimising the impact of traffic by maintaining and enhancing connectivity on foot, bicycle and bus.

To achieve these objectives, we propose detailed Planning Policies, which are set out in Sections 6.7 to 6.17.

We developed our Vision, Objectives and Planning Policies reflecting the results of our consultation with the people who live in Siddington, through the household survey we conducted in 2024.

William Underhill

Chair, Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 What is a Neighbourhood Plan and what does it do in terms of planning?

- 1.1.1** In 2011 the Localism Act gave parish councils the opportunity to prepare Neighbourhood Plans. Neighbourhood planning provides an opportunity for local people to influence the planning and development of the area in which they live and work. It gives the community a real say over local decision making, it can help to achieve goals through the planning system and can address the challenges and opportunities facing the future vitality of the parish.
- 1.1.2** Put simply, this means that the policies in any adopted Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) will be applied to, and considered in, any planning decisions in the parish. This may not prevent all future unwanted developments in the village, but the NDP expresses the wishes of the community and must be taken into consideration in the planning process.
- 1.1.3** When 'made' a Neighbourhood Development Plan forms part of the statutory development plan carrying equal weight in decision-making to a local plan. Planning authorities are required by law to determine planning applications and appeals in accordance with development plan policies unless a material consideration indicates otherwise. In essence, decisions on planning applications and appeals will be determined in accordance with both Local Plan and Neighbourhood Plan policies along with any other material considerations.

1.2 The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan 2025-2031

- 1.2.1** The Siddington Neighbourhood Development Plan (SNDP) has been prepared under the over-arching planning policy set out in the National Policy Planning Framework (NPPF) and relies on the policies in the Cotswold District Council (CDC) Local Plan adopted in 2018. The two plans should be regarded as complementary, with the SNDP setting out in more detail, and with more local flavour, how some of the CDC policies should be interpreted and applied in the context of Siddington Parish. The CDC (2018) LP has no allocated development sites for Siddington.
- 1.2.2** This document is the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 15 'Submission draft'. This draft takes account of comments made by members of the Parish during the Regulation 14 public consultation period held between 22 March and 10 May 2026. This version of the SNDP has been prepared for submission to Cotswold District Council.
- 1.2.3** This Regulation 15 Version of the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan 2025-31 has been produced by a Neighbourhood Planning Steering Group, under Terms of Reference delegated by the Parish Council. The Group members are Parish Councillors and volunteers resident within the parish. The Group has been guided by independent planning consultants, Brodie Planning Consultants (BPA) appointed by Siddington Parish Council.

- 1.2.4** The Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group has worked over the last 36 months to turn community concerns and aspirations into planning policies that can be used to influence future development in the parish of Siddington. When the Plan successfully progresses through all stages of the neighbourhood planning process, it will form part of the local development plan and be required to be taken into consideration by the Local Planning Authority and Planning Inspectors in the determination of planning applications and appeals in the Parish of Siddington until 2031.
- 1.2.5** With regard to decision-making (planning applications and appeals) it is important to note that CDC are unable to demonstrate a five-year supply of housing land at the present time. The available housing land supply is calculated¹ at 1.8 years when assessed against revised national requirements announced in 2025.
- 1.2.6** For decision-making, this means the 'tilted balance' under paragraph 11 d) of the NPPF is engaged. Paragraph 11 d) states that planning permission for housing should be granted unless:
- There is a strong reason for refusing the proposed development where policies of the NPPF seek to protect specific areas or assets of particular importance (for example, heritage assets, areas of environmental importance, valued landscapes or Green Belt land), or
 - any adverse impacts of so doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits when considered against national policy (the NPPF) as a whole.
- 1.2.7** While policies in a Neighbourhood Plan will still be considered, the weight attached to them is reduced where a five-year supply cannot be demonstrated. The extent of this weight will depend upon:
- The scale of the housing shortfall,
 - The likelihood of the shortfall being addressed in the near future, and
 - Whether the wider spatial strategy for housing remains robust.
- 1.2.8** Accordingly, the Neighbourhood Plan continues to be a material consideration in decision-making, but the absence of a five-year housing land supply means that national policy in favour of sustainable housing development will carry greater weight.²
- 1.2.9** It is important that the Neighbourhood Plan addresses the concerns and aspirations of those who currently reside and work in the parish and takes opportunities to influence, where possible, future development. A household survey (questionnaire) was undertaken in June 2024. The survey identified that local residents' greatest concern was maintaining the identity of Siddington as a rural village separate from the main urban centre of Cirencester which lies approximately 0.5 kilometres to the north.

¹ [Housing Land Supply Report - May 2025](#)

² [Housing Land Supply Report - May 2025](#)

- 1.2.10** The Steering Group has therefore developed policies that seek to retain the rural identity of Siddington as a settlement separate from nearby Cirencester.

1.3 Financial benefits of having an adopted Neighbourhood Plan

- 1.3.1** Having an adopted Neighbourhood Plan means that our Parish Council will receive a greater proportion of the Community Infrastructure Levy, commonly known as CIL. CIL is a charge which can be levied by local authorities on new development in their area. It is an important tool for local authorities to use to help them deliver the infrastructure needed to support development in their area³. Put simply, this means, with an adopted Neighbourhood Plan in place, our parish receives more money to spend on things like community centres, social care, pavements, cycleways, flood defences, highways, play areas, public open space etc.
- 1.3.2** Where a parish does not have a Neighbourhood Plan in place, the parish council will receive only 15% of the CIL funds and there is a cap at a maximum of £100 per existing council tax dwelling per year.
- 1.3.3** In parishes that do have an adopted Neighbourhood Plan, 25% of CIL funds will be passed to the parish and this CIL apportionment does not have an annual cap. This means that having a Neighbourhood Plan in place entitles us to more than one and a half times the amount of CIL money that we would otherwise be entitled to, and we would not be subject to a limitation on the amount we could receive.

1.4 Summary of what is in our Neighbourhood Plan

- 1.4.1** The underpinning objectives of the plan have been informed by our household survey which was completed by 21.7% of households comprising 176 parishioners. This is a robust return rate, particularly when a 20% completion rate is typically considered good.
- 1.4.2** Note that results from the community engagement are contained in more detail in the Consultation Statement that is produced alongside the Plan⁴.
- 1.4.3** This Reg 15 submission version of the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan contains policies to address the key objectives identified as being most important to the community:
- To maintain the identity of Siddington as a rural village distinct from Cirencester.
 - To protect key views, green spaces, and the wider natural environment that contribute to the village's character and biodiversity.
 - To influence the location and design of new housing so it is appropriately integrated into the parish and respects its rural setting.
 - To minimise flood risk by encouraging sustainable drainage.

³ PPG Paragraph: 001 Reference ID: 25-001-20190901 Revision date: 01092019

⁴ Siddington Neighbourhood Plan Consultation Statement

- To protect and enhance local biodiversity, open spaces, and the network of footpaths for the benefit of residents and future generations. To support, maintain, and improve community facilities.
- To maintain and enhance sustainable connectivity while trying to minimise traffic impacts and emissions.

THE PROCESS

1.5 The Statutory Process

- 1.5.1** The production of a Neighbourhood Plan must follow a statutory process as depicted below.




Figure 1 The Neighbourhood Plan Preparation Process

1.5.2 Consultation and Engagement

The various stages of the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan process that have been undertaken to date are detailed in the Consultation Statement.

Below provides a summary:

Date	Activity
April 2022	Parish Council decided to commence formally on the route to producing a Neighbourhood Plan based on concerns over minimal input opportunity for the community on development sites in the parish.
October 2022	The Parish Council established a small Steering Group which comprised councillors and local residents; membership of this group has evolved over time, but core members have continued to meet regularly over the past three years to produce the Plan and to report back to the Parish Council. Individuals with specialist interests contributed at relevant stages of the process.
June 2023	The Parish Council formally applied to Cotswold District Council to designate the whole of the parish as a Neighbourhood Plan area; this was subsequently approved.
December 2023	Parish Council instructed Brodie Planning Associates (BPA) who are independent planning consultants, to guide the preparation of the Plan and to provide professional planning advice.
June 2024	Household survey questionnaires were delivered to every household, posters published, with replies from 21.7% of households, comprising 176 parishioners.
Spring 2026 (NOW)	We have used local opinions to shape the content of the Plan and have undertaken extensive research to support our policy development. The Reg 14 Consultation Draft of the Plan was shared with parishioners from 22 March to 10 May 2026 and, where appropriate, their views have been reflected in this Reg 15 Submission version of the Plan.

1.6 What's Next?

1.6.1 Cotswold District Council will carry out a statutory six-week consultation⁵ during which any further comments are invited. It is worth noting, this is a final opportunity for comments to be made on the draft Plan.

1.6.2 At the end of the consultation period, Cotswold District Council in consultation with the steering group will appoint an independent examiner. The Examiner will then consider the plan, and any representations received from the consultation. The independent examiner is tasked with and limited to considering whether the Plan meets the basic conditions, namely that, a plan must:

- Conform with UK Law, including retained EU obligations (such as environmental requirements, including the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and Habitats Regulations).
- Conform with the requirements of Chapter 8 Part 6 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017;
- Conform with the National Planning Policy Framework 2024 (NPPF);
- Be in general conformity with the strategic policies of the Local Development Plan, the Cotswold District Local Plan (CDLP); and
- Contribute to sustainable development.
- The independent examiner will prepare a report that can recommend that the Plan:
 - o proceeds to local referendum;
 - o proceeds to local referendum with appropriate modifications; or
 - o does not meet the basic conditions and should not proceed to referendum.

⁵ Under Regulation 16 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 (as amended)

2 SIDDINGTON PARISH NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN BOUNDARY

- 2.1.1** The whole of the parish of Siddington (Figure 2) has been formally designated as a Neighbourhood Area through an application made on 12 June 2023 under the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012 (part 2 S6) and approved by Cotswold District Council on 30 June 2023
- 2.1.2** The parish includes the village of Siddington, as well as the new development at Severells Field, and parts of contiguous Cirencester that are within the parish boundary. Surrounding countryside makes up most of the parish which covers approximately 863 hectares.




Figure 2 Siddington Neighbourhood Plan Area. (Source: Cotswold District Council)

3 SIDDINGTON PARISH

3.1 Village Location

- 3.1.1** Siddington is a rural village in Gloucestershire, located within close proximity to the main urban centre of Cirencester, in the Churn Valley. Situated adjacent to the setting of the Cotswold National Landscape with its renowned local vernacular, it is an attractive place to live and work.
- 3.1.2** There are also two separate northern enclaves. Spire View, Cherry Tree Drive, North Hill Road and Wildwood Park, are contiguous with the settlement of Cirencester but are an important if separate part of the parish. The development at Severells field is close to but separated from both Cirencester and the village of Siddington and is also a valued part of the parish.

3.2 Why People Like Living in Siddington

- 3.2.1** Residents responding to the NDP Questionnaire identified Siddington's attractive rural location, easy access to the surrounding countryside via a network of footpaths, and the green spaces within the village (mentioned by 71% of respondents) as key attractions. It was also felt by the respondents that Siddington's setting combines the benefits of peaceful rural living with proximity to Cirencester whilst having good transport links to the wider region (53%). Peace and quiet (37%), a strong community spirit (37%), and the village's clearly defined boundaries whilst having a true village feel (22%) were also valued by the respondents.
- 3.2.2** When ranking the most important aspects of life in Siddington, 95% considered a peaceful and safe neighbourhood to be "Very Important" (with the remaining 5% rating it "Important"), 91% rated the surrounding countryside as "Very Important" (9% "Important"), and community spirit was valued as "Very Important" by 55% and "Important" by 42%.

3.3 What Makes Siddington Special

- 3.3.1** Siddington enjoys rural village surroundings while still being close enough to Cirencester to benefit from all that this thriving town has to offer in terms of retail, services and culture.
- 3.3.2** The Cotswold Water Park SSSI is partly within the parish, and the Cotswold National Landscape (formerly known as the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty) is less than 1 mile northwest of Siddington. Part of the Kemble and Ewen Special Landscape Area is within the western edges of the parish.
- 3.3.3** The parish has been shaped by agriculture over the centuries, and sheep and arable farming still help to retain a picturesque landscape for villagers to enjoy. Horse ownership is popular and there is an extensive livery yard on the outskirts of the village.

- 3.3.4** The open countryside, green spaces, hedgerows, and trees across the Parish play a vital role in shaping its character, something highly valued by the local community. They also provide important wildlife habitats, help to mitigate the effects of climate change, and offer spaces for recreation.
- 3.3.5** The rural surroundings support a variety of wildlife. Farmland species include Barn Owl and the small mammals it predated. Skylark and Yellowhammer, Redwings, Ravens, Red Kites, Buzzards and Swifts are regularly heard or seen flying over. Local farm buildings including those at Furzen Leaze and Plummers Farm host nesting Swallows. The houses and gardens of the village support House Martins, Slow Worm and Hedgehog. The combination of woodland patches, green corridors and waterways also support several bat species as well as Otter, Water Vole, Grey Heron, Little Egret and Kingfisher.
- 3.3.6** Waterways are very evident in and around Siddington: the River Churn and its associated water meadows, and the disused Thames and Severn Canal. The canal retains some water locally even in summer and provides a ribbon of vegetation and wildlife through the parish. The many walkers on the towpath can also enjoy a stunning example of industrial archaeology in the flight of locks within the village.
- 3.3.7** The built environment is characterised by a variety of traditional and modern buildings, including Cotswold cottages and 20 listed buildings of architectural and historic interest.
- 3.3.8** Non-designated heritage assets also form an important part of the character and historic interest of Siddington. A register of non-designated heritage assets is being produced. When approved this document will be maintained by Siddington Parish Council and will be available on its website to assist with and inform the planning process.
- 3.3.9** There is a network of public footpaths and bridleways in the village including the Thames and Severn Way, a well-used Long-Distance Path.

3.4 Siddington: A Brief History

- 3.4.1** Archaeological evidence shows that Siddington has been occupied since the Neolithic period with further settlement in the Bronze and Iron Ages. With Corinium (Cirencester) nearby and a Roman road (the Ermin Way) forming part of the parish border, it is unsurprising that a number of Romano/British settlements have been found near the Ermin Way, and to the west and the south of the parish.
- 3.4.2** The first written records start with the Domesday Book in 1086. At that time, the village of Sudintone consisted of five manors covering an area of 20 hides -around 1500 to 2000 acres. (Today Siddington covers just over 2100 acres). 46 households were recorded, putting it in the top fifth of English settlements as regards population.
- 3.4.3** St Peter's Church was founded in the Norman period and was extended in c1470. It underwent restoration in 1864 when a prominent spire was added. Next to the church stands one of the oldest tithe barns in the country dating from the mid-13th century, when the manor was given to the Knights Templar of St John.

- 3.4.4** Not far from St Peter's is to be found Roberts House, the former home of John Roberts (1619-83), one of the founding members of the Quaker movement.
- 3.4.5** There used to be a second church in the village, St Mary's Upper, but it was demolished in 1778 and some of its masonry was used to construct the rectory and the school which opened in 1860. Both buildings are still to be found near the site of the old church.
- 3.4.6** Agriculture has played a prominent part in village life with sheep farming underpinning the economic growth of the Cotswolds from the 12th to the 15th century. Sheep are still farmed today, but arable farming is widespread, and horse pasture can be found throughout the parish.
- 3.4.7** In the 1780s, during the Industrial Revolution, the Thames and Severn Canal was constructed through the parish, and a flight of locks was built in the centre of the village to begin the canal's descent from its summit pound towards the River Thames. Immediately west of the top lock was a wharf and basin where a short canal arm led north into Cirencester. There were numerous structural problems with the canal resulting in it never being a financial success and it was eventually abandoned in 1927. The locks and various sections of the canal remain a highly visible reminder of the village's role in the Industrial Revolution.
- 3.4.8** Railways arrived in Siddington in 1841 when the Cirencester branch line was opened between Cirencester and Kemble. In 1883 the Swindon and Cheltenham Extension Railway, later becoming the Midland and Southwestern Junction Railway, opened between Cirencester and Swindon. Both railways were removed in the 1960s but their trackways still largely remain.
- 3.4.9** Early industries in Siddington included brickmaking and quarrying followed later in the twentieth century by gravel extraction. The village had a water-driven corn mill, and a windmill was built in the late 18th century. Both buildings still remain having been converted into residences.
- 3.4.10** Prior to the Second World War the village was small and compact, with a stable population. The second half of the twentieth century saw a rapid growth in the number of households (approx. 275%) with social and private ownership housing estates being built. The character of the area was not reflected in scheme design in some of these developments, which have been built using standard house types and layouts that are not locally distinctive. The result is insensitive development that is not integrated with its surroundings either in character or scale.
- 3.4.11** In the period 2001 to 2026, a further 139 (approx.) houses have been built mainly comprising private estates of detached and semi-detached houses, some of which have been designed to reflect the local vernacular architecture.

3.5 Village Character

- 3.5.1** The parish is characterised by its rural setting with open countryside surrounding the central settlement.

- 3.5.2** Siddington has four distinct parts. The original part of the village around the church, Siddington Manor, Church Farm and the tithe barn form a distinctive, historic and pleasant group of buildings set in the valley bottom between pasture and mature trees.
- 3.5.3** The main part of the village lies approximately 100 metres south along Ashton Road, where a cluster of terraced houses and the Post Office/shop are located. From this area, the village has expanded southward through a combination of ribbon development and small cul-de-sac housing estates constructed between the 1950s and 1970s. These are interspersed with older, traditional stone-built properties and a substantial area of allotments. One notable development is Frazier's Folly, a 1960s/70s estate surrounding Siddington Hall, which has since been converted into flats. To the southeast, the village is bordered by Plummers Farm-a traditional Cotswold farmhouse-along with its adjacent fields, paddocks, and the River Churn, which collectively define the village's southeastern edge.
- 3.5.4** Park Way links Ashton Road to Upper Siddington, ascending a gentle valley slope lined with a loosely arranged collection of older houses and cottages extending up to Barton Farm. Off this road, Pound Close-a partially single-sided local authority housing development-runs northward along the valley side. Its alignment follows the partly filled-in canal spur to Cirencester. At the heart of the village, the playing fields provide a vital green space, functioning as a village green that offers opportunities for exercise, recreation, and a pleasant outlook for adjacent properties. Situated behind the playing fields, a flight of canal locks and a turning basin remain as lasting features of the historic Thames and Severn Canal.
- 3.5.5** Additional housing, located beyond the main built-up area of the village, is situated at Nursery View. This development backs onto a disused quarry and faces a defunct nursery to the south of the village.
- 3.5.6** The northern section of the parish lies in the outskirts of Cirencester and is separated from the village by a green gap. Cherry Tree Drive was mainly built in the 1970s and 80s. North Hill Road is an older development built in the 1950s and 60s and has 47 properties. Spire View and Swinford Close, a development of 48 dwellings, on the west side of Siddington Road was completed in 2016.
- 3.5.7** Severells Field, comprising 88 properties, was completed in 2024. This mixed development of detached and semi-detached houses and apartments is designed in loose accordance with the local vernacular style. It is located outside the core of the village, in closer proximity to the Love Lane Industrial Estate in Cirencester. This development was allowed on appeal on 13 June 2017 - application: Ref: APP/F1610/16/W/3151754.

3.6 Relationship of Village with Surrounding Landscape

- 3.6.1** The settlement has the following key relationships with the surrounding landscape.

3.6.2 Positive:

- The church and surrounding buildings sit well in the valley bottom and retain key elements of the development of the village from the Middle Ages;
- The eastern edge of the village is enclosed by trees and relates well to, and is limited by the floodplain and water courses;
- The rural approach from the south is unspoilt with a loose association between the older buildings, farmland and substantial tree cover;
- The main approach from the west has a rural feel with the road running through hedgerows. On entering the built form of the cohesive village, there is a pleasant association of older buildings and gardens;
- The trees of the railway embankment and defunct canal provide enclosure and a backdrop to the village.
- The pasture to the north of the village is managed as hay meadow and makes an attractive setting, rich in wildflowers in Spring and early summer.

3.6.3 Negative:

- The northern approach is spoilt by the view of housing on Park Way which presents a homogenous, monotonous suburban edge and by a park home estate;
- The approach from the south has been adversely affected by the construction of a solar farm, although most of it is screened by mature hedgerows;
- The main approach from the west, at the top of Park Way has been urbanised by the building of Severells Field, although the visual effect has been mitigated by some existing mature hedgerow screening.

3.7 Landscape Character

3.7.1 Natural England has divided the country up into 159 areas with similar landscape character, which are called National Character Areas (NCAs). Gloucestershire County Council has added further subdivisions referred to as Local Character Areas (LCAs)

3.7.2 Siddington is located on the edge of the Upper Thames Clay Vales (108), bordering the Cotswold National Character Area (107) to the north which encompasses Cirencester. The northern and western parts of the settlement and environs are located within the Dip Slope Lowland landscape type in the Kemble Dip Slope LCA. The southern and eastern environs lie in the River Basin Lowland landscape type in the Somerford Keynes LCA.

- 3.7.3** The dip slope land is primarily arable with medium to large fields. Hedgerows are well maintained and feature occasional hedgerow trees. There are numerous trees in belts and copses and along the defunct canal and railway. There are substantial tree belts enclosing the village, including mature trees in the disused nursery at Nursery View, and the limes and chestnuts flanking the canal.
- 3.7.4** The water meadows of the Churn flood regularly in winter with the river bursting its banks sending floodwater across the floodplain and inundating the fields for extended periods.
- 3.7.5** The Cotswold National Landscape is less than 1 mile northwest of Siddington, and the Cotswold Water Park SSSI is partly within the parish. Part of the Kemble and Ewen Special Landscape Area is within the western borders of the parish.

3.8 The Natural Environment

- 3.8.1** The parish has been shaped by agriculture over the centuries, and sheep and arable farming still help to retain a picturesque landscape for villagers to enjoy. Horse ownership is popular and there is an extensive livery yard on the outskirts of the village.
- 3.8.2** The open countryside, green spaces, hedgerows and trees throughout the Parish all contribute not only to the character of the area, which is important to the local community, but they are also critical as a habitat, they help mitigate the effects of climate change and they provide a space for recreation.
- 3.8.3** Waterways are very evident in and around Siddington with the River Churn and its associated water meadows, and the disused Thames and Severn Canal. Although little water remains in the canal, it still provides a ribbon of vegetation and wildlife through the parish and provides a stunning example of industrial archaeology in the flight of locks within the village.

Protected Habitats

- 3.8.4** Siddington parish includes five areas recognised for the importance of their habitats and wildlife. Protection of these sites is supported by CDC Policy EN9.

Cotswold Lakes SSSI

- 3.8.5** The Cotswold Lakes has been designated as a Site of Special Scientific Interest by Natural England. It covers over 2,000 hectares straddling three counties and includes 25 hectares in the south of Siddington parish. An SSSI is the highest national designation for nature protection and restricts both development within the SSSI and in adjacent areas which could have a negative impact.
- 3.8.6** Cotswold Lakes SSSI has been designated for its national importance including for the numbers and diversity of breeding and non-breeding birds and for open water, margins and scrub (Natural England, January 2021).

- 3.8.7** The designated areas include gravel pits as well as margins typically extending up to boundaries such as hedgerows, ditch lines, and tracks.
- 3.8.8** Gravel workings continue within the water park including in Siddington parish. An additional area of recent workings next to the SSI designation covering 10 hectares is shown below as it may become part of the SSSI when its boundaries are updated.




Figure 3 Map showing the location of the Cotswold Lakes (SSI) and local wildlife sites

Local Wildlife Sites

- 3.8.9** Part of Shorncote Local Wildlife Site within Siddington parish is rough grassland with deep ditches and is selected for its importance in connecting habitats as well as bird assemblages. It has been regularly used by nesting Barn owls who hunt for small mammals in the grassland. The adjacent reedbeds are in the neighbouring parish of Somerford Keynes and Shorncote.
- 3.8.10** The River Churn corridor is designated along its entire length including through the parish, from the northern boundary with Preston and to form the eastern boundary shared with South Cerney parish. It has been designated for its riparian mammals. Local species of conservation concern include Watervole as well as Otter and Dipper. The river also offers sightings of Kingfisher and supports various breeding birds including warblers as well as common freshwater fish species.
- 3.8.11** The Canal at Siddington from Ashton Road to the Churn is also designated as a Local Wildlife Site as supporting habitat for mammals. There is water in the canal seasonally and it supports many riparian plant species which provide habitat and food used by Water Voles.




Figure 4 Local wildlife sites to the east of the village

3.8.12 Siddington Copse is Ancient Woodland, also registered as a Local Wildlife Site. It covers an area of 2 hectares and is broad-leaved woodland. It is classified as 'Ancient Replanted Woodland' in Natural England's Ancient Woodland inventory.

3.8.13 In addition to the registered Ancient Woodland at Siddington copse, there are several other wooded areas which provide valuable habitat as well as contributing to connectivity. These include several larger copses in the NW of the parish, and newer planting by Nursery View, in Severell's Field, near the western end of Parkway, and northwest of Pound Close.

Green Corridors and Hedgerows

3.8.14 Two disused railway lines and the former canal cross the parish and form substantial wildlife corridors as long sections are lined on both sides with trees or hedgerows, creating a broad green corridor, typically 10m wide or more.

3.8.15 Much of Siddington parish is farmland and there is a network of hedgerows lining the fields and roads which also provide important connectivity. The presence of veteran trees indicates a historically well-established field pattern, and many sections of hedgerow are likely to be species rich, supporting biodiversity.

3.8.16 The former Cirencester-Kemble railway crosses the northwest corner of the parish. The old Swindon-Cirencester Railway crosses the northeast corner of the parish and passes through the village of Siddington.

- 3.8.17** The line of the canal is protected by CDC Policy SP3 as are its historic structures. The former canal runs east-west across the parish and much of it, including part of the spur to Cirencester, also form wildlife corridors. The canal channel remains intact through Siddington village and to the east, but west of Spratsgate Lane, much of the line has been buried and/or become farmland. There are several locks, spillways and a humpback bridge on the canal in Siddington village. These are interesting and attractive cultural features that link to the history of Siddington and the region.
- 3.8.18** Within Siddington village and from Ashton Road to the river, both the canal and railway are also footpaths and have additional value as green infrastructure.
- 3.8.19** The River Churn also forms a green corridor. The wider connectivity, including between the river and woodland is likely to be of particular value to the 5 bat species recorded locally.
- 3.8.20** Canal Lane and Green Lane are quiet roads with very low traffic, with grass verges and hedgerows either side and also represent green infrastructure.




Figure 5 Green Corridors in and around Siddington




Figure 6 *Severn Thames Canal footpath and green corridor*

Trees, Hedgerows and Woodlands

- 3.8.21** Ancient and Veteran trees are irreplaceable habitats that should be protected according to the NPPF (para 193c). Policy EN7 of the CDC Local Plan states that veteran trees as well as trees and hedgerows of high landscape, amenity, ecological or historical value should be conserved and that any removal of trees and hedgerows should require compensatory planting.

- 3.8.22** Paragraph 192 of the NPPF refers to the importance of safeguarding wider ecological networks, including sites designated for biodiversity and the connectivity between them in the form of wildlife or green corridors. Policy ENS (CDC Local Plan) supports the conservation and enhancement of habitat connectivity.

- 3.8.23** Siddington parish has numerous notable trees, including about 75 which are large enough to be considered veterans with a girth of 3m or more (Royal Forestry Society) though none have reached 6m girth which indicates an ancient tree.

3.8.24 The oldest tree in the parish is likely to be a majestic oak of 5m girth on the path from Severells Field to Siddington School. Many of Siddington's notable trees are oaks with a number of them being found on Furzen Leaze and Dryleaze farms.



Figure 7 The oldest tree in the parish - a mighty oak

3.8.25 Also of particular note are 4 mature black poplars on the Churn just downstream of the crossing with the canal. Black poplars are of conservation interest as they are probably Britain's rarest native broadleaf tree (Forestry Commission, Research Note 239).



Figure 8 Black poplars on the Churn

- 3.8.26** Other notable trees include a copper beech at the entrance to Dryleaze Farm and another in the garden of Siddington Manor.
- 3.8.27** There is a line of 12 common lime trees of approximately 3m girth on the canal side by Plummers Farm which are protected by Tree Preservation Orders.
- 3.8.28** More than 70 notable trees measuring 3m girth or more were recorded in a survey based on a visual assessment carried out from publicly accessible land by a local naturalist in 2025. Their locations, species and size are recorded in Appendix F.
- 3.8.29** Any development that would involve removing a notable tree should first assess its status and take account of the protection accorded.
- 3.8.30** There may be further veteran trees, remote from public access on farmland or the green corridors.

3.9 Relationship of Village to Nearby Developments in Neighbouring Parishes

- 3.9.1** Important to understanding the parish's development context to 2031 is the development of a substantial new neighbourhood for Cirencester. The Steadings is currently under construction and will consist of 2350 houses plus employment and workspace areas. This development lies within the Cirencester Town Council area but is immediately adjacent to the northwestern boundary of Siddington parish and within 400 metres of the edge of Siddington village.
- 3.9.2** Siddington Park is being built 500 metres to the northeast of Siddington village and sits just inside the parish of Preston. Phase 1, comprising 123 retirement apartments, has recently been completed. A planning application for Phase 2, comprising an additional 56 apartments has recently been refused.
- 3.9.3** Also, on the northeastern border of the parish, outline planning permission has been given (June 2025) subject to reserved matters applications including flood risk, for the construction of 280 houses off Kingshill Lane. This site is located 400 metres from the Siddington parish boundary in the Preston Parish Council area.
- 3.9.4** The combination of these developments is likely to add further pressure on local infrastructure such as water supply, as well as on sewers and sewage treatment. Siddington is dependent on the sewers coming out of Cirencester which already experience multiple incidents of sewage discharge in lowest-lying areas each winter.
- 3.9.5** The local sewage treatment works at Shorncote is already overloaded and has the worst record in Gloucestershire for discharging overflows. In 2024, overflows occurred over 4460 hours, equivalent to 186 days a year. In the winter of 2025-26 (November to April), 2885 hours of discharge events were recorded.
- 3.9.6** The traffic impact of these extensive developments is yet unclear, but the village is likely to be used as 'rat-run between Spratsgate Lane, Ashton Road and the A419.

3.10 The Development of the Village and Parish Since the Second World War

3.10.1 The population in Siddington Parish rose gradually during the Victorian period reaching 502 by 1851 and then staying around 500 for much of the early twentieth century. A significant increase occurred in the post-war decades with the population rising to 659 in 1961 and then to 826 by 1971 reflecting the building of new housing estates. Household numbers have grown in parallel. Census data for the Parish of Siddington from 1951 onwards shows a significant growth in households. In the following 50 years the number of households increased from 144 to 540 and in 2021 this rose to 588. Since then, 91 houses have been built, with 88 of these being located in the Severells Field development. This represents an increase in households of 371% between 1951 and 2026. The majority of homes in the Parish are located in the village of Siddington, comprising Siddington and Upper Siddington, while approximately 33% are located in North Siddington, which adjoins Cirencester.

3.10.2 The rapid growth in buildings since the Second World War led to the loss of important green spaces and views within the village. There were also a number of unsuitable developments in the post war period where no attempt was made to match the vernacular of Cotswold rural design and where housing density has been inappropriate for a rural village.

4 SIDDINGTON PROFILE

4.1 Population

- 4.1.1** Data extracted from the 2021 Census for Gloucestershire County Council by Oxford Consultants for Social Inclusion (OCSI), identified that the population of the Parish of Siddington was 1,350 comprising 588 households. This equates to 2.3 persons per household. Since the 2021 Census, the population has increased further with the addition of 91 houses.
- 4.1.2** The population of Siddington has grown by 8.1% over the period 2011 to 2021 compared with 8.9% in Gloucestershire as a whole and 6.3% in England. Since 2021 there has been an increase in households of 91 which, using an average household figure of 2.3 people, gives an estimate for population growth in Siddington of a further 209 people (15.5%).
- 4.1.3** The ONS Mid-Year Estimates for 2020 showed that the 65+ age group comprised 379 people (28.1% of the Siddington population) and this was much higher than the figure for Gloucestershire (22%) and England (18.4%). It does however conform to the figure for the Cotswold District which was 27%. Figures for the 0-15 age group were 224 people (16.6%) and for the 16-64 age group, 747 people (55.3%).




Figure 9 Graph depicting age distribution of Siddington (Source of data - OCSI 2023 Local Insight profile for Siddington CP).

- 4.1.4** From Census 2021 data, 31.3% of houses in Siddington were one person households, while 64.8% were single-family households made up of couples or of at least one adult with children. A further 3.7% were classed as other types of households.

- 4.1.5** Household sizes were: one person 31.3%; 2 people 39.1%; 3 people 15%; 4 people 10%; 5 people 3.1%; other 1.5%. Household sizes are predominantly 3 or less people.
- 4.1.6** The age profile of one person households was 57.5% over 65. For single family households, 21.9% were over 65. Pensioners make up 32.3% of Siddington households, 10% higher than the England average, which is important for deciding the village's property mix.

4.2 Demography and Housing

- 4.2.1** According to the 2021 Census, the parish's housing provision comprised a range of dwelling types. Detached houses represented the largest proportion at 33.9%, closely followed by semi-detached houses at 33.6%. Terraced houses accounted for 14.8% of the housing stock, with purpose-built flats comprising 8.2%. Additionally, park homes and mobile homes made up 7.3% of the total housing provision.




Figure 10 House Types in Siddington Parish. (Source of data - OCSI 2023 Local Insight profile for Siddington CP).

- 4.2.2** The distribution of housing in the parish by number of bedrooms, as recorded in the 2021 Census, is as follows: properties with one bedroom accounted for 9.4% of the housing stock, while two-bedroom homes made up 26.5%. The largest proportion consisted of three-bedroom properties, representing 40.3%, and homes with four or more bedrooms comprised 23.9% of the total.




Figure 11 Number of bedrooms in housing in Siddington Parish. (Source of data - OCSI 2023 Local Insight profile for Siddington CP).

4.2.3 The information boxes below show the tenure of housing in Siddington. Owner occupation is 9.5% higher than the average figure for England but 8% lower than Cotswold District. Social rented accommodation in Siddington comprises 19.2% of all properties which is 4.5% more than the average for the Cotswold District. Social rented accommodation is mainly provided by housing associations.



Figure 12 Housing tenure in Siddington Parish. (Source of data - OCSI 2023 Local Insight profile for Siddington CP).

4.2.4 Housing costs for Siddington have been assessed using data taken from Council Tax bands and the graph below shows the percentage of all rateable households, with A, Band C being the lowest price bands and F, G and H being the highest. Siddington is underrepresented in the lowest price bands and overrepresented in the highest price bands. This reflects the broader trend for house prices in the Cotswolds.




Figure 13 Council Tax Bands in Siddington - (Source of data - OCSI 2023 Local Insight profile for Siddington CP).

4.2.5 House price statistics and earnings data for the period 2015-17 show that, for an average Siddington house price, the affordability gap (defined as the difference between local house price and 4.5 times local average earnings - the typical maximum amount that building societies will lend) was £130,000 which was 3 times more than the national average.

4.2.6 As to the type of new homes required, there were 85 respondents to this question in the survey, and they were allowed to select more than one category. By far the most popular selection was 'affordable homes for sale or rent' which was supported by 78% of respondents. Smaller homes, either 2 or 3 bedrooms and semi-detached or terraced, attracted support from 41% of respondents. Noting that the village has a large number of single occupiers and a sizeable percentage of older people, bungalows were supported by 32% of respondents and sheltered accommodation for elderly people by 31% of respondents.

4.3 Employment

4.3.1 The economic activity breakdown for adults aged 16-74 in Siddington is shown in the bar chart below (Figure 14), with numbers for those working full time, part-time or self-employed. It excludes those who are unemployed or full-time students. 56.7% of the population are economically active while 43.3% are inactive, which is largely as a result of the number of pensioners living in the village.

4.3.2 Retail, manufacturing and health and social work were recorded as being the largest employment sectors. 50.1% of those in employment are managerial, professional or associate professionals, slightly higher than the rates for Gloucestershire and England. Administrative or secretarial occupations account for 8.4%, skilled trades 12.8% and 'elementary' occupations 10.5%.

4.3.3 A significant proportion (35.8%,) work from home, while a further 23.5% work within 5km of home. 10.5% work between 5 to 20km from home, with a further 7.8% commuting between 20 to 30km. 18.2% have no fixed place of work. Recent trends suggest that the number of home-workers will continue to increase.




Figure 14 Economic breakdown of Siddington Parish – (Source of data: OCSI 2023 Local Insight Profile for Siddington CP)

4.3.4 There are few employment opportunities in the parish, and these are limited to the school, shop, pub, farms and small businesses operating from home. However, the Love Lane Industrial Park in Cirencester lies on the border of the parish, and the town has business parks, and numerous retail, administrative and professional jobs. In addition, there is a Business Park at South Cerney, on the southern border of Siddington parish. As part of the Steadings development on the northern boundary of Siddington, there will also be an additional 9.1 acres of employment land.

4.3.5 Results from the household survey showed that most respondents considered that the provision of industrial units and office spaces in the village was 'not important' (83% and 79% respectively). Shared workspaces for homeworkers attracted a more positive result, but only 45% thought it 'important' or 'very important' while 51% considered it 'not important.'

4.4 Local Green Spaces

- 4.4.1** Open green spaces within and around Siddington are a key feature of the village and add considerably to its rural nature, its attractive views and the opportunity for outdoor recreation.
- 4.4.2** Within the village there are a number of fields used for grazing by sheep and horses and these act as a seamless link to the surrounding countryside. There is also an extensive network of public rights of way out to the open countryside which are well used for walking and physical activity together with more formal green spaces where sport and recreation facilities are provided.

Results from the household survey showed the following green spaces were most important to parishioners:

- Playing Fields (42% of respondents).
 - The canal path and fields adjoining the path (41% of respondents).
 - All green spaces and footpaths in the village and surrounding area (31% of respondents).
 - The fields between Siddington and Cirencester (15% of respondents).
 - The Quiet Lane and surrounding fields (9% of respondents).
 - The allotments (9% of respondents).
 - The Churn Water Meadows (8% of respondents).
 - Disused railway line to South Cerney and adjoining fields (7% of respondents).
- 4.4.3** The Steering Group reviewed the relative importance of these sites and reached the following conclusions on whether attempts should be made to secure Green Space protection for them:
- The Severn and Thames canal and its environs are already offered a degree of protection against inappropriate development as development would only be permitted if it "respects, improves and enhances the Canal's character, setting, biodiversity and historic value." (CDC's Local Plan Policy SP3)
 - The fields between Siddington and Cirencester should be protected by the Steering Group's application for them to be designated as a Local Gap (see section 6.7).
 - In line with the community aspirations section of this document, the Parish Council are seeking to close the Quiet Lane to vehicles via a Traffic Regulation Order which would greatly assist in preserving the Green Spaces along this lane. The fields either side of the lane are outside the village boundary and lie wholly within the Green Belt.

- The Churn water meadows are outside the Development Boundary of the village, are in flood zone 3 and are in the Green Belt.
- The disused railway line to South Cerney and adjoining fields. These fields lie outside the Development Boundary and the 2015 White Consultants report for Cotswold District Council considered that it "would be a marked extension of the settlement and any development here is considered inappropriate". The paddocks opposite The Greyhound public house have also been included in the key views which the Plan will protect.
- Playing Fields on Park Way and the Siddington Allotments are designated Local Green Spaces in the 2018 Cotswold District Plan, LGS10 and LGS 9. Their locations are shown in figure 15 below and are referenced in our Policy S8.
- An additional site was discussed by the steering group and is proposed as a new Local Green Space. The location of the site is along Canal Lane from the canal bridge to Nursery View, including its broad grass verges and hedgerows. It is being proposed as a Local Green Space because of its importance to the community. As well as being a green corridor, this is a highly popular walking route, adjacent to and connecting different parts of the village, and much used when completing a circular walk around Siddington. The road itself is single lane and has very little traffic making it a quiet, popular and safe route for village residents including families, dog-walkers, wheelchair and mobility scooter users, cyclists and horse-riders. It also connects to local footpaths, providing longer safe routes for joggers from Love Lane. Its elevation offers views of the village and surrounding countryside and a good vantage point to enjoy sunrise, sunset and night sky.

Figure 15 Local Green spaces - two already designated in the Cotswold District Council Local Plan and one new addition

4.5 Views

- 4.5.1** A key contributing factor to the rural character and setting of Siddington are the views out into the open countryside and from all entry roads leading into the village. These views connect the viewer with the open countryside, and it is important that they are maintained.
- 4.5.2** At the northern end of the village there are views across pasture from Spire View to the main form of the village. The entry road to the village (Siddington Road) also has panoramic views of pasture on both sides.
- 4.5.3** The western approach via Park Way is confined between hedge rows until it enters the village, but then the views are of small fields, cottage gardens and historic buildings.
- 4.5.4** The southern entrance along Ashton Road passes through hedge lined fields before entering the village whereon it is flanked by traditional stone cottages, a historic grade II listed public house (The Greyhound) and a panoramic view across horse paddocks to the Thames and Severn canal.
- 4.5.5** The eastern end of the village is approached along South Cerney Road which, after crossing the River Churn, passes between water meadows with extensive panoramic views before entering the village where the view changes to traditional stone cottages, Siddington Manor (listed Grade 2) and the spire of St Peter's Church.
- 4.5.6** Within the village there are many other short and middle-distance views that form an important part of the character and setting of the settlement and incorporate Cotswold building styles from grand houses to small cottages, an ancient church and tithe barn and attractive open spaces.

These views are dealt within under policy **S7** and are set out in more detail in Appendix C.



Figure 16 View of St Peter's Church from meadow next to Siddington Road

4.6 Getting Around Siddington

- 4.6.1** In Siddington, car ownership per household is 11% above the national average at 87.4%. The village is served by a regular daytime bus service linking it to Swindon and intervening settlements and to Cirencester and Cheltenham.
- 4.6.2** Local roads within the village get congested at peak times which is further exacerbated by on-street parking. Narrow roads and on-street parking can prevent larger vehicles, including the bus, from getting through the village causing congestion.
- 4.6.3** The A419/A417 dual carriageway is close by, giving good links to the M4 motorway (approximately 18 miles) and the MS (18 miles).
- 4.6.4** Siddington is served by the 51 bus, which operates between Cheltenham and Swindon, stopping at Cirencester, South Cerney, and Cricklade. This service runs hourly during the day, from early morning until early evening.
- 4.6.5** The nearest train station is at Kemble, some 3.4 miles away, and this offers a through service to Paddington. The 51 bus also stops near Swindon station and is within a 15-minute walk or short bus ride from Cheltenham station.
- 4.6.6** According to the recent survey, 91% of residents consider good public transport to be important when living in Siddington (50% rated it very important, 41% important). Calls for improved public transport ranked fifth among requested new facilities, with suggestions including better links to smaller villages, direct connections to Kemble station, and improved taxi availability.
- 4.6.7** The lack of designated off-road cycle routes linking Siddington to Cirencester and other local villages acts as a disincentive for greater use of cycling for commuting and leisure, and Siddington residents are keen for this to be improved.
- 4.6.8** The parish is largely rural in nature and has a satisfactory network of footpaths, including the Thames and Severn Way, a well-known long-distance walking trail. The area is attractive for local walkers and visitors alike and most paths linked to the village are well used daily. A map showing existing and proposed foot and cycle routes is at Figure 17.




Figure 17 Existing and proposed foot and cycle paths

4.7 Community Facilities

- 4.7.1** The parish of Siddington has a number of facilities and services, all of which are in the main village of Siddington. They encourage and enhance a strong sense of community and contribute to the community's wellbeing.
- 4.7.2** The key facilities in the village identified by respondents to the household survey as 'Very Important' or 'Important' are listed in Appendix D. Siddington has most of the facilities required to help a rural village thrive, and larger shops and services are available close at hand in Cirencester.
- 4.7.3** Respondents to the household survey also identified additions or improvements to village facilities they would like to see, and these are included in Appendix B. The Parish Council has been supplied with a list of these aspirations, and it will be used to guide their thinking. Action is already underway on several of them.

5 ISSUES AND EVIDENCE

- 5.1.1** The household survey identified the main issues for residents of the parish, and the Steering Group has concentrated on these issues. For the purposes of developing policies, the Steering Group has supplemented the views of parishioners with additional evidence, including Census data, Public Rights of Way mapping, Environment Agency flood mapping and the 2018 Cotswold District Plan.
- 5.1.2** In some cases, members of the Steering Group have carried out assessments to help develop policies, including research into locally important views. These assessments followed sound methodologies based on national guidance and best practice. Background papers have been produced and published separately to support such policies.

6 VISION, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES

6.1 Background

- 6.1.1** This whole document makes up our Neighbourhood Plan. The Plan is structured around an overarching 'vision' for the Plan period with underlying objectives and supporting policies and, as such, these are a critical element of the Plan. Our vision, objectives and policies have been driven by the results of the household survey which was completed in full by 21.7% of households.
- 6.1.2** A Neighbourhood Plan can include as many or as few objectives and supporting policies as considered relevant and appropriate to a particular area. These objectives and policies can be added to, or modified, during the lifetime of the plan. An objective can have one or more supporting policies, and policies can support more than one objective.
- 6.1.3** The contents of a Neighbourhood Plan must be related to planning matters. Siddington has numerous community aspirations that cannot be dealt with through planning policies. These have been picked up in a separate 'Aspirations and Actions Plan' set out in Appendix B. This will be reviewed and updated by the Parish Council periodically.

6.2 Summary of our Vision, Objectives and Policies

- 6.2.1** The diagram below shows the structure of our Vision, Objectives and Policies.




Figure 18 Structure of our Vision, Objectives and Policies

6.3 The Approach

- 6.3.1 Based upon the results of the household survey, the Steering Group identified the key matters the community wanted the Plan to focus on and created an overarching vision for the period up to 2031 (the Plan period).

6.4 Our Vision

- 6.4.1 The vision for our parish is below:

Siddington's Vision

Over the Plan period, the Parish of Siddington will retain its own identity as a village settlement distinct from the nearby urban centre of Cirencester and maintain its rural character and landscape setting. The community will continue to be a peaceful, friendly, and inclusive place to live, with thriving facilities for all age groups. New development will be sensitively integrated into the existing settlement and contribute positively to the immediate surroundings.

6.5 Our Objectives

- 6.5.1 To help achieve the vision we have established four key objectives which link back to the issues identified in the household survey and provide a framework for the policies to be developed.

- **Built Environment Objective** - To protect Siddington's identity as a rural village settlement distinct from the nearby urban centre of Cirencester.
- **Natural Environment Objective** - To protect and enhance the local natural environment for the benefit of future generations including biodiversity, green and open spaces, views, and footpaths.
- **Community Facilities Objective** - To encourage and enhance a strong sense of community by supporting, maintaining and improving the existing facilities for all age groups.
- **Traffic Impact Objective** - To maintain and support connectivity throughout the parish on foot, bicycle, and bus to minimise traffic problems and reduce emissions.

6.6 Our Policies - Overview

- 6.6.1** The sections below set out the planning policies and proposals that will be used to help achieve the vision and objectives. As depicted by Fig. 18, throughout the development of the policies, the Steering Group has referred to the Vision and Objectives to ensure that the Plan addresses the issues identified through community consultation, and its policies meet the overarching aims of the Plan.
- 6.6.2** As discussed above, four broad objectives: retaining and maintaining identity, protecting the rural character and environment, encouraging and enhancing community facilities, and enhancing connectivity were identified as the priority for the Plan based on responses from residents. Each of these policies is set out below.

6.7 Preventing Coalescence of Siddington with Cirencester

Policy S1 - Preventing Coalescence of Siddington with Cirencester

1. The area shown on Figure 19 is identified to prevent the coalescence of Siddington village and Cirencester to protect the distinctly separate and rural identity of Siddington village.
2. Development proposals will be assessed on their physical, perceptual and visual impacts. Proposals which would either individually or cumulatively detract from the physical and visual separation, and openness between Cirencester and Siddington village, will not be supported.




Figure 19 Identified Siddington 'Gap' to prevent the coalescence of Siddington village and Cirencester

Justification

- 6.7.1** This policy seeks to prevent the coalescence of the settlements of Siddington village (lower and upper Siddington) and Cirencester (including Siddington North which is part of the built form of Cirencester), to protect the distinct rural identity of Siddington village, and maintain the openness of the defined gap between the two settlements.
- 6.7.2** The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan Vision and Aims Statement sets out a clear intention for the Parish of Siddington to maintain its identity as a distinct village, separate from the nearby urban centre of Cirencester, while preserving its rural, open character and landscape setting throughout the Plan period. The vision affirms Siddington's future as a small, thriving rural community with a clear and separate identity.
- 6.7.3** 92% of respondents to the household survey identified the protection of the undeveloped gap between Siddington and Cirencester as important. The weight of this response underscores local concern about potential encroachment and the associated risk of merging with Cirencester, which would significantly alter the character and autonomy of the village.
- 6.7.4** Furthermore, this policy aligns with Policy NE7 'Green Gaps' of the emerging Cirencester Neighbourhood Plan, which acknowledges the pressures of growth on Cirencester and the consequent threat of coalescence with surrounding settlements, including Siddington. Policy NE7 specifically recognises the need to preserve the identity and landscape setting of neighbouring communities such as Siddington. In response, this Neighbourhood Plan clearly delineates the 'Gap' so as to ensure Siddington's long-term protection as a distinctive rural village.
- 6.7.5** The need for this gap in terms of retaining the character and setting of Siddington is referred to in the White Report⁶ which forms part of the evidence base of the adopted Local Plan at paragraph 10.38. stating "The gap between the southern edge of Cirencester and Siddington is small around and east of the Rectory. Development here would be unacceptable as it would effectively link the two settlements. The fields surrounding Siddington Manor and church on both sides of Siddington Road are important to Siddington's character and setting and to maintain separation between the settlement and Cirencester."
- 6.7.6** The importance of maintaining the separation between Siddington and Cirencester is reinforced in the adopted Local Plan at paragraph 7.2.3 which identifies that constraints exist when considering new development in some locations around Cirencester where "the close proximity of neighbouring settlements, whose individual identities should be protected from coalescence with the town's urban area."
- 6.7.7** The Inspector in the Severell's Field appeal also makes direct reference to the finding of the White Report in its assessment of landscape function (keeping Siddington separate from the southern edge of Cirencester) and value (medium/high) of the countryside between Siddington and Cirencester. The Inspector states that "I find the White Reports to be

⁶ White Report - Study of land surrounding Key Settlements in Cotswold District Update October 2014

thorough and robust assessments of the landscape". In addition, the Inspector refers to the submitted Statement of Common Ground for Landscape and Visual Matters (SoCGLV) stating that "The SoCGLV also notes that the Local Plan⁷ describes the band of countryside separating Siddington from Cirencester as very important in helping to maintain the village's separate identity."

6.7.8 For the above reasons, the need for Policy S1 is well documented and evidenced.

6.8 Development Boundary and Infill Development




Figure 20 Siddington Development Boundary

Policy S2 - Development Boundary and Infill Development

1. The Plan defines the Development Boundary as shown on the map below (Figure 20).
2. Proposals for infill development within the defined Development Boundary will be supported, provided they are in keeping with the size and scale of the village, will not involve the outward extension of the Development Boundary of the village, and comply with all relevant requirements set out in other policies in this plan and the Cotswold District Plan.

⁷ Adopted Cotswold District Local Plan 2018

Justification

- 6.8.1** In defining the Development Boundary of Siddington, the observed settlement edge is formed by buildings which have a clear functional relationship to the settlement. Features that appear visually as part of the open landscape - roads, waterways, large open gardens with no/few built structures - are excluded from the boundary.
- 6.8.2** Infilling is defined as the filling of a small gap in an otherwise built-up frontage or on other sites within settlements where the site is closely surrounded by buildings.
- 6.8.3** The Plan does not make any housing site allocations. This decision reflects the majority view of villagers who responded to the NDP survey. In reply to the question "what aspects from past development or changes in land use do you think are important in moving forward and should be taken into account when considering the future of Siddington", respondents gave the following views:
- One third of respondents thought that there should be no further development given the major developments that had recently taken place, or been approved, either in the village or on its borders: Severells Field (88 houses), The Steadings (2350 houses), Siddington Park (180 retirement apartments), and Kingshill Lane (280 homes).
 - There is a widely held view that the village has been over-developed since 1951 with a 371% increase in the number of households in that period. (Source: National Census 2021 adjusted for recent housing developments).
- 6.8.4** The local community recognises the potential value that small scale infill housing development may have in enabling access to homes in the Parish suited to younger people and downsizers. 72% of survey respondents were in favour of redeveloping existing sites and 46% backed infill sites within the built form of the village. As to the preferred size of developments, the vast majority of respondents (70%) backed smaller developments of less than 10 dwellings.

6.9 Housing to Meet the Needs of the Community

Policy S3 - Housing to meet the needs of the community

1. Within the Development Boundary for Siddington as defined in Policy S2, residential development will be supported where the type of housing reflects the local needs and where designs are capable of adaptation, allowing for easy internal alterations to meet any occupant's existing or future needs
2. There is a need for:
 - a) senior friendly housing;
 - b) single-story units with universal design (ramps/wider doors, walk-in showers);
 - c) dwellings capable of easy adaptation to accommodate changing mobility, sensory, or care needs;
 - d) houses with high insulation values and energy efficiency;
 - e) homes meeting or exceeding Building Regulations M4(2) Accessible and Adaptable Dwellings.
 - f) small senior housing clusters.
3. Homes without their own entrance at ground level will not be supported unless step-free access is provided and inclusive access is demonstrated.

Justification

- 6.9.1** The over 65 age group is over-represented in Siddington (28.1%) while the over 80s comprise 8% of the village population. The majority of this older age group currently live in two-storey homes with three or more bedrooms which were built in the period between 1950 and 1980 when houses were not designed to accommodate older residents with mobility issues. These homes are often poorly insulated with high energy costs.
- 6.9.2** There is a specific need to provide more accommodation tailored to this older population which means homes which are single storey, with easy access externally and internally, and with a high energy efficiency rating. The grouping together of this type of accommodation allows for greater social interaction within this older community.
- 6.9.3** Development should be constructed to ensure that it is adaptable without significant cost to cope with changing needs of its occupants. This is important given the ageing population of Siddington as adaptable homes will enable residents to stay in their homes as they get older.

Policy S4 - General Design Principles

To be supported development proposals must:

Local Character

1. Respect the distinctive local character of Siddington parish and demonstrate that full account has been taken of the existing local area.
2. Make a positive contribution to the existing street scene and surroundings by respecting building lines, orientation, heights and dormer levels, where appropriate.
3. Be of a scale, mass, density, and height appropriate to the local area.
4. Have regard to the impact on tranquility including noise and traffic movements.
5. Ensure development proposals maintain visual connections with the surrounding countryside.

Siting

6. Be sited sensitively to avoid overlooking, and loss of privacy and amenity to, neighbouring buildings.

Detailed Design and Materials

7. Follow the guidance given in Policy EN2 of the CDC Local Plan and Appendix D of the Plan.
8. Where possible and appropriate, follow the Cotswold vernacular.

Boundary Treatments and Landscape on Individual Plots

9. Include green space and boundary treatments that are in keeping with the character of the area and provide wildlife corridors by the use of grass verges and planting to frontages and where appropriate use of low stone or brick walls.
10. To the rear of properties include structural planting and where possible incorporate existing native hedgerows to maintain habitats and create opportunities for biodiversity enhancements.

Policy S4 – General Design Principles (continued)

11. The landscape design of individual plots and the area immediately surrounding them should be of high quality and should reflect the landscape ecological and built character of the area.
12. Private spaces such as gardens should be of an appropriate size for the dwellings and should be designed to ensure privacy and adequate daylight.
13. Private spaces should be clearly recognizable as such using suitable boundary treatments.

The Natural Environment and Landscaping

14. Protect or enhance landscape and biodiversity by incorporating landscaping consistent with CDC Local Plan Policy EN1 and Appendix D. Where possible retain and enhance existing vegetation.
15. The management and monitoring of Green Infrastructure (GI) should usually be controlled by a management plan. The plan should clearly set out who will be responsible for the GI and landscape provision. Management plans should be implemented in full and regularly reviewed.
16. Reduce the flood risk by including sustainable drainage design (SuDS) features which show a betterment in surface water run-off rates on brownfield sites when compared with pre-development situation and mitigate against any increased flood risk.

Links and Connections

17. Maintain communal open spaces and integrate with existing pedestrian routes to enhance connectivity within the local area.
18. Incorporate footpaths and connections into the development in a sensitive way to avoid wide areas of hard surfacing.

Energy Efficiency

19. Incorporate the use of renewable energy to generate power (Photo Voltaic panels and tiles) and air source heat pumps where appropriate to reduce the reliance on fossil fuels.

Facilities

20. Make provision for off-road parking for residents and visitors in accordance with Appendix F of the CDC Local Plan to minimize on-street parking. Ensure new driveways are in materials that are permeable and in keeping with the rural character of the village.

Policy S4 - General Design Principles (continued)

Water Management

21. Features that promote the efficient use of water and reduce surface water run-off, including permeable paving, the use of water butts and where applicable rainwater harvesting, will be supported.
22. Water efficiency measures that go beyond the current Building Regulations will be supported.

Justification

- 6.10.1** Although Siddington does not form part of the Cotswold National Landscape, it lies adjacent to its southern border, and the Cotswold Water Park is partly within the parish. Part of the Kemble and Ewen Special Landscape Area is within the western borders of the parish. With its mixture of Dip Slope Lowland landscape and River Basin Lowland landscape, it is an attractive rural landscape which is well worth preserving. This makes it essential that developments in the parish preserve or enhance the local character of Siddington which is very much a Cotswold village. New development that is at odds with the local area can be harmful and will be resisted.
- 6.10.2** There are several developments in Siddington, particularly post-war housing schemes, where the character of the area has not been reflected in scheme design. These developments have been built using standard house types and layouts that are not locally distinctive. The result is insensitive development which is not integrated with its surroundings either in character or scale. The design of any new development must ensure that poor design quality is not replicated and that that site layout is more in keeping with Siddington's historic character.
- 6.10.3** The historic core of Siddington was built in local stone and includes both cosy Cotswold cottages and grander houses. There are 15 listed buildings including St Peter's church with its Norman features, a mediaeval tithe barn and 8 large houses (see Appendix E). These buildings constructed in the Cotswold vernacular provide the framework around which the village has been built over the centuries, and it is essential that future development seeks to conform to and enhance this built history.
- 6.10.4** To reflect the past but be fit for the present, the design and materials used should reflect the key attributes of the traditional buildings of the area, while providing energy-efficient and liveable modern homes.
- 6.10.5** High quality, well integrated and carefully designed green infrastructure and landscape provision are crucial to the long-term success of developments as these are vital to the enjoyment of those living in and visiting these developments. The spaces in between new

buildings, the surrounding areas, and the connections between a new development and the existing landscape are equally important as the design of the buildings themselves.

6.10.6 Where there is communal Green Infrastructure, it is vital to ensure that there is a comprehensive plan for its satisfactory completion by the developer and for its monitoring and maintenance in the longer term, with a clear allocation of responsibility for maintenance and an effective mechanism for enforcement of that responsibility.

6.10.7 The Swindon/ Wycombe/ Oxfordshire (SWOX) Water Resource Zone (WRZ) serves the parish. There is a 'High' deficit of supply for demand in the SWOX WRZ and the area can be considered as seriously water stressed. It is important that any new development attempts to reduce its water consumption and take opportunities to re-use grey water. The Plan encourages the use of designs and technologies to reduce water usage.

6.11 Old Talland School of Equitation

Policy S5 – Old Talland School of Equitation

1. Development at the Old Talland School of Equitation site will be supported where it is demonstrated it will secure the long-term conservation, reuse and enhancement of the designated heritage assets.
2. Any harm to, or loss of, the significance of either the:
 - a) tithe barn (Grade II* Scheduled Monument);
 - b) adjoining barn (Grade II); or
 - c) animal shelter (Grade II)or the setting of :
 - d) St Peter's Church (Grade II);
 - e) Church Farmhouse (Grade II); or
 - f) Siddington Manor (Grade II)particularly through alteration or development within the site will not be supported, and only permitted with clear and convincing justification or substantial public benefits in line with national policy.
3. Development proposals will be encouraged at the site where they:
 - a) are informed by a proportionate heritage assessment demonstrating how the heritage assets will be conserved and enhanced; and
 - b) respect and enhance the character of the site and its surroundings in scale, form, design and appearance.
 - c) appropriately responds to the constraints of the site, including flood risk.

Justification

- 6.11.1** Although the Plan makes no new housing site allocations, it does support development at the Old Talland School of Equitation in order to secure the future conservation of the heritage assets.
- 6.11.2** This policy supports sensitive enabling development as a pragmatic means of securing their long-term future, ensuring that proposals both conserve the heritage assets and reinforce the site's positive contribution to local character and sense of place.
- 6.11.3** This site sits at the northern gateway to the village and comprises a mix of disused stables associated with a former equestrian facility, agricultural buildings and a mediaeval tithe barn with an adjoining cottage and two other listed barns. The tithe barn is a scheduled monument and has a Grade II* listing, while a small adjoining barn to the west of the tithe barn and an animal shelter to the south are both Grade II listed.
- 6.11.4** Immediately adjacent to the development site are located St Peter's Church, Church Farmhouse and Siddington Manor all of which are Grade II listed. This is the historic heart of the village, and the group of buildings retain key elements of the settlement from the Middle Ages.
- 6.11.5** The current site has been little used for a number of years, and the buildings are showing signs of dilapidation. It currently provides a forlorn image on entering the village and sensitive redevelopment of the site would greatly enhance this key historic location and ensure the ancient buildings are properly preserved for posterity.



Figure 21 Map of Old Tai/and/Church Farm Site



Figure 22 Aerial view of Old Tai/and/Church Farm development site




Figure 23 Old Ta/land Site - Tithe Barn and stables

6.12 Local Green Spaces

Policy S6 - Local Green Space Policy

1. The following areas identified on the map at Figure 15 are designated as Local Green Spaces in the 2018 Cotswold District Plan, LGS9 and 10, and will be protected from development:
 - a) the playing fields on Park Way.
 - b) the Siddington allotments.
2. The site at Canal Lane, including its verges and hedgerows, is also proposed as a Local Green Space in this Plan.
3. Development at these sites will only be permitted where there are very special circumstances which outweigh the harm to these Local Green Spaces.

Justification

- 6.12.1** Green open spaces are a vital part of the character, identity and setting of the village of Siddington. Many of them have historic significance and provide a valuable habitat to flora and fauna. They contribute to the wellbeing and health of the local community by providing a valuable recreation resource, visual amenity and provide areas for peace and tranquility. Uncontrolled changes to green spaces can irrevocably alter their special character or intrinsic value.
- 6.12.2** All three sites designated as Local Green Spaces in Siddington are well contained, local in scale and located within, or adjacent to, the development boundary of the village. They are demonstrably special to villagers of all ages and are invaluable in terms of providing recreational areas and attractive views within the village.



Figure 24 *Siddington Playing Fields - a Local Green Space*



Figure 25 *Siddington Allotments - a Local Green Space*




Figure 26 *Canal Lane - a Local Green Space*

6.13 Important Views

Policy S7 - Important Views Policy

1. The following areas, identified on a map at Appendix C, are designated as locally important views and should be protected from any adverse impact of new development.
 - a) View of St Peter's church from Church Avenue.
 - b) Views to either side of Siddington Road between Cirencester and Siddington.
 - c) Views from Spire View towards Siddington.
 - d) Views to either side of Ashton Road and along the road on entering Siddington from the south.
 - e) Views on entering the village from the west along Park Way.
 - f) Views on entering the village from the east along South Cerney Road.
2. Development proposals will be expected to take account of and respond in a positive way to these views and will not be supported if they will cause the loss of, or have a detrimental impact on, these existing views.

6.13.1 Certain views both into the village from outside and to landmarks from inside the village are very important to the community. Conservation of such views is a key element of preserving the character of the village and the surrounding landscape. Those views given protection under this policy are of particular significance to the community. Details on these views are in Appendix C.

6.13.2 The policy seeks to protect the high-quality landscape setting and sense of place of the village. Planning applications will be expected to respect the importance of these views and give full consideration to the interplay between building layouts, built form, height, mass, scale, building line, plot size, elevational design, materials, streetscape and rooflines and important views in such a way that preserves and enhances their contribution to defining the character of Siddington, avoiding any obstruction, punctuation, or undermining of important views.

6.13.3 The selection of Important Views has been informed by the results of the NDP survey and an Assessment of Important Views in Siddington (2025) which forms part of the evidence base. More detail on the assessment process is contained in Appendix C.

6.13.4 This policy fleshes out the CDC Local Plan Policy EN4 dealing with the Wider Natural and Historic Landscape. The CDC policy includes a paragraph which mentions that there is range of individual landscape assets and features, including key views and skyline features, which "are worthy of conservation and enhancement." It goes on to state that "A particularly important issue for the AONB and other parts of the district is the conservation and enhancement of the setting of historic settlements." This has been a priority in our selection of Important Views.



Figure 27 A view of the Round House, a converted 18th century windmill, seen from near South Cerney Road

6.14 Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland

Policy S8 - Trees, Hedgerows and Woodlands Policy

1. Where it is not possible or feasible to retain trees or hedgerows with the following characteristics:
 - a. trees of high landscape, amenity, ecological or historical value, or
 - b. veteran trees, or
 - c. hedgerows of high landscape, amenity, ecological or historical value,and it can be demonstrated that the benefits of the development outweigh the loss, replacement trees or hedgerows of an equivalent or better standard will be required in an appropriate location on the site.
2. New development will be supported where it supports biodiversity, including the planting of appropriate native trees and hedges, unless it is demonstrated that this is not practicable.

Justification

- 6.14.1** For clarity, Clause (a) of part one of the policy includes trees protected by a Tree Protection Order or located within a conservation area; clause (c) includes those meeting the criteria of 'important hedgerow' in the Hedgerow Regulations; and clause (d) includes ancient semi-natural or ancient replanted woodland.
- 6.14.2** Trees, hedgerows, and woodlands are key to maintaining Siddington's scenic beauty and its character. These natural features contribute to biodiversity, carbon storage, flood risk mitigation, air and water quality, soil protection, and human well-being.
- 6.14.3** New development is required to take account of the Gloucestershire Local Nature Recovery Strategy and in particular recommendations and opportunities for protecting and enhancing local biodiversity. Further information on opportunities for biodiversity enhancement are described in the West of England Nature Recovery Plan: Western Forest, the Cotswold Water Park Nature Recovery Plan and the Cotswolds Nature Recovery Plan.

6.15 Local Flood Prevention

Policy S9 - Flood Policy

1. All new development that will result in waste or surface water to be drained, should provide a Water Management Statement. This should provide detail on how drainage will be dealt with on site and for the longer term and may be contained within a Drainage Strategy. Any proposed attenuation features must be accompanied by a long-term funded maintenance/management plan to ensure that the system does not degrade over time with a clear allocation of responsibility for maintenance and an effective mechanism for enforcement of that responsibility.
 - a) For new developments, surface water should not be conveyed to the foul or combined sewage system and should instead be managed through sustainable drainage measures that can accommodate extreme rainfall events taking account of the latest climate change data and in accordance with the flood authority's guidance. Where practicable, in making alterations to existing buildings, the removal of surface water already connected to foul or combined sewer will be supported.
 - b) For brownfield sites, sustainable drainage design (SuDS) features that, for surface water flow rates, show a significant (currently 40%) betterment over current rate, or greenfield run-off rate. The betterment/ discharge rates must include an allowance for climate change impacts (contact Gloucestershire County Council, the Local Lead Flood Authority for the latest guidance).
 - c) SuDS features should be at the surface and adequate treatment of flows should be provided to ensure that final flows leaving the site do not degrade the quality of accepting water bodies. Underground storage of water (attenuation) will be supported where it is demonstrated this is necessary on grounds of viability or practicality.
 - d) Flood attenuation areas should be located outside flood zones 2 and 3 and recorded surface water flood outlines to ensure that the full capacity is retained.
 - e) Measures must be secured to mitigate against any increased flood risk on-site and to existing neighbouring properties.
 - f) Consideration should be given to water courses and infrastructure beyond the site boundary and schemes should avoid adding water to areas with known flooding and capacity issues at times of heavy rainfall.
 - g) Proposals which do not satisfactorily demonstrate secure arrangements for the prevention of fluvial and pluvial flooding will not be supported.

Justification

- 6.15.1** Cotswold District Local Plan Policies EN14 and INF8 address requirements for flood prevention, flood alleviation, and water management infrastructure. In addition to these policies and Section 14 of the revised NPPF (2024), the Siddington NDP has developed its locally specific policy as above.
- 6.15.2** Flooding is a major concern for the parish as it has a significant impact on many properties in the parish. The questionnaire showed that 10% of respondents had experienced flooding of their houses, 19% of their gardens, 19% of their drains and sewers and 35% in the public road adjoining their properties. 23% of respondents said that they were 'very concerned' about flooding in Siddington while 49% were 'moderately' worried.
- 6.15.3** There are known flood issues caused by both fluvial and surface water flows. The lowest parts of the village are within Environment Agency Flood zones (see Figure 28). Historically, floods have been caused by the River Churn overflowing downstream of Cirencester and upstream of Siddington Mill bridge with water flowing across the floodplain fields between Cirencester and Siddington. River levels and flooding are augmented by surface water flow including both run-off from adjacent land (pluvial) and lack of capacity in piped drainage systems. Natural drainage is limited by elevated river levels, a high-water table and clay or loamy soils and subsoil. There is additional information on the history of flooding and its causes in CDC's Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (October 2023), in particular Appendix 1.
- 6.15.4** The village has been affected by flooding events in 2000, 2007, 2012, 2013-14, 2020, and 2025-26. In 2020 houses in the village were flooded and roads closed. These flood events are invariably made worse by accompanying sewage overflows following groundwater infiltrating sewage pipes.
- 6.15.5** Flooding also affects farmland to the north, east and south of Siddington in the floodplain on the west bank of the Churn as well as along drainage lines further west (see figure 28).
- 6.15.6** The accepted practice of permitting flood attenuation areas in Flood Zone 2 to contribute to flood risk mitigation is considered unworkable in Siddington based on local conditions and experience. The underlying reasons are: winter groundwater levels at the surface (see SFRA, October 2023, Section 4.3 Groundwater Flood Risk, p.35), local soils and subsoils derived from alluvial clays with low permeability (SFRA Section 2.1 Geology and Local Topography, p18) which contribute to historic groundwater flooding in Siddington (SFRA Section 4.91 pp60-61). In addition, flood events tend to be prolonged. For example, Siddington experienced at least 38 days of surface discharge from combined sewers into the floodplain in Winter 2025-26 primarily due to inundation from groundwater. Furthermore, all three of the developments in the Siddington area incorporating SuDS (Siddington Park Retirement Village, Spire View, Severells Field) have contributed significantly to increase in surface water flooding at those locations, again based on local experience. In view of these conditions and experience, we do not believe that SuDS in Flood Zone 2, (which are by definition adjacent to and only slightly elevated from Flood Zone 3) can contribute in a meaningful way to flood risk mitigation.

6.15.7 This evidence highlights the importance of considering flood risk at the design stage of development. It is critical that any development takes account of the existing extent of flooding and achieves betterment to assist in reducing the risk of flooding in the parish. Consideration should be given not only to the immediate vicinity of development to reduce surface water run-off and mitigate against any fluvial flood risk, but also to any impact to lower lying parts of the parish.




Figure 28 Flood Zones 2 and 3 in Siddington Parish. (Source Environment Agency.)

6.16 Sustainable Transport and Active Travel

Policy S10-Sustainable Transport and Active Travel

S10A - Foot and Cycle Routes and Bridleways

- 1) Preserve existing foot and cycle routes and look for opportunities to secure new routes and access to enhance the network. Developments that would prejudice any of the existing or proposed routes should demonstrate how the route will be safeguarded or incorporated within the design.
- 2) The following proposals will be supported and encouraged:
 - a) proposed path from Tesco to Siddington Road;
 - b) proposed safe route from Siddington to Cirencester adjacent to Siddington Road;
 - c) proposed route from Siddington through Orchards Field to the Steadings;
 - d) proposed bridleway from Siddington to South Cerney via the disused railway line;
 - e) the change in use of Green Lane (a Quiet Lane leading off Clark's Lane) from a highway to a bridleway.(Paragraphs 2a-d are aspirational and are dependent on third party land and funding.)

S10B – Public Transport

- 1) **Preserve and expand public transport services in Siddington.**

S10C – Sustainable Transport

- 1) New development should protect, enhance, expand and positively utilise public routes (walking, cycling and public transport) in all new development. Where appropriate, the use of Neighbourhood Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and S106 funds will be used to enhance and expand these routes.
- 2) **Development will be required to:**
 - a) **support active travel choice through provision, enhancement and promotion of safe, convenient and high-quality walking, cycling and public transport networks;**
 - b) **give priority to pedestrians and cyclists;**
 - c) **ensure links with green infrastructure including Public Rights of Way and, where feasible, wider cycle networks;**
 - d) **consider the needs of all people with disabilities by all modes of travel.**

Justification

- 6.16.1** Footpaths and access to the countryside are highly valued by Siddington residents. "Surrounding countryside" was chosen as one of the most important aspects of Siddington life by 90% of respondents in the village survey, second only to "a peaceful and safe neighbourhood". "Living in a rural location with green spaces, adjoining countryside accessible by good paths" was the most popular aspect of living in Siddington. Walks and rural paths were the best appreciated existing facility in Siddington. And the most popular request for additional facilities was "additional connected footpaths and cycle ways to the countryside, Cirencester, and neighbouring villages".
- 6.16.2** Government and local council policies strongly support a move to walking and cycling around the local area in preference to using cars. Para 109e of the NPPF addresses the issue and it is summarised in the CDC Local Plan under Policy Infrastructure 3 where cycling is encouraged "to shift away from the private car, support sustainable economic growth, enable community connectivity, conserve the environment and improve community health and wellbeing."
- 6.16.3** Our household survey showed that 75% of respondents already walk into Cirencester, although the frequency was not reported. A need for better pedestrian links from Siddington to Cirencester and to the Tesco supermarket, and from Severells Field to the new Steadings development were highlighted in responses to the household survey and, if these were provided, the figure of those who would walk to Cirencester rises to 90%.
- 6.16.4** Current connectivity from Siddington to Cirencester is of mixed quality and incomplete (See Figure 17). There is connectivity to Love Lane industrial estate although the onward journey to Cirencester involves busy roads and limited safe crossing points. Safe access is possible via School Lane which continues as a footpath to the Love Lane industrial estate, as does the canal footpath/cycleway from the top of Pound Close. A new foot and cycle path also links across to Spire View.
- 6.16.5** The most direct route to the centre of Cirencester lies along Siddington Road. This has a pavement, but it is narrow, overgrown and it abuts a road with a 60-mph speed limit. The pavement switches from one side of the road to the other near to a bend and people regard it as unsafe. Widening the pavement is difficult as both the road and pavement are narrow and constrained by lines of hedgerow, ditch and drystone wall. The provision of a safe cycle and pedestrian path on this route is considered a priority. The route for an alternative path is subject to land ownership, engineering and environmental constraints.
- 6.16.6** There is no satisfactory foot or cycle connectivity to the retail outlets near Kingshill roundabout including Tesco and Aldi, as well as onward to Kingshill School. There is a circuitous route via Siddington Road, but this is unsafe (as noted above). The route via South Cerney Road is worse with no pavement and heavy traffic. A new foot and cycle path is needed from the village to Tesco garage (Figure 30, Green Route 1). The landowner has indicated willingness to create this access. Opportunities may be helped by flood mitigation work or redevelopment of the Old Talland/Church Farm site.

- 6.16.7** There is no safe, direct connectivity to the Steadings and Chesterton although the Steadings developer has given an undertaking to provide this, including a pedestrian crossing of Spratsgate Lane from the existing foot and cycle access at Severells Field. This in turn connects to the centre of the village via School Lane or Pound Close (Figure 17, Green Route 2). It is important that this is delivered in a meaningful way, giving safe foot and cycle connectivity to the Steadings and to Chesterton and onward to locations such as Cirencester Hospital, Deer Park School, Cirencester College and the RAU.
- 6.16.8** The creation of these two routes from Siddington to Tesco and from Siddington through Severells Field to the Steadings are explicitly supported in the Cirencester Town Council Neighbourhood Plan (Draft, 2024, Chapter 6, p.29 onwards) in Policy AM1 a) which calls for the "Creation of a new walking and cycling link from the rear of Tesco to The Steadings roundabout on Spratsgate Lane, via Siddington Church and Severells Field. This route will then connect into the new cycling and walking infrastructure within The Steadings neighbourhood and on to the RAU."




Figure 29 Proposed new cycle and footpath routes (indicative only)

- 6.16.9** There are two footpaths to South Cerney, along the disused canal and along the disused railway. The canal footpath is muddy and difficult in winter, and the survey includes a call for it to be improved. The railway footpath is a permissive path only. It is used as a bridleway and would also make a very good cycle route especially as from South Cerney Road it forms part of the long-distance Sustrans Route 45 connecting to the Cotswold Water Park and beyond. This is currently blocked by private landownership on the route. Extension of this route to Cirencester is also recommended in the Cirencester Local Cycling & Walking Infrastructure Plan (June 2022) commissioned by Gloucestershire County Council, and in the South Cerney Neighbourhood Plan (December 2021).

6.16.10 There is reasonable foot and cycle connectivity to Shorncliffe along quiet roads including Green Lane. There is strong support in the village to see this closed to traffic.

6.16.11 There are no safe foot connections to the west including Ewen although the Ewen-Siddington road has limited traffic and is well used by cyclists. The line of the canal and the old Cirencester Kemble railway both offer opportunities for connections, but the land is privately owned and there is currently no public access. The use of disused railways and canals as green infrastructure including foot and/or cycle access is supported by CDC Policy INF7. Restoration of the canal and towpath are supported by CDC Policy SP3.

6.16.12 The suggested improvements are all consistent with the priorities and detailed proposals set out in the Cirencester Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan.

6.17 Supporting Community Facilities

Policy S11 - Protecting & Supporting Community Facilities

- 1) The Neighbourhood Plan defines the following properties as community facilities:
 - a) Siddington village hall
 - b) St Peter's Church
 - c) The Greyhound public house
 - d) Siddington playing fields
 - e) Siddington allotments
 - f) Siddington convenience store/post office
 - g) Siddington CoE primary school
- 2) Development proposals that will result in the loss, or significant reduction in, the scale and value of a community facility listed above will not normally be permitted unless:
 - a) alternative facilities of equal or better accessibility, size and suitability are provided or
 - b) it can be clearly demonstrated that the operation of the asset or its ongoing delivery is no longer of value to the community or
 - c) the facility is demonstrated to be no longer economically viable in its current use and has been marketed, at an independently agreed price by a qualified property professional, for a minimum of 12 months for community use and, where this is not feasible, for other suitable employment or service trade uses. Development will only be supported where no interest in acquisition or operation is identified.
- 3) Proposals to sustain or extend the viable use of existing community facilities and the development of new facilities will normally be supported if they comply with other policies in this Neighbourhood Plan.

Justification

- 6.17.1** The buildings and facilities listed in this policy are considered to have significant value to the community. This policy protects the popular and valued community facilities in the parish from harmful development proposals but encourages proposals that are intended to sustain or extend those facilities. In evaluating any proposal, relevant user groups and clubs should be consulted.
- 6.17.2** Evidence from the community shows that the facilities are well used and held in high regard as they scored highly in the survey when answering a question on the importance of facilities in the parish. The scores which they received can be found in Appendix D.
- 6.17.3** The policy responds to NPPF chapter 8 (Promoting Healthy and Safe Communities) which directs that planning policies and decisions should plan positively for the provision and use of shared spaces, community facilities (such as local shops, meeting places, sports venues open space, cultural buildings, public houses and places of worship) and other local services to enhance the sustainability of communities and residential environments." It also refines CDC INF 2 (Social and Community Infrastructure, in particular paragraphs 11.2.9 and 11.2.10) by identifying the specific facilities in Siddington to protect.
- 6.17.4** It assists in meeting the Plan objective to encourage and enhance a strong sense of community by maintaining, supporting and improving existing facilities for a range of age groups.

6.18 Driving Priorities for Local Infrastructure Improvements

- 6.18.1** As noted in Section 1.3, new development within the parish will currently provide funds which can be used by the Parish Council for improvement of infrastructure within the parish. Such monies could include the neighbourhood proportion (from new residential development in the neighbourhood area) of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and Section 106. This will help finance and deliver specific infrastructure projects relating to the development and make the scheme satisfactory in line with paragraphs 56 to 59 of the Revised NPPF (2025).
- 6.18.2** These funds can be used by the Parish Council to pay for things like community centres, cycleways, flood defences, play areas, public open space etc.
- 6.18.3** Appendix B of the Plan will be used to consider priorities for spending these funds as these areas have been identified by residents of the parish as being important to them.

7. ASPIRATIONS

- 7.1** Feedback from residents to the Regulation 14 consultation highlighted concerns with sewage spills from the main sewers linking Cirencester and Siddington to the Shorncote Wastewater Treatment Works which have persisted for a number of years. Parishioners have experienced regular discharges from the main sewer when there are high rainfall events, and these have become more frequent as a result of climate change. The residents most affected by sewage

discharges in their street and homes are those living in Cherry Tree Drive, but there are also much larger discharges occurring in the fields to the north of Church Farm and in the fields adjoining Ashton Road just south of Siddington.

7.2 The situation at Shorncote WWTW is similarly serious. Despite large investment at Shorncote discharge events between 25 November 2025 and 13 April 2026 totalled 2885 hours. Monitoring data for 2024 shows that the works spilled for 4,459 hours, equivalent to over half the year.

7.3 It is appreciated that NDPs are dependent on Local Planning Authorities for strategic policies on the provision of infrastructure, but it is the hope of local residents that the CDC Revised Local Plan will take account of the long running sewage capacity issues affecting Siddington and take no actions that will exacerbate the current unsatisfactory situation.

7.4 During the drafting of the Plan, residents expressed their wishes for changes and improvements to be made in the parish which are outside the purview of planning. These have been recorded in Appendix B and will be addressed either through the Parish Council or in conjunction with others.

8. MONITORING AND REVIEW

8.1.1 The Plan covers the period 2026 to 2035. Given the emerging review of the Cotswold District Local Plan, it is anticipated that our Plan will be reviewed once the Revised Local Plan is adopted. CDC have published a timeline for the review with adoption of the Revised Local Plan in 2027.

8.1.2 As a minimum the NDP will be reviewed every three years to understand how effective it has been in meeting objectives and whether any new issues have arisen.

8.1.3 Where new issues are identified changes may be required; for example, when policies are not working as intended, or are no longer relevant, or may have been superseded by new national planning policy, or by a review of the Cotswold District Council Local Plan. In these cases, the Parish Council will seek to modify the Plan.

8.1.4 Updated information is shared on the Siddington PC website:

[Launch of the Neighbourhood Plan – Siddington PC](#)

9. APPENDICES

9.1 Appendix A - Glossary of Terms

Term	Description
Active Travel Corridor (ATC)	A route that can be a footpath/ cycle path or road that allows pedestrians and cyclists to move around.
Adoption	The procedure by which a plan becomes formal council responsibility. The Neighbourhood Planning Regulations also call this stage 'made' for the purposes of a Neighbourhood Plan.
Appeal	The process by which a planning applicant can challenge a planning decision that has been refused or had conditions imposed.
Allocation	A piece of land that has had a particular use earmarked via a Neighbourhood Plan or Local Plan. This might be for housing, employment, or another use such as open space.
Allocated Site	An allocated site in planning terms refers to a site that has been identified for development and has the principle of development agreed upon. This means that the local planning authority has determined that the development is appropriate and necessary for the area. However, this does not mean that the site has planning permission. Instead, it indicates that the site is suitable for development and that the planning application will outline how the development will meet the policy requirements related to the allocation. The allocation process is part of the local planning process and is designed to ensure that development is sustainable and supports the development needs of the area.
Amenity	A positive element or elements that contribute to the overall character or enjoyment of an area. For example, open land, trees, historic buildings and the interrelationship between them, or less tangible factors such as tranquility.
Basic Conditions	Criteria that a Neighbourhood Plan must meet before it can come into force. These are: • They must have appropriate regard to national policy, • They must contribute to the achievement of sustainable development, • They must be in general conformity with the strategic policies in the development plan for the local area, • They must be compatible with UK and EU obligations, • They must conform with the requirements of Chapter 8 of Part 6 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.
Biodiversity	The variety of different types of plant and animal life in a particular region.

Term	Description
Cirencester Town Council Local Plan	Following Regulation 14 consultation, Cirencester Town Council has prepared its Regulation 16 Neighbourhood Plan, which is undergoing consultation, independent examination, and referendum
Community Engagement	Involving the local community in the decisions that are made regarding their area.
Community Facilities	Buildings, services, and land uses intended to meet the health and wellbeing, social, educational, spiritual, recreational, leisure and cultural needs of the community.
Community infrastructure levy (CIL)	Allows local authorities to raise funds from developers undertaking new building projects in their areas. Money can be used to fund a wide range of infrastructure such as transport schemes, schools, and leisure centres. Parish Councils are entitled to 25% of CIL payments received once a Neighbourhood Plan has been adopted/ 'made'.
Conformity	There is a requirement for neighbourhood plans to have appropriate regard to national policy and to be in conformity with local policy.
Consultation	A communication process with the local community that informs planning decision-making.
Consultation Statement	A document which details when, where and how the public and stakeholders have been consulted, issues that were raised and how they were addressed.
Development	Legal definition is "the carrying out of building, mining, engineering or other operations in, on, under or over land, and the making of any material change in the use of buildings or other land."
Development boundary	A development boundary is a defined line on a map that marks the edge of a village or town, separating the built-up area from the surrounding countryside.
Development Plan	A document setting out the local planning authority's policies and proposals for the development and use of land in the area. In this case Cotswold District Council Local Development Plan (2018).
Employment Land	Land that is used or is proposed to be used for offices, industry and/or storage and distribution - covered by the B Class in the Use Classes Order.
Evidence base	The evidence upon which a development plan is based, principally the background facts and statistics about an area, and the views of stakeholders.
Examination	For neighbourhood planning, an independent assessment carried out by an examiner to determine whether a Neighbourhood Plan meets the Basic Conditions.

Term	Description
Footpath	A pedestrian right of way away from the highway.
Footway	A footway is a surfaced path that either forms part of a highway or runs alongside it. Although footways are commonly referred to as 'footpaths', in law footpaths are paths away from the highway, not along them.
Green Infrastructure (GI)	Interconnected green space that can have a range of functions. Landscape, biodiversity, trees, allotments, parks, open spaces, and other natural assets.
Green space	Those parts of an area which are occupied by natural, designed, or agricultural landscape as opposed to built development; open space, parkland, woodland, sports fields, gardens, allotments, and the like.
Habitats Regulation Assessment	Tests the impacts of a plan or project on nature conservation sites of European importance and is required under EU legislation.
Infrastructure	Basic services necessary for development to take place e.g. roads, electricity, water, education, and health facilities.
Infill Development	The infilling of a small gap within an otherwise built-up area e.g. within gardens or in place of an outbuilding between two houses.
Listed Buildings	Any building or structure which is included in the statutory list of buildings of special architectural or historic interest.
Localism	Shifting power away from central government control to the local level. Making services more locally accountable, devolving more power to local communities, individuals, and councils.
Local Green Space	This is a formal designation that may be made by Neighbourhood Plans, to provide protection for green spaces valued by the local community.
Local Development Plan	The Cotswold District Council Local Plan is the statutory blueprint that guides where, how, and what type of development can take place across the Cotswold district up to 2031. It sets legally enforceable planning policies that shape housing, employment, infrastructure, and environmental protection
Local Planning Authority	Local government body is responsible for formulating planning policies and controlling development; in this case it is Cotswold District Council for most planning matters and Gloucestershire County Council for transport, and waste planning.
Local Referendum	A direct vote in which communities will be asked to either accept or reject a particular proposal.
Local Wildlife Site	Local Wildlife Sites are selected locally because of their importance for wildlife. Although not designated nationally or protected by law, they are recognised in planning policies and local plans. Selection is based on

Term	Description
	scientifically determined criteria and surveys, in line with nationally agreed guidance.
Mixed Use	The development of a single building or site with two or more complementary uses.
National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)	Sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied.
Neighbourhood Area	The local area in which a Neighbourhood Plan or neighbourhood development order can be introduced. In this case the parish of Siddington.
Neighbourhood Plan	A planning document created by a parish or town council or a neighbourhood forum, which sets out the vision for the neighbourhood area, and contains policies for the development and use of land in the area. Neighbourhood Plans must be subjected to an independent examination to confirm that they meet legal requirements, and then to a local referendum. If approved by a majority vote of the local community, the Neighbourhood Plan will then form part of the statutory development plan.
Neighbourhood Planning	A system that allows communities to have a direct say in the development and growth of their local areas. It enables local residents to create a shared vision for their neighbourhood, influencing the location and type of new homes, shops and infrastructure.
Steering Group	For the purposes of producing the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan a group of residents and parish councillors have formed to develop the Neighbourhood Plan. They report to the Parish Council.
Planning Conditions	Planning conditions are provisions attached to the granting of planning permission.
Planning Permission	Formal approval granted by a council allowing a proposed development to proceed.
Policy	A concise statement of the principles that a particular kind of development proposal should satisfy in order to obtain planning permission.
Public Open Space	Open space to which the public has free access.
Rural	Areas of land which are generally not urbanised; usually with low population densities and a high proportion of land devoted to agriculture.

Term	Description
Section 106	Developer contributions, also known as planning obligations, can be secured via a section 106 legal agreement or planning condition attached to a planning permission. They help mitigate any adverse impacts generated by new development on infrastructure and facilities. Sometimes developers can self-impose obligations to pre-empt objections to planning permission being granted.
Setting	The immediate context in which a building is situated, for example, the setting of a listed building could include neighbouring land or development with which it is historically associated, or the surrounding townscape of which it forms a part.
Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)	A protected area designated as being of special interest by virtue of its flora, fauna, geological or geomorphological features. Sites of special scientific interest (SSSI) are designated under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 by the official nature conservation body for the particular part of the UK in question.
Stakeholders	People who have an interest in an organisation or process including residents, business owners and government.
Strategic Policy	A policy that is essential for the delivery of a strategy, for example, the overall scale and distribution of housing and employment in an area.
Sustainable Development	An approach to development that aims to allow economic growth without damaging the environment or natural resources. Development that "meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs".
Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems	An artificial drainage solution which uses natural processes to reduce and slow the quantity and rate of surface water run-off from new development, dealing with it as close to the source as possible.
The Plan	Siddington Parish Neighbourhood Plan
Tree Preservation Order (TPOs)	An order made by a local planning authority to protect a specific tree, a group of trees or woodland. Tree preservation orders (TPOs) prevent the felling, lopping, topping, uprooting or other deliberate damage of trees without the permission of the local planning authority.
Windfall	Sites which have not been identified as available in the Local Plan. These typically consist of small infill plots, vacant land between buildings, or previously developed (brownfield) land that have unexpectedly become available.

9.2 Appendix B - Parish Aspirations and Actions

9.2.1 There were a number of items that were identified through the survey that are of concern and interest to the community but cannot be addressed through planning policy. These aspirations and actions have been recorded, and it is the intention of the Parish Council to address these during the Plan period either through the Parish Council or in conjunction with others including, through a community group established to address the issue, or through another appropriate body. These will be subject to financial, legal and time constraints.

9.2.2 Work has already commenced against some of these aspirations and achieving these has the potential to enhance the quality of life for residents of Siddington and to provide environmental improvements. However, successful delivery will always depend on the availability of adequate funding, commitment from volunteers and the willingness of partner organisations to work with the Parish Council.

9.2.3 The Parish Council is always willing to consider new parish aspirations arising from all sources.

9.2.4 Any of these aspirations that would qualify as 'infrastructure' will be considered for the purposes of spending CIL money or other development related income that might be received by the parish council.

9.2.5 Parish Aspirations and Actions

Ref	Summary of comments in Household survey results/wish list/concerns	Category	Status/comments
1.1	Coffee shop/community hub	Facilities	Fenton's in South Cerney example quoted
1.2	Church	Facilities	Additional parking. Safe crossing point.
1.3	Better facilities for young people both at the playing field and indoors	Facilities	
1.4	Village Shop/post office	Facilities	Improve appearance of shop and frontage.
1.5	Improve public transport to Kemble Station and to smaller neighbouring villages	Facilities	
2.1	Allotments	Social/ Sport/ Leisure	Tidy up allotments. Completed August 2025

Ref	Summary of comments in Household survey results/wish list/concerns	Category	Status/comments
2.2	Playground	Social/ Sport/ Leisure	Additional and improved equipment
2.3	Playing Field	Social/ Sport/ Leisure	Clubhouse improvements underway. Trim Trail - installed December 2025
3.1	Reduce speeding	Traffic	20 mph speed limit in village. 30mph speed limit between Cirencester and Siddington Speed cameras installed in April 2026
3.2	Resurface Siddington Road	Traffic	Completed July 2025
3.3	Improve maintenance of village tarmac pathways	Traffic	Better maintenance of path surfaces and cutting back of bushes
3.5	Tackle overgrown roadside vegetation	Traffic	Greater emphasis required on cutting back obstructing vegetation
3.6	Improve parking at Village Hall	Traffic	Make better use of land at Village Hall to provide off-street parking
3.7	Improve parking for school	Traffic	Dedicated spaces at Severell's Field have been provided
3.8	Improve parking for playing field	Traffic	Additional parking required
3.9	Improve parking for shop	Traffic	Limited space
4.1	Foot/cycle/bridle paths	Countryside	Need for additional paths and improved maintenance as outlined in NDP

Ref	Summary of comments in Household survey results/wish list/concerns	Category	Status/comments
5.1	Improve maintenance of public housing areas	Sustainability & Housing	Action to be taken with Bromford

9.3 Appendix C – Locally Important Views

Photographs, Maps of Viewpoint and Assessment

- 9.3.1 **View 1.** Contained view of St Peter's Church from Church Avenue. Ancient village setting with adjacent historic listed buildings, including the grade II listed St Peter's Church dating from the 11th Century, grade II* listed mediaeval tithe barn and a grade II listed farmhouse.




Figure 30 St Peter's Church. View 1



Figure 31 *St Peter's Church and tithe barn. View iA*

- 9.3.2 View 2.** Panoramic views on entering the village from the north along Siddington Road. Grazing land on either side with hedges and stone walls. Historic listed buildings to the east behind trees and hedges.



Figure 32 *Siddington Road looking south to Siddington. View 2A*



Figure 33 *View of St Peter's Church from meadow next to Siddington Road. View 28*



Figure 34 *View from Siddington Road to Park Way. View 2C*

9.3.3 View 3. Panoramic Views from Spire View to the South towards Siddington. This is the gap between Cirencester and Siddington comprising pasture, St Peter's spire and small copses of mixed woodland. Development in this location would have an adverse impact on the agrarian setting of the village which give it its rural character and identity.



Figure 35 *Looking south-east from Spire View towards Siddington and St Peter's Church. View 3A*



Figure 36 *View looking south-west from Spire View towards Siddington. View 3B*

- 9.3.4 View 4.** Panoramic and street views on entering Siddington from the south along Ashton Road. To the east, grazed horse paddocks. To the west, grade II listed historic public house. On both sides of the road there are traditional stone cottages and a glimpsed view of the 19th century Frazier's Folly.



Figure 37 Ashton Road from The Greyhound. View 4A



Figure 38 Ashton Road through the village from the south. View 4A



Figure 39 The Greyhound Public House. View 48



Figure 40 View of horse paddocks looking east from Ashton Road towards the canal.
View4C



Figure 41 Glimpsed view of Siddington Hall
from Ashton Road. View 40

- 9.3.5 View 5.** Contained street views on entering the village from the west along Park Way where the road is bordered by Grade II listed Barton Farmhouse and the Old Schoolhouse. There are also traditional Cotswold houses, gardens and paddocks



Figure 42 Barton Farmhouse on Park Way. View 5



Figure 43 The Old Schoolhouse. View 5



Figure 44 View east along Park Way on entering the village. View 5

9.3.6 View 6. Panoramic and street views on entering the village from the east along South Cerney Road. Open, expansive views over ridge and furrow pasture to the north and south. To the north there is an exceptional view of a grade II listed manor house and church spire. To the East, the view changes from rural to village with a fine collection of Cotswold stone cottages and the Grade II listed Roberts House.



Figure 45 *View from South Cerney Road to the south across Ridge and Furrow pasture. View 6A*



Figure 46 *Siddington Manor and St Peter's. View 6B*



Figure 47 *South Cerney Road on entry to Siddington. View 6C*



Figure 48 *Cotswold cottages entering village on South Cerney Road. View6C*



Figure 49 Roberts House. View 6C




Figure 50 Map of Views

Map ref. of view point	Location of view point	Designation / landscape type of viewpoint(s)	Type of viewpoint(s) (historic, cultural / movement corridor / recreational)	Number / type of viewpoint users (many / moderate / few)	Direction of view	Description of view	Key components of view	Importance		
								View point	View	Overall
1	Church Avenue	Ancient village setting with a number of adjacent historic listed buildings.	Historic and Cultural. Movement corridor - minor road and footpath.	Moderate users. Cars, pedestrians.	North / West / East	This is a contained view framed by historic buildings on either side and leading to the Grade II listed St Peter's Church (dating from 1150) with its exceptional spire, a local landmark. To the left of the church lies the Grade II* listed 13th Century Tithe Barn and farm buildings. To the right lies the Grade II listed Siddington Manor and the Grade II listed Church Farmhouse.	A single track, tree lined lane to the imposing St Peter's church and spire and taking in views of Church Farmhouse, Tithe Barn and two other barns, which like the church are all Grade II listed. This is the historic setting of early development in Siddington. It benefits from a peaceful rural surrounding and quintessential Cotswold architecture.	E	E	E
2	Village approach from the North via Siddington Road.	Main road from Cirencester to Siddington Village. Village farmlands. Grazing land on either side with hedges and stone walls. Historic listed buildings to the East behind trees and hedges.	Historic. Movement corridor - main road and footpath.	Many users - cars, public transport, cyclists, pedestrians.	South/ East/ West	The view varies from glimpses of paddocks contained within hedgerows and stone walls, to more open and expansive pasture. The fields are not intensively grazed and provide lush wildflower meadows at certain times of the year. There are glimpses of the listed St Peter's church and historic barns to the East.	Hedgerows and traditional stone walling on either side of the road with rural farmland. It provides a clear demarcation between the urban form of Cirencester and the built form of Siddington village and provides the village with its rural setting. The attractiveness of the view on entering the village is partially undermined by the view of housing on Parkway which presents a homogenous, monotonous suburban edge.	S	S	S

3	Spire View	Horse paddocks and village farmland. St Peter's spire. Small copses of mixed woodland.	Movement Corridor - minor road and footpath on edge of housing estate. View from housing estate. Variety of views from public footpath.	Moderate users: cars, pedestrian Continuous view for residents living in Spire View estate. The viewpoint is linked to public footpaths offering numerous vantage points.	South / South East / South West	Hedge fringed rolling pastures to the South with views to the church spire and surrounded by small copses to the South East/South West. Views to the South extend to Siddington Village.	Rural landscape with scenic footpaths to the village, incorporating the old canal towpath and small woodland. It creates a natural settlement gap between the village and Cirencester, preventing urban sprawl and maintaining the village's identity.	S	S	S
4	Village approach from the South via Ashton Road	Main road into the village from the South. Ahead - village street. To the East - grazed horse paddocks. To the West - listed historic public house. Both sides of the road - traditional stone cottages and the 19th century Frazier's Folly.	Historic (adjacent to Listed Building). Movement Corridor - main road, pavement, long-distance path (Seven Thames Way). Recreational - view shared by pub visitors and from their outside seating area.	Many users - cars, public transport, cyclists and horse riders from local livery yard.	North/ East / West	To the West of the road lies the Greyhound public house, a traditional stone-built Cotswold hostelry. To the East, the horse paddocks at Plummer's Farm provide a pleasing rural backdrop with an extensive view of hedge-fringed fields bounded by a disused canal and railway. The reverse of this view (fields and pub) is also highly visible from the Thames and Severn canal long-distance path which runs down one side of the fields and provides a very attractive link between the settlement and the countryside. The other side of the field is bounded by a dismantled railway with outgrown hedges on a slight embankment.	Ashton Road enters the village bordered by traditional Cotswold stone cottages leading to the Greyhound pub, a Grade II listed building recognised for its historic and architectural significance. The paddocks of Plummer's Farm provide a restful scene of grasslands, grazed by horses and flanked by hedgerows and tree belts and with the development of a solar farm on adjacent land, this a key rural feature to maintain at the entry to the village. Further along the road, to the East, there is a glimpsed view of Grade II listed Frazier's Folly.	S	S	S

5	Village Approach from the West via Parkway	Westerly access to the village via tree/hedgerow lined lane passing historic listed buildings.	Historic. Movement Corridor - main road.	Many users - cars, cyclists	East/ North/ South.	On passing the Severell's Field development (screened by hedgerows), the road enters the village at Upper Siddington and on the left-hand side is Barton Farmhouse and the Old School House (both Grade II Listed), while opposite there are a collection of traditional Cotswold houses together with a glimpsed view of pasture and gardens.	An attractive entrance to the village through a rural landscape merging into a village street view of traditional and historic Cotswold stone-built houses surrounded by cottage gardens. Two of the houses are grade II listed. There are also hedgerows, stone walls and limited views of pasture and gardens.	S	S	S
6	Village approach from the East via South Cerney Road	Easterly access to the village via tree/hedgerow lined lane passing pastureland and historic listed buildings.	Historic. Cultural. Movement corridor - main road, also links a series of public footpaths.	Many users - cars, cyclists, pedestrians.	360°	Open, expansive views over ridge and furrow pasture to the North and South. To the North there is an exceptional view of a grade II listed manor house and church spire. To the East, the view changes from rural to village with a fine collection of Cotswold stone cottages and the Grade II listed Roberts House.	The 270 degree view to the West, South and North, include historic ridge and furrow pasture, the River Churn, and on the skyline, the Grade II Round Tower. The view along the road on entering the village is of traditional Cotswold stone cottages together with the more imposing Roberts House (which has links to one of the founders of the Quaker movement). One of the best liked views is across paddocks to the North where an expansive view of paddocks ends in Siddington Manor (Grade II) and St Peter's Church spire (Grade II).	S	E	S

Notes for Undertaking Views Assessment

Column 1

We will give each viewpoint a reference once they are mapped.

Column 2

State the location of the viewpoint. For the most part, viewpoints should be publicly accessible (e.g. roads, footpaths, bridleways or public open spaces), as these have more frequent usage than viewpoints on private land. We can rule out viewpoints on private land as a group if necessary.

Column 3

The designation applying to land where the viewpoint is located e.g. Conservation Area, Local Nature Reserve and Significant Gap or if it lies within the landscape character area identified as principal timbered farmlands by the County Council Landscape Assessment.

Column 4

Give the type of viewpoint – it may be more than 1:

- Historic / cultural
- Movement corridor (e.g. road, footpath, cycle trail)
- Recreational (nature reserve, allotment etc)

Column 5

Estimate the number of viewpoint users (many / moderate / few) and state the type of users (e.g. vehicle, pedestrian, cyclist).

Column 6

Give the direction of the view(s) from the viewpoint.

Column 7

Give a description of each view, recording characteristics such as:

- whether the view is limited/ contained, panoramic, elevated, glimpsed, open or expansive;
- whether there are dominant elements in the foreground, middle distance or on the skyline;
- whether it is framed by built development, vegetation or landform;
- whether it is essentially rural or urban;
- whether it is likely to be significantly altered by seasonal change (i.e. views opening up as a result of trees losing leaves in winter);

Column 8

State the key components of each view, including:

- features that positively contribute to the importance, distinctiveness and attractiveness of a view (e.g. Malvern Hills along the skyline);
- iconic features (e.g. Cathedral);
- the degree of containment;
- the rural /urban setting;
- detractors in view (e.g. busy transport corridors, overhead powerlines, largescale / extensive development).
- or a 'special viewpoint' is combined with an 'exceptional view', the group had to look at which
- factors were predominant to determine which level to grade it at.
- Any view identified as having an overall importance that is 'special' or 'exceptional' is proposed to be carried forward into the draft plan policy for protection.

9.4 Appendix D - Community Facilities

- 9.4.1** The parish of Siddington has a number of facilities and services, all of which are in the main village of Siddington. They encourage and enhance a strong sense of community and contribute to the community's wellbeing.

The key facilities in the village identified by respondents to the household survey as 'Very Important' or 'Important' are listed below (the figure shows the percentage of respondents who considered the facility either as Very Important or Important to them):

Outdoor Facilities

Rural paths - 98%

There is an extensive network of public footpaths and bridleways in the village, including the Thames and Severn Way, a well-used long-distance path. These are very important to residents and much used. Funding will be required to extend the network and for maintenance of the existing.

Playground-81%

The playground has a reasonable selection of play equipment and is well maintained. Survey respondents recommended that there should be further additions.



Figure 51 *Siddington Playground*



Figure 52 *Siddington Playing Field*

Playing Field and Recreation Ground - 70%

The playing field/recreation ground has a football pitch and clubhouse and is sited next to the playground. The site is owned by the Bathurst Estate and is managed by the Parish Council on a long-term lease. A trim trail has recently been constructed on the field (December 2025). The clubhouse has recently been smartened up but requires further improvement.

Allotments - 62%

The allotments are also owned by the Bathurst Estate. A new committee was established in 2025 to improve the administration and appearance of the site.



Figure 53 Siddington Allotments

Indoor facilities

Post Office/Shop (97%)

The shop has a reasonable range of goods and is a particularly useful facility for the older population in the village. It is very much a convenience store and most villagers will go to one of the 3 supermarkets (within a mile) for their main shop. The Post Office is well used.



Figure 54 Siddington Village Shop

The Greyhound Public House - 93%

The Greyhound is a traditional village pub which has a large internal dining area and extensive outdoor seating. It is popular with villagers, walkers and people from neighbouring communities.



Figure 55 The Greyhound Public House

Village Hall - 91%

The village hall is a very well used local resource which offers a medium size hall, kitchen facilities and a separate meeting room. It is located at the centre of the village on the main road and has a small amount of land to the side and rear. It has limited dedicated parking, but cars can park on the main road.



Figure 56 Siddington Village Hall

Siddington Church of England School - 78%

Siddington School is a Church of England Primary School catering for children aged 4 to 11. It is a smaller than average primary schools with around 90 pupils. A new additional building was opened in 2025 which is to temporarily host pupils from The Steadings, a nearby development, while a permanent school building is being constructed for the estate (completion planned for 2029).



Figure 57 Siddington CofE School

The Church - 72%

The church can trace its roots to the Norman period and is located a short distance from the main part of the village in a picturesque enclave of historic buildings. It is grade II listed and has an impressive tower which is illuminated on special occasions. Although it has a small congregation, the church building is a popular landmark and well-loved in the village, while many villagers visit the graveyard where generations of villagers are buried.



Figure 58 St Peter's Church

9.5 Appendix E - Listed Historic Buildings in Siddington

1. Siddington House - Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1340985
2. St Peter's Church-Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1340986
3. Tithe Barn and one other adjacent to St Peter's - Grade II* listed. List Entry Number: 1090073
4. Animal Shelter to east of Tithe Barn -Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1090074
5. Church Farmhouse - Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1340987
6. Siddington Manor- Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1340988



Figure 59 *Siddington Manor*

7. Roberts House - Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1304080



Figure 60 *Roberts House*

8. The Round House - Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1090069
9. Siddington Hall (Frazier's Folly) - Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1090070
10. The Greyhound - Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1090075

11. Upper Siddington House - Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1340989
12. Upper Siddington Bridge -Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1090036



Figure 61 Upper Siddington Bridge

13. School House-Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1153896
14. Barton Farmhouse - Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1090075
15. The Old Rectory- Grade II listed. List Entry Number: 1379927

The location of these Listed Buildings is shown on the map below.



Figure 62 Locations of Listed Buildings

Appendix F - Locations of Notable Trees

Number	Grid Reference	Tree species	Size and Form. Trees are single stem (maiden) unless stated eg coppiced, pollarded.	Location and notes
	SU 04000 98800	Black Poplar	3.5m girth	River Churn, 50m from aqueduct
2	SU 04059 98674	Black Poplar	4m girth	River Churn
3	SU 04070 98624	Black Poplar	4m girth	River Churn
4	SU 04075 98604	White Willow	4m girth	River Churn
5	SU 04111 98608	Black Poplar	4m girth	River Churn
6	SU 0411 9855	Crack Willow	4m girth	River Churn, Leaning
8	SU 03905 98929	Ash	3m girth	Canal path. Bad dieback
9	SU 03409 99546	Lime A	3m girth	Plummers Farm. Canal side. Common Limes A to O all similar and put at 3m girth although obscured by epicornic growth.
10	SU 03424 99537	Lime B	3m girth	
11	SU 03444 99525	LimeC	3m girth	
12	SU 03466 99507	Lime D	3m girth	
13	SU 03480 99483	Lime E	3m girth	
14	SU 03486 99462	Lime F	3m girth	
15	SU 03494 99448	Lime G	3m girth	
16	SU 03505 99430	Lime H	3m girth	
17	SU 03514 99415	Lime I	3m girth	
18	SU 03530 99382	LimeJ	3m girth	
19	SU 03553 99327	Lime L	3m girth	
20	SU 03556 99309	Lime M	3m girth	
21	SU 03559 99292	Lime N	3m girth	
22	03563 99282	LimeO	3m girth	Just before White Poplars.
23	SU 03473 99455	Ash	Coppiced 3m@0.5m	Towpath

24	SU 03565 99236	Ash	3m@0.5m Coppiced at 0.5m	Towpath before Cowground bridge
25	SP 03814 00039	Coppiced White willow	3m girth@1m height	Near Humpback bridge Hedgerow/Field Edge
26	SP 03744 000038	Coppiced White willow	4m girth @ 0.5m height	Halfway from bridge to village edge Hedgerow/Field Edge
27	SP 0352 0012	Copper Beech 1	3.5m girth	Siddington Manor garden
28	SP 0357 0011	False Acacia	4m girth	
29	SP 0358 0013	False Acacia	3m girth	
30	SP 0354 0017	Cedar	5m girth	
31	SP 03534 00234	Copper Beech 2	3m girth	
32	SP 03534 00234	Sycamore	3m girth Pollarded at 2m	Church Avenue. Field Edge. Covered in Ivy
33	SU 03570 99735	Beech pollarded at about 5m height	3m girth	A garden, The Common
34	SU 0282 0004	Oak	5m girth	Path from Siddington school to Severell's Field Field edge
35	SU 0292 0011	Common Lime	3m girth	Path from school to Pound Close Field edge
36	SU 0265 9977	Oak	3.m girth	Entrance to Severell's Drive. Field edge
37	SU 02920 99938	Oak, probably pol larded	2.5m girth	School Lane. Hedgerow
38	SU 02925 99910	Ash, probably pol larded	2.5m girth	School Lane. Hedgerow
39	SU 0308 9938	Ash	3m girth	Canal Lane, near Nursery View. Hedgerow
40	SU 0363 9849	Oak	Possible veteran	Field S of Solar Farm

41	SU 03575 98140	Copper Beech	3m girth	Dry Leaze farm entrance
42	SU 0368 9780	Oak	3m girth	Copse south of Dry Leaze farm entrance
43	SU 0390 9719	Sycamore coppiced	3 to 4m	Near Ashton Rd Crossroads with S. Cerney/Ewen road. Hedgerows/field
44	SU 03480 97205	Oak	3m girth	Ewen Road. Hedgerows/Field edge
45	SU 0330 9723	Ash coppiced	3.5m girth@ 0.5m	
46	SU 0236 9753	Maiden Ash	2.5m girth	DryLeaze Farm SW corner. Copse in field on old quarry
47	SU 0244 9782	Oak	Possible veteran	Hedgerow/Field edge
48	SU 02028 97658	Oak	3m girth	Ewen Road. Hedgerow/Field edge
49	SU 0225 9767	Sycamore coppiced	3m	Spratsgate Lane Hedge/Field edge
50	SU 0226 9767	Ash pollarded at 3m height	4m girth	
51	SU 0225 9777	Coppiced Ash	3m	
52	SU 0227 9816	Oak,	3m girth@ 1m maiden/coppiced	
53	SU 0227 9815	Oak	3m girth	
54	SU 0223 9845	Oak	3m girth	
55	SU 0223 9859	Oak	4m girth	Peeling bark indicating Veteran
56	SU 0235 9891	Oak	3+m girth	In Field near Spratsgate Lane
57	SU 0222 9867	Oak	4.5m girth	S corner of garden of Sandy Lane farmhouse
58	SU 0239 9920	Field Maple coppiced	3m girth at ground level	Top of Clark's Lane by Spratsgate Lane hedge
59	SU 0238 9928	Ash probably pollarded at 3m	2.5m girth maiden (notable or veteran)	

61	SU 0213 9894	Oak	About 3m girth (observed from publicly accessible land)	Hedgerow between Spratsgate Lane and Furzen Leaze farm buildings
62	SU 0210 9891	Oak	About 3m girth (observed from publicly accessible land)	
63	SU 0206 9889	Oak	About 3m girth (observed from publicly accessible land)	
64	SU 0201 98947	Oak	About 3m girth (observed from publicly accessible land)	
65	SU 02137 98947	Oak	About 3m girth (observed from publicly accessible land)	
66	SU 0195 9920	Sycamore coppiced at GL.	3.5m girth at 0.5m	Siddington to Ewen Road. Hedge/field edge
67	SU 0186 9915	Sycamore coppiced	3m	
68	SU 0184 9914	Oak	3m girth	
69	SU 0154 9895	Beech	3m girth	
70	SU 0156 9891	Oak		
71	SU 0152 9890	Oak	2.5m girth	
72	SU 0153 9881	Oak	3.5m girth	Field east of Furzen Leaze farm entrance
73	SU 0148 9859	Oak	4m girth	Field south of Furzen Leaze farm drive
74	SU 0183 9897	Oak	3m girth	In hedge W of Furzen Leaze Farmhouse near cottages
75	SU 0115 9865	Ash coppiced	3m	N side of Ewen Lane hedgerow
76	SU 0117 9866	Ash coppiced	3m	
77	SU 0120 9867	Ash	3m girth	
78	SU 0164 9926	Sycamore	Possible veteran	Hedgerow near Bluehouse Bridge

9.6 Appendix G - List of References

1. South Cerney Neighbourhood plan (December 2021).
<https://www.cotswold.gov.uk/planning-and-building/planning-policy/neighbourhood-planning/made-neighbourhood-plans/>
1. Cirencester Town Council Neighbourhood plan (Plan Reg 14 Presubmission draft)
<https://cirencesternp.org/key-documents/>
2. Cirencester Local Cycling & Walking Infrastructure Plan (June, 2022)
<https://www.gloucestershire.gov.uk/lcwip/>
3. Cotswold District Council Local Plan 2011-2031 (Adopted August, 2018)
<https://www.cotswold.gov.uk/planning-and-building/planning-policy/cotswold-district-council-local-plan/>
4. Flooding at Kingshill roundabout and flood alleviation works (2024)
<https://www.gloucestershire.gov.uk/major-projects-list/roundabout-a429-and-a419-cirencester/>
5. Cirencester Kingshill Meadow development referred to in above link
<https://www.berkeleygroup.co.uk/developments/gloucestershire/cirencester/kingshill-meadow>
6. Natural England Ancient Woodland Open Data Publication (also accessible via Parish Online)
https://naturalengland-defra.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/a_14064ca50e242c4a92d020764a6d9df_0/about
7. Woodland Trust Ancient Tree Inventory
<https://ati.woodlandtrust.org.uk/>
8. Royal Forestry Society: Ancient and Veteran trees
<https://rfs.org.uk/learning/tremendous-trees/ancient-veteran-trees/>
9. Black Poplar: the most endangered native timber tree in Britain
<https://cdn.forestresearch.gov.uk/2022/02/rin239.pdf>
10. The vascular plant red data list for Great Britain
<https://data.jneec.gov.uk/data/cc1e96f8-b105-4dd0-bd87-4a4f60449907/SpeciesStatus-7-VascularPlant-WEB-2005.pdf>

11. Siddington Solar Farm, Gloucestershire, Ecological Impact Assessment prepared for Anesco, 2021
<https://docs.planning.org.uk/20220318/123/RSOSNHFIHRS00/0ipfscqrgbkwgya1.pdf>
12. Cotswold District Council: Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. (October 2023) by JBA Consulting
<https://www.cotswold.gov.uk/media/dzbb1yih/c2-2-3-strategic-flood-risk-assessment-sfra-level-1-oct-2023.pdf>
13. Including Appendix I: Historical Flood Information
<https://www.cotswold.gov.uk/media/dkpfnw2p/14-2-2i-appendix-i-historical-flood-information.pdf>
14. Cirencester, Siddington, South Cerney, and the Water Park

Floods: 23rd - 31st December 2020

Residents' Report January 2021

https://gumstool.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/floods-residents-report_310121-1.pdf
15. ITV News

<https://www.itv.com/news/westcountry/2020-12-28/residents-in-siddington-in-gloucestershire-suffer-four-days-of-flooding>
17. BBC News

<https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-england-gloucestershire-55455158>
18. Wilts and Glos Standard

<https://www.wiltsglosstandard.co.uk/news/18972022.pictures-flooding-near-cirencester/>
19. The Wildlife Trusts


<https://www.wildlifetrusts.org/local-wildlife-sites>

"Local Sites: Guidance on their Identification, Selection and Management produced by 20. Defra (HMSO, 2006). Available at:

<https://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/ukgwa/20130402204735/http://archive.defra.gov.uk/rural/documents/protected/localsites.pdf>

20. Gloucestershire Local Nature Recovery Strategy
[Gloucestershire Local Nature Recovery Strategy | Gloucestershire County Council](#)
21. Cotswolds Nature Recovery Plan
<https://www.cotswolds-nl.org.uk/wp/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/Cotswolds-Nature-Recovery-Plan-Full-Version.pdf>
22. West of England Nature Plan: Western Forest
<https://www.wenp.org.uk/priority-programmes/western-forest>
23. Cotswold Water Park Nature Recovery Plan
<https://www.cotswold.gov.uk/media/wwferfcb/cotswold-water-park-nature-recovery-plan.pdf>

This page is intentionally left blank




Siddington Parish Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policies Overview Map



COTSWOLD
District Council




Siddington Parish Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy S1: Siddington Gap



COTSWOLD
District Council



Cirencester

Siddington

Key

Siddington Neighbourhood Area

Policy S5: Old Talland School of Equitation

0 0.04 0.08 0.16 Mile © Crown copyright and database rights 2026 Ordnance Survey, No. AC0000815979

Siddington Parish Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy S5: Old Talland School of Equitation



COTSWOLD
District Council



Key

Siddington Neighbourhood Area

Policy S6: Local Green Space

1. Playing fields on Park Way

2. Siddington allotments

3. Canal Lane

00.050.10.2 Mile


© Crown copyright and database rights 2026 Ordnance Survey, No. AC0000815979

Siddington Parish Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy S6: Local Green Space



COTSWOLD
District Council




Siddington Parish Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy S8: Important Views



COTSWOLD
District Council




Key

- Siddington Neighbourhood Area
- Policy S9: Flood Policy
- Floodzone 2
- Floodzone 3

Policy S9: Flood Policy





Siddington Parish Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy S10: Sustainable Transport and Active Travel
- Indicative Proposed New Cycle and Footpath Routes



COTSWOLD
District Council



**Siddington
Neighbourhood
Development
Plan**
Basic
Conditions
Statement
2025 - 2031

This page has been left intentionally blank

Contents

1. Legal Requirements.....	4
2. Introduction and Background	7
3. Having Regard to National Planning Policy.....	9
4.1 Revised Basic Condition: the Neighbourhood Development Plan does not result in the delivery of fewer homes than would occur if the Plan were not made.	14
5. Contribution to the achievement of sustainable development	15
6. Compatibility with EU obligations and legislation	19

1. Legal Requirements

1.1. This statement has been prepared by Siddington Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group on the behalf of Siddington Parish Council to accompany its submission to Cotswold District Council of the Siddington Parish Neighbourhood Plan under section 15 of the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012.

1.2. The Neighbourhood Plan has been prepared by the Parish Council, a qualifying body, for the area covering the Parish of Siddington, as designated by Cotswold District Council on 30 June 2023.

1.3. This statement takes into account the provisions of Sections 98 and 99 of the Levelling-up and Regeneration Act (LURA) 2023 which came into force on 25th March 2026.

1.4. In summary, the additional legal compliance requirements are as follows:

- i. so far as the qualifying body considers appropriate, and having regard to the subject matter of the plan, the plan must be designed to secure that the development and use of land in the neighbourhood area contribute to the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate change; and
- ii. so far as the qualifying body considers appropriate and having regard to the subject matter of the plan, the plan must be designed to take account of any local nature recovery strategy under section 104 of the Environment Act 2021 that relates to all or part of the neighbourhood plan area.

1.5. In response to the additional above-referenced legal requirement at part i, Policy S1 (Preventing Coalescence of Siddington with Cirencester), Policy S4 (General Design Principles), Policy S6 (Local Green Space), Policy S8 (Trees, Hedgerows and Woodlands), Policy S9 (Flood Policy), Policy S10 (Sustainable Transport and Active Travel) will contribute to the addressing of climate change adaptation and mitigation.

1.6. In February 2026, GCC adopted the Gloucestershire Local Nature Recovery Strategy (LNRS).

1.7. Section 98 of the LURA states the following:

(b) take account of any local nature recovery strategy, under section 104 of the Environment Act 2021, that relates to all or part of the plan area, including in particular —

- (i) the areas identified in the strategy as areas which – (A) are, or could become, of particular importance for biodiversity, or (B) are areas where the recovery or enhancement of biodiversity could make a particular contribution to other environmental benefits,
- (ii) the priorities set out in the strategy for recovering or enhancing biodiversity, and
- (iii) the proposals set out in the strategy as to potential measures relating to those priorities.

1.8. In respect of the above points, policy S8 takes account of the LNRS

1.9. In addition to the above, there is a new Basic Condition Requirement:

the making of the order/Plan would not have the effect of preventing development from taking place which

- i. is proposed in the development plan for the area of the authority (or any part of that area), and
- iii. if it took place, would provide housing

1.10. This replaces the previous requirement that the neighbourhood plan be in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan for the area. The compliance with the updated Basic Conditions is discussed within the relevant section of this report.

1.11. The neighbourhood area is contiguous with the Parish boundary as shown on the map accompanying the neighbourhood designation application. For clarity, the Plan area is shown at **Figure 1**. There are no other neighbourhood plans in place for the Siddington neighbourhood area.

1.12. The Plan proposal relates to planning matters (the use and development of land) in the designated neighbourhood area and covers the period from 2025 – 2031.

1.13. The Plan proposal does not deal with County matters (mineral extraction and waste development), nationally significant infrastructure or any other matters set out in Section 61K of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as 'excluded development'.

1.14. The Draft Siddington Parish Neighbourhood Plan was made available for consultation in accordance with Regulation 14 of the Neighbourhood Plan Regulations March 2012 from 22 March 2026 to 12 May 2026.

1.15. Amendments have been made to the Plan in response to consultation comments received. These are summarised in the accompanying Consultation Statement submitted alongside the Neighbourhood Plan.

1.16. This statement addresses each of the 'Basic Conditions' required by the Regulations and explains how the Neighbourhood Plan meets the requirements of paragraph 8 of Schedule 4B to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

Updated Basic Conditions

1.17. Paragraph 8(2) of Schedule 4B in the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended by Schedule 10 of the Localism Act 2011) sets out a series of requirements that Neighbourhood Plans must meet. The Regulations state that a Neighbourhood Plan will be considered to have met the Conditions if:

- Having regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State, it is appropriate to make the Neighbourhood Development Plan;
- The making of the Neighbourhood Development Plan contributes to the achievement of sustainable development;
- The making of the Neighbourhood Development Plan is in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan for the area of the authority (or any part of that area); and
- The making of the Neighbourhood Development Plan does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with, EU obligations.

1.18. However, the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act (2023) has introduced a revised Basic Condition, replacing the requirement that the Neighbourhood Development Plan must be in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan for the area (or any part of that area), as follows:

the making of the order/Plan would not have the effect of preventing development from taking place which

(i) is proposed in the development plan for the area of the authority (or any part of that area), and

(ii) if it took place, would provide housing

1.19. As such, the previous “general conformity” test has been replaced by a revised requirement which ensures that neighbourhood plans do not prevent housing-led development that is otherwise proposed in the development plan from coming forward.

1.20. Furthermore, Neighbourhood plans must comply with Environmental Outcomes Reports (EORs), when these are introduced (the secondary legislation which will enable this to happen isn't expected to be published until 2027).

1.21. The Siddington Neighbourhood Development Plan has been prepared in accordance with the updated set of basic conditions as set out above.




Figure 1: Siddington Neighbourhood Plan Area.

2. Introduction and Background

2.1. A small Steering Group was established by the Parish Council, comprising both councillors and local residents, and work commenced on the preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan in response to concerns regarding the limited opportunity for the community to influence the location and scale of development sites within the parish. The Steering Group has evolved over time; however, a core membership has remained actively involved throughout the process, meeting regularly over the past two (plus) years to progress the Plan and report back to the Parish Council. Where appropriate, individuals with specialist knowledge have contributed at key stages of plan preparation.

2.2. The Parish Council formally applied to Cotswold District Council for designation of the entire parish as a Neighbourhood Plan area in June 2023. This designation was subsequently approved.

2.3. In December 2023, the Parish Council appointed independent planning consultants Brodie Planning Associates (BPA) to guide the preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan and to provide impartial professional planning advice. BPA has since supported the Steering Group in progressing the Plan and ensuring a robust and evidence-based approach to its preparation.

2.4. Consultation with the wider community has taken place at key stages of the process to ensure that local views have informed the emerging Plan. In June 2024, a household survey was undertaken, with questionnaires delivered to every household in the parish and supporting publicity provided through posters. The survey achieved a response rate of approx. 21.5%, representing 176 parishioners.

2.5. The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan has been prepared in response to local concerns regarding the location and scale of future housing growth and the need to safeguard the village's rural character and setting. A key objective of the Plan is to maintain Siddington's identity as a distinct rural settlement, separate from the urban area of Cirencester. More broadly, the Plan seeks to protect important open spaces, conserve the character and appearance of the parish, minimise flood risk, and support effective water management and drainage measures.

2.6. In developing the Plan, the Parish Council has had careful regard for policies in the adopted Cotswold District Council Local Plan (2018). Care has been taken not to duplicate policies already addressed in CDC's local plan and to where necessary add a level of local detail that is considered appropriate to the Parish.

3. Having Regard to National Planning Policy

3.1. The Neighbourhood Plan has been prepared with regard to the policies set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) December 2024. It also takes account of the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) published by the Government in relation to the preparation of neighbourhood plans.

3.2. The NPPF provides a framework within which local communities can produce neighbourhood development plans for their area and sets out how planning should contribute to the achievement of sustainable development.

3.3. The NPPF was updated in December 2024 (amended 7th February 2025), and this version will be utilised in this Basic Conditions Statement. The following table shows which sections of the NPPF 2024 the Neighbourhood Plan has had regard to. The table is not intended to be an exhaustive list of all NPPF policies.

NPPF December 2024
Achieving sustainable development (para 7-14)
Plan-making (para 15-38)
Delivering a sufficient supply of homes (para 61-84)
Promoting healthy and safe communities (para 96-108)
Promoting sustainable transport (para 109-118)
Making effective use of land (para 124-130)
Achieving well-designed places (para 131-141)
Meeting the challenge of climate change flooding and coastal change (para 161-168)
Conserving and enhancing the natural environment (para 187-201)
Conserving and enhancing the historic environment (para 202-214)
Annex 1: Implementation (para 218-223)

Table 1 - Sections within NPPF 2024 that the Neighbourhood Plan has had regard to

3.4. Table 2 sets out a summary of how each policy has regard to the NPPF 2024. The paragraphs referred to are considered to be the most relevant to the policy and are not intended to be a comprehensive list of every possible relevant paragraph.

Table 2 - How the NP policies have regard to the NPPF

NP Policy Number and Title	NPPF 2024 Paragraph(s)	Comment on regard to NPPF (2024)
Policy S1 - Preventing Coalescence of Siddington with Cirencester	125, 135, 187	The purpose of Policy S1 is to maintain the physical and visual separation between Siddington village and Cirencester and to prevent the coalescence of the two settlements. The policy has regard to paragraph 125(b) of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), which recognises that undeveloped land can perform a range of functions that contribute to sustainable communities. It also reflects paragraph 187 of the NPPF, which encourages planning policies to protect and enhance valued landscapes and to recognise the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside. Furthermore, paragraph 135(c) of the NPPF seeks to ensure that development is sympathetic to local character, history and landscape setting. In this context, the identified gap performs an important role in safeguarding the rural setting, landscape character and distinct identity of Siddington village, while maintaining its separation from the urban edge of Cirencester.
Policy S2 – Development Boundary and Infill Development	125(b), 135(c), 187	Policy S2 supports appropriately designed infill development within the settlement boundary, subject to compliance with the other policies. The policy has regard to paragraph 125(b) of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), which recognises that undeveloped land can perform a range of functions that contribute to sustainable communities. It also reflects paragraphs 135(c) and 187 of the NPPF, which seek to ensure that development is sympathetic to local character and history, responds positively to its landscape setting, and protects the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside. Through these provisions, the policy seeks to accommodate appropriate development whilst safeguarding the distinctive rural character of Siddington.
Policy S3 – Housing to Meet the Needs of the Community	63, 96, 135 (f)	Policy S3 seeks to ensure that new housing responds to identified local needs, with particular regard to the requirements of older residents and those requiring accessible and adaptable accommodation. The policy supports the delivery of inclusive, energy-efficient homes that are capable of meeting changing household needs over time. The policy has regard to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), particularly paragraph 63, which requires planning policies to reflect the needs of different groups within the community, including older people and people with disabilities. It also reflects paragraph 96, which seeks to promote healthy and

		inclusive communities, and paragraph 135(f), which requires development to create safe, inclusive and accessible places that support health, social interaction and well-being.
Policy S4 – General Design Principles	131, 135, 138, 161, 163	Policy S4 establishes the general design principles that will guide future development within the parish. The policy has regard to paragraphs 131 and 135 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), which recognise the importance of achieving high-quality design as a fundamental aspect of sustainable development and require development to respond positively to local character, landscape setting and local distinctiveness. The policy also reflects paragraphs 138, 161 and 163 of the NPPF, which support the efficient use of resources, improvements in energy efficiency, and the transition to a low-carbon future. The policy seeks to ensure that new development is well designed, locally distinctive and environmentally sustainable.
Policy S5 – Old Talland School of Equitation	Section 16 (203)	Policy S5 supports appropriately designed enabling development as a means of securing the long-term conservation and viable future of the Old Talland School of Equitation site. The site occupies an important gateway location at the entrance to the village, and its sensitive regeneration is therefore significant in both heritage and placemaking terms. The policy has regard to Section 16 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), particularly paragraph 203, which requires plans to set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, including heritage assets that may be at risk from neglect, decay or other threats.
Policy S6 – Local Green Spaces	106, 107	Policy S6 identifies green spaces for designation as they form a vital part of the character, identity and landscape setting of Siddington, and hold particular importance for the local community. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) allows communities to identify and protect green areas of particular importance to them (paragraph 106). All candidate green spaces were assessed against the criteria set out in paragraph 107 of the NPPF and Local Green Space designation has only been applied where the green space is: <i>a) in reasonably close proximity to the community it serves;</i> <i>b) demonstrably special to a local community and holds a particular local significance, for example because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and</i> <i>c) local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.</i>

Policy S7 - Important Views Policy	96, 135, 187	Policy S7 identifies and designates a number of locally important views and seeks to ensure that these are safeguarded from adverse impacts arising from new development. The policy has regard to paragraph 135 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), which requires development to be sympathetic to local character and history, including the surrounding built environment and landscape setting, and to contribute to the creation of visually attractive places. It also aligns with paragraph 187, which emphasises the importance of protecting and enhancing valued landscapes and the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside. In addition, the policy is consistent with paragraph 96, which supports the creation of healthy, inclusive and safe places that promote well-being, including through access to attractive surroundings and high-quality visual environments.
Policy S8 – Trees, Hedgerow and Woodland	136, 193	Policy S8 seeks to protect and enhance trees, hedgerows and woodlands of landscape, amenity, ecological or historic value by resisting development that would result in their loss or harm. Where retention is not feasible and the benefits of development are considered to outweigh any adverse impacts, the policy requires appropriate replacement planting of at least an equivalent standard. It also supports new development that incorporates native tree and hedgerow planting wherever practicable. The policy has regard to paragraph 136 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), which recognises the intrinsic character and value of trees and their important contribution to the quality of places, as well as their role in mitigating and adapting to climate change. It also reflects paragraph 193, which sets out a strong presumption against development that would result in significant harm to biodiversity, including the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats such as ancient woodland and veteran trees, unless wholly exceptional circumstances are demonstrated and appropriate compensation is secured.
Policy S9 – Flood Policy	162, 170	Policy S9 seeks to ensure that all new development is appropriately managed in relation to surface water and wastewater drainage, requiring sustainable drainage systems and long-term maintenance arrangements to reduce flood risk. In essence, it ensures that development does not increase flood risk either on or off site and instead incorporates sustainable drainage measures that take account of climate change impacts while protecting water quality and surrounding areas. The policy has been informed by the requirements of the Lead Local Flood Authority and responds directly to local flooding issues. The policy has regard to paragraph 162 of the NPPF, as it takes a proactive approach to mitigating and adapting to climate change, particularly in relation to increased flood risk, and supports measures that enhance the resilience of communities and infrastructure to fluvial and pluvial flooding. It also reflects paragraph 170, which seeks to steer inappropriate development away from areas at highest flood risk and, where development is necessary, ensure it is made safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere.

Policy S10 - Sustainable Transport and Active Travel	109, 110, 111, 115, 117	Policy S10 seeks to protect existing footpaths, cycle routes and public transport provision, while also supporting opportunities to create new connections that enhance active travel and public transport accessibility within Siddington. Section 9 of the NPPF relates to promoting sustainable transport. The policy has regard to paragraphs 109–111 and 115–117 of the NPPF, which encourage a vision-led approach to transport planning, prioritising sustainable and active travel modes, and promoting safe, accessible and well-designed transport networks that support healthier and more sustainable communities.
Policy S11 – Protecting and Supporting Community Facilities	Section 8 (98)	Policy S11 identifies key community facilities within the plan area and seeks to safeguard their continued use. It resists the unnecessary loss of valued community facilities and supports their ongoing operation where they make a positive contribution to local sustainability and community wellbeing. The policy has regard to Section 8 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), which focuses on promoting healthy and safe communities by ensuring the retention and enhancement of community facilities. In line with paragraph 98, it safeguards valued local facilities and resists their unnecessary loss, supporting the provision of services that meet day-to-day community needs and strengthen social cohesion and support community wellbeing.

4.1 Revised Basic Condition: The Neighbourhood Development Plan does not result in the delivery of fewer homes than would occur if the Plan were not made.

4.1. As set out above, a new basic condition has replaced the former requirement for neighbourhood plans to be in general conformity with the strategic policies of the development plan. Instead, it must now be demonstrated that the making of the neighbourhood plan would not result in less housing being provided within the authority area than would otherwise be delivered if the plan were not made.

4.2. Whilst the Siddington Neighbourhood Development Plan (SNDP) does not allocate additional housing beyond that already committed through the adopted Local Plan it does not restrict appropriate development from coming forward. Siddington is identified as a non-Principal Settlement and will remain so. Development proposals will therefore continue to be assessed against the provisions of Local Plan Policy DS3.

5. Contribution to the achievement of sustainable development

5.1. A Neighbourhood plan must take into account the need to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. This involves working to address the three strands of sustainability: economic, social, and environmental.

5.2. The NPPF states in paragraph 10 and 11 that a presumption in favour of sustainable development is at the heart of the NPPF.

5.3. The objectives of the Neighbourhood Plan comprise a balance of social, environmental, and economic goals.

5.4. The social goals are to maintain, protect and enhance the community in the Parish. There is a keen desire to improve community facilities and to continue to have access to green space to support the health and well-being of the community.

5.5. The environmental goals are to protect and enhance the natural environment and ensure that it can be enjoyed by future generations. It is important that features of the natural environment such as green spaces and traditional landscape qualities are retained. Green space provides multifunctional benefits including habitats for wildlife, recreation for residents and helps to manage the impacts of climate change for example reducing flooding.

5.6. The economic goals are intertwined within the social and environmental benefits; by ensuring that new development is well located to ensure that the landscape character of the Parish is respected and maintained it will assist in ensuring that Siddington continues to be an attractive and desirable place to live in, work and visit. Seeking to improve existing community facilities will also bring economic gains.

5.7. Table 3 below sets out an assessment of each policy of the plan and how it impacts on each of the three strands of sustainability: economic, social, and environmental.

Table 3. How the Neighbourhood Plan policies contribute to sustainable development

++ Very positive impact + positive impact 0 neutral impact - negative impact - - very negative impact

Policy Number and Title	Economic	Social	Environmental	Comment
Policy S1 – Preventing Coalescence of Siddington with Cirencester	+	++	++	Policy S1 will support environmental benefits by protecting the gap between Siddington and Cirencester, safeguarding the landscape setting, rural character and visual identity of the parish. It will also have significant social benefits by helping to maintain the distinct identity of Siddington and preserving the qualities that contribute to residents' wellbeing and sense of place. The policy is expected to have a positive economic effect by helping to ensure Siddington remains an attractive and desirable place in which to live, work and visit.
Policy S2 – Development Boundary and Infill Development	+	+	+	Policy S2 will support sustainable patterns of development by directing growth towards appropriate infill opportunities within the settlement boundary. Environmentally, it will have positive effects through the protection of local landscape character. Socially, it will help to ensure that development comes forward such a way that supports the continued vitality of the village. Economically, the policy will support sustainable growth and provide greater certainty for developers.
Policy S3 – Housing to Meet the Needs of the Community	+	++	+	Policy S3 will have significant social benefits by supporting housing that responds to identified local needs, including provision for older people and adaptable accommodation. It will promote inclusive communities and contribute positively to health and wellbeing outcomes. Environmentally, the policy will support the delivery of energy-efficient homes, helping to reduce energy consumption and strengthen climate resilience over time. Economically, it will help to secure a more balanced housing stock and support the retention of residents within the community, contributing to the long-term sustainability of the rural population and local services.

Policy S4 – General Design Principles	+	++	+	Policy S4 will provide environmental benefits by ensuring that development protects and enhances local character, landscape and biodiversity. It will also deliver substantial social benefits by promoting high-quality, locally distinctive and well-designed places that contribute positively to community identity and quality of life. The policy will have positive economic impacts by encouraging attractive, well-designed development that supports the long-term desirability and vitality of the parish.
Policy S5 – Old Talland School of Equitation	+	+	++	Policy S5 will provide environmental benefits through the conservation and enhancement of heritage assets and their settings. Socially, it will support the preservation of locally important heritage features that contribute to community identity and cultural value. Economically, the policy may support heritage-led regeneration and investment that secures the viable long-term use of the site while protecting its historic significance.
Policy S6 – Local Green Spaces	0	++	++	Policy S6 will deliver significant environmental benefits through the protection of valued green spaces, biodiversity and landscape character. It will also provide strong social benefits by safeguarding recreational spaces, tranquillity and opportunities for healthy outdoor activity for the community.
Policy S7 – Important Views Policy	+	+	+	Policy S7 will have environmental benefits by protecting valued views and the visual character of the parish and surrounding countryside. It will also have social benefits by safeguarding important views that contribute positively to local identity, wellbeing and enjoyment of the natural environment. Economically, the policy will support the continued attractiveness of Siddington as a place to live in and visit by maintaining its rural landscape setting.
Policy S8 – Trees, Hedgerow and Woodland	0	+	++	Policy S8 will provide substantial environmental benefits through the protection and enhancement of trees, hedgerows and woodland habitats that support biodiversity, landscape character and climate resilience. It will also deliver social benefits by enhancing green infrastructure, contributing positively to wellbeing, visual amenity and opportunities for informal recreation. Economically, the retention of mature landscape features will support the long-term attractiveness and environmental quality of

				the parish, helping to sustain its desirability as a place to live and invest.
Policy S9 – Flood Policy	+	+	++	Policy S9 will provide environmental benefits through reducing flood risk and promoting the use of sustainable drainage systems and climate resilience measures. It will also deliver social benefits by supporting community safety and helping to reduce the disruption and distress associated with flooding events. Economically, the policy may help to reduce future financial costs associated with flood damage to homes, infrastructure and property.
Policy S10 – Sustainable Transport and Active Travel	+	++	+	Policy S10 will deliver social benefits by encouraging walking, cycling and safer movement throughout the parish, contributing positively to health and wellbeing. It will also provide environmental benefits by promoting sustainable transport choices, helping to reduce reliance on private vehicles and associated emissions. Economically, improved connectivity and accessibility may support local businesses, services and access to employment opportunities.
Policy S11 – Protecting and Supporting Community Facilities	+	++	+	Policy S11 will have significant social benefits through protecting valued community facilities and supporting their continued use by local residents. The retention of accessible local services and meeting places will contribute positively to social cohesion, wellbeing and community resilience. Economically, the policy will support the viability of local facilities and services. Environmentally, it will provide positive benefits by encouraging the retention and continued use of existing buildings and facilities, helping to reduce the need to travel elsewhere for services.

++ Very positive impact + positive impact 0 neutral impact - negative impact - - very negative impact

6. Compatibility with EU obligations and legislation

6.1. The Neighbourhood Plan has regard to the fundamental rights and freedoms guaranteed under the European Convention on Human Rights and complies with the Human Rights Act. Considerable emphasis has been placed throughout the consultation process to ensure that no sections of the community have been isolated or excluded. Every household in the Parish has received information about the Neighbourhood Plan and have had the opportunity to attend meetings, contribute to, or comment on the process.

6.2. A screening opinion consultation was undertaken by Cotswold District Council between January 2026 and February 2026 to determine whether the Neighbourhood Plan required a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and/or a Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). As part of this process, the statutory environmental bodies including the Environment Agency, Historic England and Natural England were consulted to seek their views on the conclusions of the screening assessment.

6.3. The SEA/HRA Screening Report concluded that:

- The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan is unlikely to give rise to any significant environmental effects and, therefore, a Strategic Environmental Assessment is not required; and
- There is no requirement for a Habitats Regulations Assessment.

This page is intentionally left blank

**Siddington
Neighbourhood
Development Plan
2025-2031
Consultation Statement**

Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION.....	2
2	THE PARISH COUNCIL AND THE STEERING GROUP.....	2
3	APPROACH TO CONSULTATION.....	3
4	THE HOUSEHOLD SURVEY	4
5	LOCAL LANDOWNER CONSULTATION.....	7
6	REGULATION 14 CONSULTATION.....	8
7	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL AND HABITATS REGULATIONS ASSESSMENT.....	10
APPENDICES		
	Appendix 1 - Results of the Household Survey.....	10
	Appendix 2 - Notices in the Siddington Village News.....	12
	Appendix 3- List of Statutory Consultees.....	13
	Appendix 4 - Approval Levels for Survey Questions.....	15
	Appendix 5 - Regulation 14 Response Log.....	22
	Appendix 6 - SEA/HRA Screening Report.....	23

SIDDINGTON NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN

CONSULTATION STATEMENT

1. Introduction

- 1.1. This statement has been prepared by the Siddington Neighbourhood Development Plan Steering Group (the **Steering Group**) on behalf of Siddington Parish Council (the **Parish Council**) to accompany its submission to the local planning authority, Cotswold District Council, of the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan (the **Neighbourhood Plan**) Under Regulation 15 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 (the **Regulations**).
- 1.2. The Parish Council is a qualifying body for the Neighbourhood Area covering the whole of the Parish of Siddington, as designated by Cotswold District Council on 30 June 2023.
- 1.3. In accordance with Regulation 15(2) of the Regulations This statement:
 - Contains details of the people and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;
 - explains how they were consulted;
 - summarises the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted; and
 - describes how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.

2. The Parish Council and the Steering Group

- 2.1. In October 2023, the Parish Council established the Steering Group, which comprised councillors and local residents; membership of this group has evolved over time, but core members have continued to meet as required over the past two years. Members of the Steering Group came from a range of backgrounds. Individuals with specialist interests contributed at relevant stages of the process.

- 2.2. From December 2023, the work of the steering group was facilitated by planning consultants, Brodie Planning Associates (**BPA**), who were appointed by the Parish Council to provide professional independent planning advice and to assist with the plan making process. Representatives of BPA attended most of the meetings of the Steering Group.
- 2.3. The Steering Group were directly involved in drafting the questionnaire for the household survey and analysing its results; researching and undertaking assessments to inform the background papers on Green Space and Views and drawing relevant conclusions; reviewing and editing the body of the background papers and the Neighbourhood Plan and developing policies under the guidance of a BPA.
- 2.4. Meetings were held on a regular basis during the process and the Parish Council was kept up to date with the process with updates provided by members of the Steering Group at Parish Council meetings. All material produced by BPA for the Steering Group was reviewed and, where necessary, edited by the Steering Group.

3. Approach to Consultation

- 3.1. In the process of preparing the neighbourhood plan the Steering Group has aimed to involve as many people in the community as possible through different stages.
- 3.2. The primary method by which the Steering Group sought the views of residents of the Parish was through its household survey (see Section 4).
- 3.3. Parish residents were updated primarily through notices in the Village News, a publication produced monthly and delivered to all households in the Parish. Appendix 2 contains details of the notices published in the Village News. At the start of the process parish residents were invited to attend the Parish Council meeting on 13 February 2024 at which BPA explained the Neighbourhood Plan process and answered questions. The consultation on the draft Neighbourhood Plan was publicised at the public meeting described in Section 6.3. Information and relevant documentation were published on the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan website (a dedicated page on the Parish Council website).

- 3.4. Members of the Steering Group attended the Siddington Village Fete in June 2024 and the Siddington Village Show in September 2024. At these events they engaged with residents of the Parish, explaining the reasons for having a Neighbourhood Plan and the process to be followed, and emphasising the important role of the household survey in informing the content of the Neighbourhood Plan.
- 3.5. The Chair of the Steering Group reported on progress at the Parish meetings on: 20 May 2024; 17 March 2025; and 31 March 2026.
- 3.6. Through notices in the Village News and on the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan website the Steering Group sought information on businesses operating in the Parish. No responses were received and the Steering Group decided that a business survey was not warranted.

4. The Household Survey

- 4.1. The Steering Group undertook a survey of residents of the Parish. A questionnaire was delivered to every address in the Parish in June 2024 and each household was asked to respond with a deadline of 5pm on 7 September 2024. The questionnaire was promoted with posters on the three Parish Council notice boards, notices in the Village News, word of mouth and information posted on the NDP section of the Parish Council website. Residents were asked to complete the questionnaire online or by completing a hard copy, which could be left at the Village Store. A telephone number was provided for residents who needed help completing or submitting the questionnaire. One request was received for a questionnaire to be collected.
- 4.2. The survey generated a response rate of 21.6%, which was considered good. The results of the survey are in Appendix 1.
- 4.3. The survey asked about: the level of support for future housing, building design, listed buildings and heritage assets, the rural landscape, local green space, views, flooding, existing community facilities, outdoor recreation and employment patterns. It also asked specifically about the greatest concerns in the parish so that the plan could where possible look to address these.

- 4.4. The results of the household survey were used to identify the overarching direction of travel for the Neighbourhood Plan and to identify areas where policies were needed.
- 4.5. The survey identified that the greatest concern of residents of the Parish was the maintenance of the identity of Siddington as a rural village separate from the main urban centre of Cirencester which lies approximately 0.5 kilometres to the north. Other significant issues identified related to the importance of maintaining a village identity and ethos, the need for any future housing development to be small scale, the need to retain green spaces in the village and maintain biodiversity. Concerns were also raised regarding flooding and sewage and volumes and speed of traffic.
- 4.6. The most valued aspects of Siddington were identified as being: its feeling of peace and safety and the surrounding countryside, which were both rated very highly; its community spirit; the local shop; and public transport. In the “top 3 likes,” residents most often cite rural setting/green space and footpaths, quietness, and village/community feel, proximity to Cirencester and wider links.
- 4.7. The main concerns and dislikes regarding Siddington were: traffic (speeding, heavy vehicle volumes, shortcutting, and HGVs); the state of the roads and pavements (potholes and poor maintenance); worries about overdevelopment and urbanisation resulting in reduced green spaces, and problematic parking or obstructions.
- 4.8. In relation to development that should be permitted, there was strong support (78%) for allowing the development of affordable homes with some support for small (two/three bed) semi-detached or terraced housing, bungalows and sheltered accommodation. A significant majority was in favour of small schemes (fewer than 10 dwellings), with most of these respondents in favour of schemes of fewer than five dwellings. There was a strong preference (72%) for redevelopment of existing sites and some support (46%) for infill. Respondents prioritise protecting green spaces and maintaining the peaceful rural character of village and expressed concern about overdevelopment, flooding and infrastructure capacity.
- 4.9. Flooding was a significant concern for many respondents. Impacts reported include road flooding (35%), garden/sewer flooding (each 19%), and building flooding (10%). Desired measures: better ditch/drainage maintenance, use of flood meadows, and management of the River Churn; additional comments stress the importance of road drain clearance and ensuring development doesn't worsen flooding/sewage capacity.

- 4.10. Many (74) responses indicate that no additional facilities are needed and many of these respondents emphasise a desire to keep Siddington as a village. The most important facilities were identified as being: walks/rural paths, the post office/shop, the pub, and the village hall. The additions/improvements identified were: connected footpaths/cycleways, playing field upgrades, better parking provision/management, improved public transport, and traffic calming.
- 4.11. The community places high importance on preserving the historic and culturally significant buildings in the village. Key landmarks identified are: the church, the tithe barn, the old canal and the historic houses in the village. Preservation and potential renovation of old buildings are priorities for maintaining village identity.
- 4.12. Maintaining the undeveloped gap between Cirencester and Siddington is supported by 92% of respondents. Green spaces such as playing fields, allotments, Canal Lane, canal corridors, open fields, water meadows and countryside views are considered very important. Concerns expressed are about loss of green spaces due to over-development and solar farms.
- 4.13. The most valued views include: the church (or its spire), canal-side views, open fields and the land adjoining the entry roads into the village.
- 4.14. Public transport is viewed as unreliable and infrequent, and taxis are rarely available. Concerns are expressed about the lack of cycle infrastructure and safe walking routes. 75% of respondents already walk into Cirencester but 90% would do so if pedestrian facilities improved. Requests for improvements include: wider/cleared pavements, better (and better maintained) surfaces (including on the canal path), safer crossings, and new cycle/walking routes, particularly linking Siddington to Cirencester.
- 4.15. 75% of respondents are concerned about parking and the traffic congestion it leads to. 91% of respondents report concerns about other traffic issues, chiefly speeding on key roads rat-running and HGVs using inappropriate narrow routes. Preferred measures to manage these issues are lower speed limits (73% - 66% would make a 20mph limit a priority), and traffic calming (58%).
- 4.16. Most working residents either work at home or in the near neighbourhood and most service needs are provided nearby in Cirencester. There is limited appetite for new office or industrial development.

5. Local Landowner Consultation

5.1. The Steering Group identified the Bathurst Estate as a local landowner who would be affected by its proposed policies on: Preventing Coalescence of Siddington with Cirencester (Policy S1); Development of the Old Talland School of Equitation (Policy S5); and the proposed new Local Green Space at Canal Lane (Policy S6 (2)). The Bathurst Estate was written to on 24 February 2026. The Bathurst Estate was also notified of the Regulation 14 consultation.

6. Regulation 14 Consultation

6.1. The steering group collated the responses of the household survey and, informed by those responses, produced a draft Neighbourhood Plan during 2025. The Steering Group planned to commence the Regulation 14 consultation on the draft Plan in the autumn of 2025 but were asked by Cotswold District Council to delay until after the conclusion of their Local Plan Preferred Options consultation on 2 January 2026.

6.2. The consultation on the draft neighbourhood plan under Regulation 14 of the Regulations opened on 22 March 2026 and closed on 12 May 2026, a period of a little over seven weeks. The draft Neighbourhood Plan was made available on the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan website on 22 March 2026. Notice of the intention to start the consultation was included in the March edition of the Village News and confirmation that it had started was given in the April edition. 101 residents who had provided email addresses were notified by email on 24 March 2026¹.

6.3. To advertise and promote participation in the Regulation 14 consultation, a public meeting was held on Sunday 29 March 2026 in the Siddington Village Hall between 2.30 pm and 4 pm. Members of the Steering Group attended the event to explain the content of the Neighbourhood Plan, answer questions

¹ At a public meeting held on 30 November 2025 to discuss Cotswold District Council's Preferred Options Consultation, attendees were invited to provide email addresses if they wanted to receive communications regarding the Local Plan or the Neighbourhood Plan.

and listen to views expressed by residents of the parish. The public meeting was advertised by the notice in the March edition of the Village News, posters placed on the Parish Council noticeboards and by email to those who had provided addresses. Approximately 60 people attended the meeting.

6.4. A summary of the Neighbourhood Plan and a copy of a response form were delivered to every household in the Parish during the week following the public meeting. The summary of the Neighbourhood Plan included a link to the documents on the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan website and to the online consultation form. Arrangements were made for hard copy response forms to be left at the Siddington Post Office. A telephone number was provided for anyone who wanted their form to be collected but no request was made.

6.5. Emails were sent to all statutory consultees. Appendix 3 includes a full list of consultees.

6.6. Copies of the following documents were made available for the duration of the consultation period on the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan website, with a link to that site from the website of Cotswold District Council:

- Siddington Neighbourhood Development Plan Regulation 14 Consultation Draft
- Summary of Siddington Neighbourhood Development Plan Regulation 14 Consultation Draft
- Response form
- Link to online response form.

6.7. In addition to the above, copies of the following documents were available to view during the NDP process, updated where necessary and retained throughout on the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan website:

- Responses to the Household Survey
- Steering Group Terms of Reference.

6.8. CDC requested, and were allowed, an extension of time to 29 May 2026 to respond to the Regulation 14 Consultation.

6.9. The Regulation 14 Consultation received responses from 147 organisations and individuals: eight statutory consultees, two landowners and 136 residents. A large majority of the responses were “Strongly Approve” or “Approve”. Appendix 4 contains details of the responses to each of the 12 questions asked in the Response Form.

6.10. A full list of statutory consultees is in Appendix 3. The statutory consultees who responded were:

- Cotswolds District Council
- Cirencester Town Council
- National Grid Electricity Transmission
- National Highways
- Gloucestershire County Council
- Natural England
- Historic England
- South Cerney Parish Council

6.11. Detailed comments were received from Cotswolds District Council and Gloucestershire County Council. Where considered necessary, amendments have been made to the Plan in response to these comments. The Regulation 14 Response Log in Appendix 4 summarises these comments and indicates where changes to the Plan have been made.

6.12. Responses to the Regulation 14 Consultation from residents of the Parish and landowners were generally supportive but some concerns were expressed. The main concerns of residents related to: flooding issues relating to development at the Old Talland site; the proposed routing of a footpath to Tesco past Wildwood Park, the gap between Siddington and Cirencester referred to in Policy S1 is too narrowly drawn, the Plan does not include enough protection in relation to sewage and flooding issues. One landowner suggested that the Plan should follow adoption of the new Local Plan on which CDC proposes to consult in August 2026, that the Old Talland site should be excluded from the gap between Siddington and Cirencester and that Policy S5 should set out the scale and form of development that would be permitted on that site. This landowner supported the allocation of local green spaces but noted that the designation is superfluous. One landowner was generally supportive and proposed that a site should be allocated for

development. This was rejected as it was considered to be inconsistent with the proposed Plan, in particular Policy S2 and the current Local Plan.

7. Strategic Environmental Assessment and Habitats Regulations Assessment

7. Prior to the Regulation 14 consultation, the proposed Siddington Neighbourhood Plan was screened by Cotswold District Council to determine whether the draft Plan would need to be assessed through a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA). The SEA/HRA screening report concluded that:
- the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan is unlikely to have any significant positive or negative effects on the environment and as a result a SEA is not required
 - there is no requirement for a HRA.

A copy of the screening report is in Appendix 6.

Appendix 1

Results of the Household survey

The Household Survey results are available on the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan website via the following link:

[NDP-Questionnaire-results-full-version-1-Dec-24.pdf](#)

Responses to open ended questions have been collated and addressed in policy sections. Matters raised that are outside the scope of “planning” have been addressed in Appendix B of the Neighbourhood Plan - Parish Aspirations and Actions.

Appendix 2

Notices in the Siddington Village News

The Siddington Village News is distributed monthly to all the households in the Parish. The following Notices regarding the Plan have been published in the Village News.

Date *	Content
September 2022	Call for volunteers to form Steering Group
February 2024	Open invitation to attend Parish Council meeting on 13 February 2024 at which BPA would explain the Neighbourhood Plan process and answer questions.
June 2024	Update on Steering Group membership, household survey and attendance at Village Fete
July 2024	Launch of Household Survey
August 2024	Household Survey reminder
September 2024	Closing of Household Survey
January 2025	Completion of Survey
January 2026	Launch of consultation
March 2026	Delayed launch of consultation and public meeting
April 2026	Launch of consultation and public meeting
May 2026	Consultation closing

* Note: Date is the of the Village News edition in which the notice appeared.

Distribution of the Village News generally takes place during the week preceding the month stated.

Appendix 3

List of statutory consultees

Consultation Body	Organisation	Response received?
County Council	Gloucestershire County Council	Y
Local Planning Authority	Cotswold District Council	Y
The Coal Authority	The Coal Authority	N
Homes England	Homes England	N
Natural England	Natural England	Y
The Environment Agency	The Environment Agency	Y
Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England	Historic England	Y
Network Rail Infrastructure Limited	Network Rail Infrastructure Limited	N
National Highways	National Highways	Y
Relevant Primary Care Trust	Gloucestershire Health and Care NHS Foundation Trust	N
Any person who owns or controls electronic communications apparatus situated in any part of the area of the local planning authority	Avonline	Not delivered
	BT Plc	N
	CTIL	N
	Acting on behalf of Vodafone and O2	N
	EE	N
Any person to whom the electronic communications code applies	Three	N
	Virgin Media Limited	N
	Wildcard Networks	N
	Arqiva	N
Any person to whom a licence has been granted under section 6(1)(b) and (c) of the Electricity Act 1989.	Openreach	N
	National Grid Electricity Distribution (South West)	Y
Any a person to whom a licence has been granted under section 7(2) of the Gas Act 1986.	National Grid	N
	Wales & West Utilities	N
Sewerage undertaker	Thames Water	N
Water undertaker		


Consultation Body	Organisation	Response received?
Adjoining local authorities - Parish Councils	South Cerney Parish Council	Y
	Somerford Keynes Parish Council	N
	Kemble and Ewen Parish Council	N
	Cirencester Town Council	Y
	Preston Parish Council	N
Landowners whose land might be designated or allocated, or constrained by the NP	Dobbies Garden Centre	N
	Greyhound Siddington	N
	Bathurst Estate	Y

Appendix 4

Approval Levels for Survey Questions


Question 1 -Do you approve the overall direction of the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Strongly approve: 107; Approve: 22; Neither approve nor disapprove: 6; Disapprove: 1; Strongly disapprove: 2. Total valid responses: 138.




Question 2 - Policy S1 - Preventing coalescence between Siddington and Cirencester

Strongly approve: 118; Approve: 16; Neither approve nor disapprove: 1; Disapprove: 2; Strongly disapprove: 1. Total valid responses: 138.




Question 3 -Policy S2 - Settlement Boundary and Infill Development

Strongly approve: 92; Approve: 37; Neither approve nor disapprove: 5; Disapprove: 2; Strongly disapprove: 2. Total valid responses: 138.




Question 4 - Policy S3 - Housing to meet the needs of the community

Strongly approve: 81; Approve: 40; Neither approve nor disapprove: 13; Disapprove: 3; Strongly disapprove: 1. Total valid responses: 138.




Question 5 - Policy S4 - General Design Principles

Strongly approve: 99; Approve: 32; Neither approve nor disapprove: 4; Disapprove: 3; Strongly disapprove: 0. Total valid responses: 138.




Question 6 - Policy S5 - Redevelopment of Old Talland/Church Farm
 Strongly approve: 85; Approve: 39; Neither approve nor disapprove: 12; Disapprove: 2; Strongly disapprove: 0. Total valid responses: 138.




Question 7 - Policy S6 - Designated heritage assets

Note: This question was included in the Consultation Questionnaire but related to a Policy that was removed from the Regulation 14 Draft before it was published.

Question 8 - Policy S7 - Local Green Spaces
 Strongly approve: 118; Approve: 15; Neither approve nor disapprove: 5; Disapprove: 0; Strongly disapprove: 0. Total valid responses: 138.




Question 9 - Policy S8 - Important views Strongly approve: 111; Approve: 22; Neither approve nor disapprove: 3; Disapprove: 0; Strongly disapprove: 2. Total valid responses: 138.




Question 10 - Policy S9 - Trees, hedgerows and woodlands

Strongly approve: 122; Approve: 13; Neither approve nor disapprove: 2; Disapprove: 0; Strongly disapprove: 1. Total valid responses: 138.




Question 11 - Policy S10 - Flood policy

Strongly approve: 122; Approve: 11; Neither approve nor disapprove: 4; Disapprove: 1; Strongly disapprove: 0. Total valid responses: 138.




Question 12 - Policy S11 - Sustainable transport and active travel

Strongly approve: 106; Approve: 27; Neither approve nor disapprove: 3; Disapprove: 0; Strongly disapprove: 2. Total valid responses: 138.



Question 13 - Policy S12 - Protecting and supporting community facilities

Strongly approve: 108; Approve: 25; Neither approve nor disapprove: 3; Disapprove: 1; Strongly disapprove: 1. Total valid responses: 138.



Appendix 5

Regulation 14 Response Log

The Regulation 14 Response Log can be viewed via the Siddington Neighbourhood Development Plan section of the Siddington Parish Council website and using the following link:-

[Siddington Neighbourhood Development Plan](#)

Please note that the responses have been summarised and addressed in policy sections. Where relevant reference is made to longer responses which are appended to the Response Log and are available on the website.

Appendix 6

SEA/HRA Screening Report

Siddington Draft Neighbourhood Plan

Reg 14 – Jan 2026

**Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) &
Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)**

Screening Report

Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) Screening

1. Introduction

- 1.1 This HRA screening report will be issued to Siddington Parish Council to assist in supporting the Reg. 14 consultation of the draft Neighbourhood Plan. Statutory consultees (Natural England, Environment Agency and Historic England) must be consulted on this HRA/SEA screening opinion. It is intended for that consultation to be simultaneous with the Reg. 14 consultation.
- 1.2 Siddington Parish Council are leading the preparation of a Neighbourhood Plan to provide locally specific planning policies intended to address issues identified as being important to the local community, particularly where those issues are perceived as not being adequately addressed through existing planning policies.
- 1.3 As the 'competent authority' under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (the Regulations) Cotswold District Council is required to assess development plans through the HRA process.
- 1.4 The purpose of a HRA is to assess possible effects of development plans on the nature conservation interests of sites designated under the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives. These sites consist of Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and also include Ramsar Sites.
- 1.5 The integration of the HRA process as part of the preparation of development plans is fundamental to the plan making process as policies in the plan can potentially affect designated sites.
- 1.6 HRA is an iterative process and the remaining stages will be completed alongside and will inform preparation of the Plan. **This is a screening opinion to ensure that any changes required can be made to the plan to facilitate the next stages of assessment under the Regulations.**

How do we do this?

- 1.7 The following assessment methodology will be followed to meet the requirements of the Habitats Directive:

Stage One – Screening

- 1.8 This comprises an initial analysis to determine whether the Neighbourhood Plan is likely to have a significant effect on any European sites. The Neighbourhood Plan will require appropriate assessment unless it is certain that it will not have a significant effect on any European sites.

1.9 Where there is a credible risk of an effect and in the absence of objective evidence demonstrating that there will not be it has to be concluded that there is a likely significant effect.

- Stage 1A: Identification of European sites relevant to the assessment, and analysis of them in terms of reasons for designation, factors affecting their integrity and trends affecting them.
- Stage 1B: Identification of underlying trends that could affect the integrity of sites.
- Stage 1C: Analysis of the Neighbourhood Plan objectives, proposals and proposed policies in terms of their possible adverse effects on the integrity of European sites, examination of options and alternatives to avoid or reduce these effects.
- Stage 1D: Identification of other plans and projects relevant to the assessment, to identify any likely in-combination effects. Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive requires that plans and projects likely to have a significant effect on a European site alone or in combination with other plans or projects shall be subject to appropriate assessment.

1.10 The ruling of the Court of Justice of the European Union in case C-323/17 People over Wind in given in April 2018 has had a profound effect on the approach to screening. Prior to this ruling it was established practice to take account of mitigation measures included in a plan or project when determining if that plan or project was likely to have a significant effect. However, paragraph 40 of the ruling states that:

Article 6(3)... must be interpreted as meaning that, in order to determine whether it is necessary to carry out, subsequently, an appropriate assessment of the implications, for a site concerning, of a plan or project, it is not appropriate, at the screening stage, to take account of measures intended to avoid or reduce the harmful effects of the plan or project on that site'


1.11 Accordingly, the benefit of measures intended to avoid or reduce the harmful effects of a plan or project must be disregarded when determining whether it is likely to have a significant effect on a European site.

Stage Two – Appropriate Assessment

1.12 Determination of whether any proposals or policies in the Neighbourhood Plan identified at the screening stage as having a likely significant effect would have an adverse effect on the integrity of any European sites, in view of the conservation objectives for those sites and the nature of the likely significant effect that has been

identified. Modifications to those proposals or policies are identified to avoid any adverse effects on site integrity.

2. Designated Sites (European) within a 10km Zone of Influence



North Meadow & Clattinger Farm SAC

2.1 Natural England Conservation Advice for Protected Sites:

Site name:	North Meadow & Clattinger Farm SAC
Designation type:	SAC
Site identification:	UK0016372
Location:	Wiltshire
Latest designation date:	01 Jan 1996
Qualifying features:	Lowland hay meadows (<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i> , <i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i>)
Designated area (ha):	104.14
Moderation/boundary changes:	Not applicable
Component Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI):	Clattinger Farm SSSI, North Meadow, Cricklade SSSI
Overlapping Protected Areas:	North Meadow, Cricklade National Nature Reserve (NNR)

This SAC comprises North Meadow and Clattinger Farm, two individual sites located approximately 4.5 miles apart in north Wiltshire close to the county boundary with Gloucestershire. Both sites lie within the floodplain of the Upper Thames and fall within the Cotswold Water Park.

Both parts of the SAC are located at the western end of the Upper Thames Clay Vales National Character Area (108). Clattinger Farm is 59.88 hectares in size and North Meadow is 44.25 hectares in size. North Meadow is a National Nature Reserve which is owned and managed by Natural England.

Both parts of the SAC sit within the Cotswold Water Park which is a manmade wetland created by the restoration of sand and gravel workings. The Water Park covers an area of 40 square miles with over 150 lakes of varying size. Prior to the exploitation of sand and gravel this area of the Upper Thames catchment was made up of floodplain grassland, river habitats and arable farming.

The SAC, along with a number of other associated smaller grassland SSSI, is a relic of the floodplain grazing farming system which was widespread in this area. Both sites sit on shallow glacial limestone gravel deposits which create free draining conditions that help to maintain the characteristic designated flora. The shallow gravel deposits at both sites result in a great seasonal variation in water table depth which has traditionally helped with hay meadow management leading to the sites characteristic flora.

North Meadow, which is located on the outskirts of Cricklade, between the River Thames and the River Churn is a Lammas floodplain meadow which has been managed by hay cutting and aftermath grazing for over 150 years. North meadow is known for a rich diversity of meadow plants, including the presence of around 95% of the UK's surviving

population of the nationally scarce Snake's head fritillary Fritillaria meleagris. The snake's head fritillary is also present at Clattinger Farm in a lower abundance.

Management of both sites aims to maintain traditional hay-meadow management of hay cutting from mid-summer, followed by grazing with livestock through the autumn and into the winter as ground conditions permit. This management allows plants to flower and set seed prior to hay cutting. Both sites, but in particular North Meadow, are regularly flooded by their adjacent watercourses.

In recent years, both sites have suffered prolonged periods of flooding which has threatened the continuation of traditional meadow management, particularly at North Meadow. The underlying shallow gravel deposits and adjacent watercourses at both sites present challenges for future management in the context of expected changes in climatic conditions.

As an NNR a significant amount of management at North Meadow is focused on managing visitor pressure, especially during fritillary flowering season, in order to balance conservation of the characteristic flora whilst allowing it to be accessible to the public.

Conservation objectives

The site's conservation objectives apply to the site and the individual species and/or assemblage of species for which the site has been classified (the 'Qualifying features' listed above).

The objectives are to ensure that, subject to natural change, the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and that the site contributes to achieving the Favourable Conservation Status of its qualifying features, by maintaining or restoring:

- the extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of the qualifying species*
- the structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats*
- the structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying species*
- the supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely*
- the populations of each of the qualifying species*
- the distribution of qualifying species within the site*

3. Screening of Policies

- 3.1 North Meadow and Clattinger Farm SAC is located outside the parish and therefore would not be subject to direct impacts as a result of the proposed Neighbourhood Plan.

- 3.2 As the SAC is within 10km of the parish, there could be effects in relation to recreational disturbance. The site description above particularly mentions managing visitor pressure as part of a National Nature Reserve.
- 3.3 Recreational pressure could be increased by allocating land for development. The draft Siddington Neighbourhood Plan does not allocate any plan for development.

Screened In:

- 3.4 None

Screened Out:

Policy S1 – Preventing Coalescence of Siddington with Cirencester

- 3.5 This policy proposes a “green gap” restricting development, to prevent the coalescence of Cirencester and Siddington, and is not considered likely to have any significant effect on a European Site.

Policy S2 – Settlement Boundary and Infill Development

- 3.6 This policy proposes a settlement boundary tight to the existing built form. Outward expansion of the settlement is specifically not supported. Infill development within the settlement boundary is supported in this policy. However, Local Plan policy DS3 (Small-Scale Residential Development in Non-Principal Settlements) already permits small-scale residential development subject to some criteria.
- 3.7 To note, in the Local Plan any settlement with a settlement boundary is considered a principal settlement. Neighbourhood Plans should not change the spatial strategy of a Local Plan. We are assuming that Siddington intends to be a non-principal settlement with a settlement boundary, meaning the provision of Local Plan policy DS3 still applies.
- 3.8 Overall, this policy gives clarity as to what constitutes ‘inside’ or ‘outside’ the settlement, but should not increase housing numbers disproportionately above those anticipated and taken cumulatively and in-combination with other plans.
- 3.9 This policy is unlikely to increase recreational pressure causing significant effects on a European site.

Policy S3 – Housing Mix

- 3.10 This policy specifies the type of housing supported in the Parish, with specific reference to affordable housing, but does not increase the housing numbers. This policy is not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European site.

Policy S4 – Housing to meet the needs of the community

- 3.11 The policy indicates the type of housing supported within the proposed Settlement Boundary (Policy S1) for Siddington, based on local needs. This policy is not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European site.

Policy S5 – General Design Principles

- 3.12 This policy supports high quality design and sets out a list of criteria which should be met by all development. This design policy is not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European site.

Policy S6 – Old Talland School of Equitation

- 3.13 This policy supports development on a brownfield site, which conserves, re-uses and enhances heritage assets on the site. This is primarily a heritage and design policy and does not specifically support or seek to allocate the site for housing, for example. The policy is not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European Site.

Policy S7A – Designated Heritage Assets

- 3.14 This policy aims to prevent harm to designated heritage assets. This heritage policy is not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European site.

Policy S7B – Conversion of Non-Domestic Historic Buildings

- 3.15 This policy supports the conversion to new uses providing it adheres with several criteria set out in the policy. This heritage policy is not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European site.

Policy S8 – Local Green Space Policy

Policy S9 – Important Views Policy

Policy S10 – Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland

Policy S11 – Flooding

Policy S12 – Sustainable Transport and Active Travel

Policy S13 – Community Facilities

- 3.16 These are environmental and community protection policies and are not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European Site.

4. Required Mitigation

- 4.1 In the opinion of CDC's Neighbourhood Plans Officer, **there are no likely significant effects on European sites, arising from the Plan's policies. Therefore, there is no trigger for an Appropriate Assessment**, or a need to avoid adverse impacts through mitigation.

5. Other Ecological Considerations

- 5.1 Siddington parish includes five areas recognised for the importance of their habitats and wildlife. Protection of these sites is supported by CDC Policy EN9.

Cotswold Lakes SSSI

- 5.2 The Cotswold Lakes has been designated as a Site of Special Scientific Interest by Natural England. It covers over 2,000 hectares straddling three counties and includes 25 hectares in the south of Siddington parish. A SSSI is the highest national designation for nature protection and restricts both development within the SSSI and in adjacent areas which could have a negative impact.
- 5.3 However, in the case of Cotswold Lakes, as there are no SPAs or SACs (European designations) within the SSSI, the requirement for HRA is not applicable.

Local Wildlife Sites:

Shorncote Local Wildlife Site

- 5.4 Part of Shorncote Local Wildlife Site, within Siddington parish, is rough grassland with deep ditches and is selected for its importance in connecting habitats as well as bird assemblages. It has been regularly used by nesting Barn owls who hunt for small mammals in the grassland. The adjacent reedbeds are in the neighbouring parish of Somerford Keynes and Shorncote.

River Churn corridor



- 5.5 Local species of conservation concern include Watervole, as well as Otter and Dipper. The river also offers sightings of Kingfisher and supports various breeding birds including warblers as well as common freshwater fish species.

Canal (from Ashton Road to the Churn)

- 5.6 The Canal at Siddington, from Ashton Road to the Churn, is also designated as a Local Wildlife Site as supporting habitat for mammals. There is water in the canal seasonally and it supports many riparian plant species which provide habitat and food used by Water Voles.

Siddington Copse (Ancient Woodland)

- 5.7 Siddington Copse is Ancient Woodland, also registered as a Local Wildlife Site. It covers an area of 2 hectares and is broad-leaved woodland. It is classified as 'Ancient Replanted Woodland' in Natural England's Ancient Woodland inventory.



Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening

6. Introduction

Summary of Screening Opinion

- 6.1 Siddington Parish Council, as the qualifying body, is preparing a Neighbourhood Plan for Siddington Parish. The Plan seeks to address a number of local issues around protecting the village from coalescence, losing its rural and distinct identity, and protecting the natural environment, local green spaces and heritage assets.
- 6.2 It will be ‘made’ (or adopted) by Cotswold District Council as the local planning authority, and will form part of the statutory development plan for the area.
- 6.3 Based on the characteristics of the draft Siddington Neighbourhood Plan (December 2025) and the area characteristics, the conclusion of this initial SEA Screening Opinion is that the plan is ***unlikely to have significant effects on the environment and SEA is therefore not required.***
- 6.4 This screening opinion is to be sent (as part of the Reg. 14 consultation) to the statutory consultation bodies, that is: The Environment Agency, Historic England and Natural England in order to seek their views on its conclusions.

Neighbourhood Planning

- 6.5 Neighbourhood Plans are prepared by a qualifying body under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended). The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan is being prepared by Siddington Parish Council (as the ‘qualifying body’) and will be ‘made’ by Cotswold District Council as the local planning authority.
- 6.6 The preparation of Neighbourhood Plans is subject to The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 and The Neighbourhood Planning (Referendums) Regulations 2012 (and subsequent amendments) and the Neighbourhood Planning Act 2017.
- 6.7 Communities have a right to be able to produce a Neighbourhood Plan. However, communities are not required by legislative, regulatory or administrative purposes to produce such a plan.
- 6.8 Siddington Parish Council is devising a Neighbourhood Plan for the Siddington neighbourhood area (parish). Once ‘made’ it will form part of the statutory development plan for the area.

Strategic Environmental Assessment

- 6.9 To be ‘made’, a neighbourhood plan must meet certain Basic Conditions (as set out in Schedule 4B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, and in the Neighbourhood Planning General Regulations (2012) [as amended]) including compliance with EU obligations. One such obligation is the assessment of the effects of the plan on the environment. The procedures to be followed in determining whether a plan would be likely to have significant effects on the environment are set out in European Union Directive 2001/42/EC (the ‘SEA Directive’), which is transposed into UK legislation through The Environmental Assessment of Plans and programmes Regulations 2004 (the ‘SEA Regulations’).
- 6.10 The purpose of the SEA Directive is to provide a high level of protection of the environment and to integrate considerations of the environment into the preparation and adoption of plans with a view to promoting sustainable development.
- 6.11 The SEA Directive sets out criteria for assessing the significance of the impact of a plan or programme on the environment. Any assessment must consider both positive and negative effects.
- 6.12 In the first instance, it is necessary to ascertain if SEA is required. This process is referred to as ‘screening’.
- 6.13 This report presents the findings of an SEA screening opinion on the draft Siddington Neighbourhood Plan, provided by the Neighbourhood Plans Officer at Cotswold District Council. It adopts a proportionate approach in applying a series of criteria, as set out in Schedule 1 the SEA Directive, to look at the draft Siddington Neighbourhood Plan and determine whether any significant effects are likely.


Habitat Regulations Assessment

- 6.14 A Neighbourhood Plan could potentially have impacts on sites covered by the Habitats Regulations. The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan will be subject to a separate HRA screening assessment to ascertain whether an Appropriate Assessment is required under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, which relate to Articles 6(3) and (4) of the European Union Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild flora and fauna (the ‘Habitats Directive’).
- 6.15 A screening opinion has been provided confirming that appropriate assessment under the Habitats Regulations is not required (see Section 1 of this report).

Siddington Neighbourhood Area

6.16 Siddington is a rural parish, which adjoins Cirencester to the north. Some of the built sprawl of Cirencester town is within Siddington Parish at the NE corner around Siddington Road.

6.17 The Neighbourhood Area covers the entire civil parish of Siddington.



Siddington Neighbourhood Area

7. Siddington Draft Neighbourhood Plan

Vision and Objectives

Over the Plan period, the Parish of Siddington will retain its own identity as a village settlement distinct from the nearby urban centre of Cirencester and maintain its rural character and landscaping setting. The community will continue to be a peaceful, friendly, and inclusive place to live with thriving facilities for all age groups. New development will be sensitively integrated into the existing settlement and contribute positively to the immediate surroundings.

7.1 To achieve this vision, four key objectives have been set:

- *Protecting Siddington's identity as a rural village distinct from Cirencester*
- *Protecting and enhancing the natural environment*
- *Supporting, maintaining and improving the facilities in the community for all age groups*
- *Minimising the impact of traffic by maintaining and enhancing connectivity on foot, bicycle and bus.*

Draft Planning Policies

7.2 There are 13 draft planning policies to deliver the objectives, summarised below:

Policy S1 – Preventing Coalescence of Siddington with Cirencester

7.3 This policy proposes a “green gap” restricting development, to prevent the coalescence of Cirencester and Siddington.

Policy S2 – Settlement Boundary and Infill Development

7.4 This policy proposes a settlement boundary tight to the existing built form. Outward expansion of the settlement is specifically not supported. Infill development within the settlement boundary is supported in this policy.

Policy S3 – Housing Mix

7.5 This policy indicates the type of housing supported in the Parish, with specific reference to affordable housing.

Policy S4 – Housing to meet the needs of the community

- 7.6 The policy indicates the type of housing supported within the proposed Settlement Boundary (Policy S1) for Siddington, based on local needs.

Policy S5 – General Design Principles

- 7.7 This policy supports high quality design and sets out a list of criteria which should be met by all development.

Policy S6 – Old Talland School of Equitation

- 7.8 This policy supports development, which conserves, re-uses and enhances heritage assets on the site.

Policy S7A – Designated Heritage Assets

- 7.9 This policy aims to prevent harm to designated heritage assets.

Policy S7B – Conversion of Non-Domestic Historic Buildings

- 7.10 This policy supports the conversion to new uses providing it adheres with several criteria set out in the policy.

Policy S8 – Local Green Space Policy

- 7.11 The policy designates two Local Green Spaces within the Neighbourhood Area.

Policy S9 – Important Views Policy

- 7.12 The policy identifies six important views to be taken account of in development proposals and avoid adverse impact on the views.

Policy S10 – Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland

- 7.13 This policy supports measures to conserve and enhance valuable trees, hedgerows and woodland in the parish.

Policy S11 – Flooding

- 7.14 This policy sets out a series of criteria in relation to flood prevention, water management and drainage.

Policy S12 – Sustainable Transport and Active Travel

- 7.15 This policy seeks to preserve existing routes and encourage new routes as set out in part 2 of the policy. This policy also promotes active travel choice, and sustainable modes of transport.

Policy S13 – Community Facilities

- 7.16 This policy identifies seven community facilities within the parish to be protected in accordance with the criteria set out in the policy.

8. Baseline Information

Context

- 8.1 Siddington is a rural village in Gloucestershire, located within close proximity to the main urban centre of Cirencester, in the Churn Valley. Situated within the setting of the Cotswold National Landscape with its renowned local vernacular, it is an attractive place to live and work.

Biodiversity, flora and fauna

- 8.2 Siddington parish includes five areas recognised for the importance of their habitats and wildlife. Protection of these sites is supported by CDC Policy EN9.

Cotswold Lakes SSSI

- 8.3 The Cotswold Lakes has been designated as a Site of Special Scientific Interest by Natural England. It covers over 2,000 hectares straddling three counties and includes 25 hectares in the south of Siddington parish. An SSSI is the highest national designation for nature protection and restricts both development within the SSSI and in adjacent areas which could have a negative impact.

Local Wildlife Sites:

Shorncote Local Wildlife Site

- 8.4 Part of Shorncote Local Wildlife Site, within Siddington parish, is rough grassland with deep ditches and is selected for its importance in connecting habitats as well as bird assemblages. It has been regularly used by nesting Barn owls who hunt for small mammals in the grassland. The adjacent reedbeds are in the neighbouring parish of Somerford Keynes and Shorncote.

River Churn corridor


- 8.5 Local species of conservation concern include Watervole, as well as Otter and Dipper. The river also offers sightings of Kingfisher and supports various breeding birds including warblers as well as common freshwater fish species.

Canal (from Ashton Road to the Churn)

- 8.6 The Canal at Siddington, from Ashton Road to the Churn, is also designated as a Local Wildlife Site as supporting habitat for mammals. There is water in the canal seasonally and it supports many riparian plant species which provide habitat and food used by Water Voles.

Siddington Copse (Ancient Woodland)


- 8.7 Siddington Copse is Ancient Woodland, also registered as a Local Wildlife Site. It covers an area of 2 hectares and is broad-leaved woodland. It is classified as 'Ancient Replanted Woodland' in Natural England's Ancient Woodland inventory.





Population, human health

- 8.8 The most recent (March 2023) Local Insight profile for Siddington Parish, produced by OCSI for Gloucestershire County Council, and based on Census 2021 data, shows that the civil parish had a population of 1,350. This was over a 25% increase since 2001. The age structure is as follows:



- 8.9 This shows Siddington has a significantly older population than the county and national average.
- 8.10 Unemployment rates are low (below 2%) and about half the national average rate. 9.4% of people claim disability Attendance Allowance, whilst 5.3% claim Personal Independence Payment (both below the national average).
- 8.11 There is nobody in Siddington living in one of the 20% most deprived areas of England. In fact, the entire parish is in the 20-40th percentiles for least deprivation.

Issue: Ageing population

Climatic factors

- 8.12 No emissions data is available for the Siddington Parish. At district level, Cotswold has emissions of 2.76m KgCO₂e (2023). This has followed a downward trend since 2009 where it was 4.14m KgCO₂e. The district has a net zero target for 2045.
- 8.13 Car ownership levels in Siddington are higher than national and county levels. 87.4% of households have at least one car. This is typical of a rural parish with limited transport links. However, Gloucestershire reported that 24% of countywide emissions are for transport (GCCS, Dec 2023).

Issue: CO₂ emissions from transport

Soil

- 8.14 The majority of agricultural land in the Parish is Grade 3 i.e. Good to Moderate quality, with some pockets of Grade 2, Very Good quality agricultural land.

Issue: n/a

Water

- 8.15 The Swindon/ Wycombe/ Oxfordshire (SWOX) Water Resource Zone (WRZ) serves the parish. There is a High deficit of supply for demand in the SWOX WRZ and the area can be considered as seriously water stressed.
- 8.16 Most of the parish is within a Zone II Groundwater source Outer Protection Zone indicating there is some risk of contamination from activities that might cause groundwater pollution in the area.

Issues: Some level of risk to groundwater source pollution

Flooding

- 8.17 Much of Siddington, particularly parts of the settlement east of Siddington Road along the west bank of the River Churn are in Flood Zone 3 (with some pockets of Flood Zone 2), meaning there is the highest probability of flooding.

Issues: Flood Zone 3

Air

8.18 There are currently no Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) in either the parish, district or county. There are no significant and tangible air quality issues in the parish.

Issues: n/a

Material assets

8.19 The parish of Siddington has a number of facilities and services, all of which are in the main village of Siddington. They encourage and enhance a strong sense of community and contribute to the community's wellbeing:

- Siddington Village Shop
- The Greyhound pub
- Siddington Village Hall
- Siddington Church of England School
- St. Peter's Church

Issues: Other facilities easily accessible by car, in larger nearby settlements, could shrink demand and the viability of some of these assets.

Heritage assets

8.20 The parish has 15 listed assets and 2 scheduled monuments:

- Siddington House – Grade II (1340985)
- St Peter's Church – Grade II listed (1340986)
- Tithe Barn and one other adjacent to St Peter's (1090073); also a Scheduled Monument (1003445)
- Animal Shelter to east of Tithe Barn (1090074)
- Church Farmhouse – Grade II listed (1340987)
- Siddington Manor – Grade II listed (1340988)
- Roberts House – Grade II listed (1304080)
- The Round House – Grade II listed (1090069)
- Siddington Hall (Frazier's Folly) – Grade II (1090070)
- The Greyhound – Grade II (1090075)
- Upper Siddington House – Grade II (1340989)
- Upper Siddington Bridge – Grade II (1090036)
- School House – Grade II listed (1153896)
- Barton Farmhouse – Grade II (1090075)
- The Old Rectory – Grade II (1379927)

- Settlement SE of Chesterton Farm – Scheduled Monument (1003444). This is currently on the Historic England At Risk Register. The condition of the archaeology is extensive with significant problems. The vulnerability of the asset is Medium. An action/ strategy has been agreed but not yet implemented.

Issues: At Risk Scheduled Monument

Landscape

- 8.21 Natural England has divided the country up into 159 areas with similar landscape character, which are called National Character Areas (NCAs). Gloucestershire County Council has added further subdivisions referred to as Local Character Areas (LCAs).
- 8.22 Siddington is located on the edge of the Upper Thames Clay Vales (108), bordering the Cotswold National Character Area (107) to the north which encompasses Cirencester. The northern and western parts of the settlement and environs are located within the Dip Slope Lowland landscape type in the Kemble Dip Slope LCA. The southern and eastern environs lie in the River Basin Lowland landscape type in the Somerford Keynes LCA.
- 8.23 The dip slope land is primarily arable with medium to large fields. Hedgerows are well maintained and feature occasional hedgerow trees. There are numerous trees in belts and copses and along the defunct canal and railway. There are substantial tree belts enclosing the village, including mature trees in the disused nursery at Nursery View, and the limes and chestnuts flanking the canal.
- 8.24 The water meadows of the Churn flood regularly in winter with the river bursting its banks sending floodwater across the floodplain and inundating the fields for extended periods.
- 8.25 The Cotswold Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty is less than 1 mile northwest of Siddington, and the Cotswold Water Park SSSI is partly within the parish. Part of the Kemble and Ewen Special Landscape Area is within the western borders of the parish.


Issues: Continued pressure for development, which could have negative impacts on the landscape

9. SEA Screening

- 9.1 This section presents the SEA screening opinion assessment which is undertaken in two parts. The first part will assess whether the plan requires SEA (as per the flow chart which follows). The second part of the assessment will consider whether the Neighbourhood Plan is likely to have a significant effect on the environment, using criteria drawn from Schedule 1 of the EU SEA Directive and the UK Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004. The statutory consultation bodies (Historic England, Environment Agency and Natural England) will need to be consulted to determine whether they agree with the conclusion of this screening opinion.

Figure 1 Application of the SEA Directive to Plans and Programmes²

This diagram is intended as a guide to the criteria for application of the Directive to plans and programmes (PPs). It has no legal status.



*The Directive requires Member States to determine whether plans or programmes in this category are likely to have significant environmental effects. These determinations may be made on a case by case basis and/or by specifying types of plan or programme.

Table 1: Establishing the need for SEA

Stage	Answer	Reason
1. Is the PP subject to preparation and/ or adoption by a national, regional or local authority OR prepared by an authority for adoption through a legislative procedure by Parliament or Government? (Art. 2 (a))	YES	This neighbourhood plan is prepared by Siddington Parish Council (as the Qualifying Body) under the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Localism Act 2011. Once the plan is 'made' it will be adopted by Cotswold District Council and become part of the statutory development plan for the area.
2. Is the PP required by legislative, regulatory or administrative provisions? (Art. 2 (a))	YES	Communities have a right to be able to produce a Neighbourhood Plan, however communities are not required by legislative, regulatory or administrative provisions to produce a Neighbourhood Plan. This plan however is subject to 'provisions' that require it to be prepared in a formal way and if adopted would form part of the statutory development plan.
3. Is the PP required for agriculture, forestry, fisheries, energy, industry, transport, waste management, water management, telecommunications, tourism, town and country planning or land use, AND does it set a framework for future development consent of projects in Annexes I and II to the EIA Directive? (Art.3.2(a))	YES	The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan is prepared for town and country planning and land use. The plan sets out a framework for future development in the neighbourhood area. Once 'made' the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan would form part of the statutory development plan and will be used when making decisions on planning applications which may include development which may fall under Annex I and II of the EIA directive.
4. Will the PP, in view of its likely effect on sites, require an assessment under Article 6 or 7 of the Habitats Directive? (Art.3.2(b))	n/a	See HRA screening assessment in this report.
5. Does the PP determine the use of small areas at local level, OR is it a minor modification of a PP	YES	The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan does not identify land for allocation at the local level. However, once 'made' the Plan would form part of the statutory development plan

subject to Art. 3.2? (Art. 3.3)		and be used when determining decisions on planning applications of small areas at the local level.
6. Does the PP set the framework for future development consent of projects (not just projects in Annexes to the EIA Directive)? (Art. 3.4)	n/a	
7. Is the PP's sole purpose to serve national defence or civil emergency, OR is it a financial or budget PP, OR is it co-financed by structural funds or EAGGF programmes 2000 to 2006/7 (Art. 3.8, 3.9)	n/a	
8. Is it likely to have a significant effect on the environment? (Art 3.5)	NO	See Table 2 below

- 9.2 Criteria for determining the likelihood of significant effects on the environment arising from plans and programmes are set out in Schedule 1 of the SEA Directive. The criteria are split into two categories: those relating to the characteristics of the plan and those relating to the characteristics of the effects and areas likely to be affected.² An assessment of the draft Siddington Neighbourhood Plan against these criteria is set out in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Assessment of the likelihood of significant effects on the environment

Criteria (Schedule 1)	Summary of significant effects
(a) the degree to which the plan sets a framework for projects and other activities, either with regard to the location, nature, size and operating conditions or by allocating resources.	The Neighbourhood Plan does not seek to allocate land for residential or other forms development. Once made, the Neighbourhood Plan will form part of the statutory development plan for Siddington Parish and will be used in conjunction with the Cotswold Local Plan to determine planning applications in the area.
(b) the degree to which the plan influences other plans and programmes including those in a hierarchy	Due to the locally-specific nature of the policies, the effects of the Neighbourhood Plan on other plans and programmes within the wider development plan will be slight.

	Its policies are in general conformity with strategic policies in the existing development plan (the Cotswold Local Plan). The Plan has been prepared having regard to national planning policies and guidance.
(c) the relevance of the plan for the integration of environmental considerations, in particular with a view to promoting sustainable development.	One of the Basic Conditions which the Neighbourhood Plan must meet is to contribute to sustainable development. The approach adopted in the Neighbourhood Plan is to: • protect Siddington's identity as a rural village settlement distinct from the nearby urban centre of Cirencester. • protect and enhance the local natural environment for the benefit of future generations including biodiversity, green and open spaces, views, and footpaths. • encourage and enhance a strong sense of community by working together to support, maintain and improve the existing facilities for all age groups. • maintain and enhance connectivity throughout the parish on foot, bicycle, and bus to minimise traffic problems and reduce emissions.
(d) environmental problems relevant to the plan	The following potential environmental problems have been identified in relation to the plan: National designations: Cotswold Lakes SSSI Local wildlife sites: • Shorncote Local Wildlife Site • River Churn corridor • Canal (from Ashton Road to the Churn) • Siddington Copse (Ancient Woodland) Climate: CO2 emissions from transport Water: Some level of risk to groundwater source pollution Flooding: Flood Zone 3 Heritage: At Risk Scheduled Monument Landscape: Continued pressure for development, with negative impacts on the landscape

(e) the relevance of the plan for the implementation of Community legislation on the environment (e.g. plans and programmes linked to waste management or water protection).	Neighbourhood plans cannot contain policies or proposals in respect of waste management since this is 'excluded development' by virtue of the Planning Acts. The Neighbourhood Plan has no particular relevance for the implementation of other Community legislation, apart from its limited association with legislation designed to ensure continued protection of European designated sites.
(a) the probability, duration, frequency and reversibility of the effects.	The Neighbourhood Plan covers the period 2025-2031 i.e. a period of 6 years. However, the Plan does not allocate any land for development and will therefore not have any direct, permanent effects. Instead, the Plan establishes through its policies, a settlement boundary to direct new housing development to the existing built up area, protecting rural setting and the identification and protection of important green spaces, community assets and valued views. The Neighbourhood Plan is therefore highly unlikely to result in either temporary or permanent significant adverse environmental effects. The Neighbourhood Plan will also be subject to monitoring to ensure that a review of the Plan can be triggered in the event of non-delivery of its objectives or undesired or unintended consequences.
(b) the cumulative nature of the effects	The cumulative effects of proposals within the Plan are unlikely to be significant on the local environment given the relatively that the level of development proposed does not exceed that identified within the adopted local plan.
(c) the transboundary nature of the effects	Effects will be local with limited effects on neighbouring areas as the policies within the Plan only apply to the designated area.
(d) the risks to human health or the environment.	There are no anticipated risks to human health or the environment from the Neighbourhood Plan.
(e) the magnitude and spatial extent of the effects (geographical area and size of the population likely to be affected).	The population of the Parish is currently 1,350. The Plan is restricted to the neighbourhood area, and it is unlikely that the effects of proposals

	within the neighbourhood plan will have much, if any, effect beyond the Parish.
(f) the value and vulnerability of the area likely to be affected due to: • special natural characteristics or cultural heritage; • exceeded environmental quality standards or limit values; • intensive land-use;	The Parish can be assessed of being of medium/high environmental value, comprising: 1x SSSI (Cotswold Lakes) 4x Local Wildlife Sites 15x listed heritage assets 2x scheduled monuments The parish is within a 10km impact zone of an SAC (North Meadow and Clattinger Farm)
(g) the effects on areas or landscapes which have a recognised national, community or international protection status	The Cotswold Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty is less than 1 mile northwest of Siddington, and the Cotswold Water Park SSSI is partly within the parish. Part of the Kemble and Ewen Special Landscape Area is within the western borders of the parish.

SEA Screening Conclusion

- 9.3 On the basis of the assessment of the likely significance of effects on the environment of implementing the Plan as set out in Table 2 of this Screening Opinion, the conclusion is:
- 9.4 The draft Siddington Neighbourhood Plan does not propose more development than is set out within the adopted Local Plan, nor does it allocate sites for development.
- 9.5 In the opinion of the Neighbourhood Plans Officer at Cotswold District Council, having regard to the criteria set out in Schedule 1 of the SEA Regulations, the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan **is unlikely to have any significant positive or negative effects on the environment. Therefore, Strategic Environmental Assessment is NOT required.**
- 9.6 This conclusion is to be consulted with the statutory bodies at Reg. 14: Natural England, Historic England and the Environment Agency.

This page is intentionally left blank

Siddington Neighbourhood Development Plan -Regulation 14 Consultation Record of Responses Received

Ref	Status	Date	Policy/ Section	Comment	Support/ Object	SG Response	Action
R		3.4.26	Objectives	Maintaining the character and equestrian elements of the village are also very important	Support	Noted	No action required
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	Objectives	Traffic and transport tends to sit outside the scope of a NP, except for e.g. allocating land for a car park. There are parts of S12 which are useful, but this objective seems greater than could be realistically achieved through a NP.	Object	Note that other made NDPs include a similar policy	No action required
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	Para 3.3.7	There is no reference in the document to non-designated heritage assets. I would therefore suggest including a paragraph after 3.3.7 which refers to listed buildings, to advise that other buildings of historic interest can be identified as non-designated heritage assets and also form an important part of		Agreed	Plan amended (see paragraph 3.3.8)

				the character and historic interest of Siddington.			
Comments on Policy S1: Preventing Coalescence							
SC1	Statutory Consultee	9.5.26	S1	While the objective of maintaining the separation between Siddington and Cirencester is understandable in seeking to preserve local identity, it does not appear to fully reflect the position established in the Local Plan in relation to land use.		The policy is consistent with the CDC Local Plan adopted in 2018	No action required
L1	Landowner	6.5.26	S1	The SNDP should follow the adoption of the new Local Plan so that its policies can help shape the design and appearance of any housing allocations proposed..	Object	As noted in paragraph 7.1.1, the Plan will be reviewed once the emerging local plan has been adopted	No action required
			S1	The Old Talland site should be excluded from the gap	Object	There is no compelling justification for excluding the Old Talland site from the Policy designation. Note that the policy does not preclude development but supports proposals that maintain the physical	No action required

						and visual separation and openness between Cirencester and Siddington village. As such it is considered that the Policy would not prevent the sympathetic and appropriately designed development of the Old Talland site	
R13	Resident	30.3.26	S1	Siddington needs to stay as a village, not as a suburb or an estate of Cirencester	Support	Noted	No action required
R14	Resident	30.3.26	S1	Siddington's status as a village should never be compromised with overdevelopment	Support	Noted	No action required
R15	Resident	30.3.26	S1	Keep Siddington apart from Cirencester. It is a village not an estate.	Support	Noted	No action required
R21	Resident	18.4.26	S1	Siddington needs to retain its identity and not be a part of Cirencester.	Support	Noted	No action required
R1	Resident	4.5.26	S1	Policy should go further and include in its definition of "the gap" all of the undeveloped land to the north of Parkway.		The Policy is intended to preserve a green buffer between Siddington village and the built form of Cirencester, including North Siddington, thereby preventing the	No action required

						coalescence of the two settlements. It is our view that the Gap boundary as currently defined achieves this objective	
R38	Resident	10.5.26	S1	Would like to see north of Orchard Field woodland included in the gap.		See comment above	No action required
SC	Statutory Consultee	8.5.26	S1	Respondent supports maintaining the "Siddington Gap" separation from Cirencester	Support	Noted	No action required
R33	Resident	10.5.26	S1	Ensuring that Siddington remains a separate rural village and does not become part of a "Greater Cirencester" is the overarching aim of the SNDP	Support	Noted	No action required
R39	Resident	10.5.26	S1	Any new expansion of the village should be Somerford Road side due to the new installation of sewer pipes for the Bathurst development. This would prevent overloading the village sewer system. No development in the area between Spire View and the main village due to	Support	Noted	No action required

				existing problems in this area.			
R41	Resident	10.5.26	S1	Support protecting the rural nature of Siddington and preserving separation between Cirencester and Siddington	Support	Noted	No action required
R18	Resident	3.4.26	S1	It is very important to me that Siddington remains a separate settlement from Cirencester.		Noted	No action required
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	S1	It might be worth differentiating between Siddington Parish and built form (village) of Siddington, and ensure correct terminology used throughout the document.		Agreed	Plan amended
Comments on Policy S2: Development Boundary and Infill Development							
R5	Residents	8.5.26	S2	The boundary should remain the same with no further infill development on green space.	Support	Noted	No action required
L1	Landowner	6.5.26	S2	The Development Boundary should be expanded to include all built up areas within the settlement boundary	Object	The Development Boundary reflects feedback from residents of the parish through the NDP survey and is drawn to follow the existing built form of the Siddington	No action required

						village, supported by clear natural features such as the canal, tree belts and valued green spaces. It excludes the Severells Field development, as it sits outside the main nucleus of the village	
R43	Resident		S2	Development at the top of Parkway to the left where it joins Spratsgate would not be welcome. Development needs to be small and adjoining current residential areas. Jubilee flats should be replaced with better quality housing for the current residents/demographic.		Noted	No action required
R7	Resident	Richardson	S2	Infill development should be small scale (less than 10 properties) and NOT seek to create new estates on the fields within the village; e.g. the fields either side of the Coach Road including that to the south of Severells Field, with the exception of that outlined		Noted	No action required

				in Policy S5 that we support			
R18	Resident	3.4.26	S2	I like the infill developments and incorporating the heritage sites into new developments	Support	Noted	No action required
R20	Residents	15.4.26	S2	Would strongly approve if caveated so that conditions of any infill development include (1) being appropriate to the location and context both in scale and design (2) cause no access or egress issues for affected neighbours and (3) does not overlook neighbouring gardens that were not previously overlooked.	Support	Noted	No action required
R35	Residents	10.5.26	S2	We don't want to lose the land that is farmed down the Coach Road to houses.	Support	Noted	No action required
R44	Resident	Not dated	S2	The boundary should remain the same and no infill developments especially if it impacts on green space. If one development gets approved, then more will likely follow resulting in even less green space.	Support	Noted	No action required

				We don't have an issue with redeveloping existing sites. We are worried that in future years this will no longer remain a village and will inevitably be joined to the main town. Our green space is consistently being filled with solar panels and adding housing will end up making Siddington more urbanised and not rural. If more housing is built you will need the extra infrastructure on top of that and everyone needs to remember we are a small village.			
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	S2	In the Local Plan any settlement with a settlement boundary is considered a principal settlement. Neighbourhood Plans should not change the spatial strategy of a Local Plan. We are assuming that Siddington intends to be a non-principal settlement with a settlement boundary,	Object	Siddington is currently identified as a non-principal settlement and should remain so designated and should continue to be assessed against Local Plan Policy DS3	No action required

				meaning the provision of Local Plan policy DS3 still applies?			
				Can figure of 452% increase in homes since 1945 (para. 6.8.3) be evidenced?		The calculation of 452% was based on the 1931 census figure of 123 households in Siddington CP and the 2021 census figure of 588 updated by the 91 houses subsequently built in the parish. The Plan has been revised using the 1951 census (144 households) and the estimate of household numbers in 2026 (679) f	Plan amended. See paragraphs 3.10.1 and 6.8.3
				Settlement boundaries are used to discourage expansion into open countryside and encourage/ prioritise re-use of buildings, development of	Object	The approach taken follows the existing built form of the Siddington village, supported by clear natural features such as the canal, tree belts and valued green	No action required

				brownfield sites etc. Most settlement boundaries would be “rounded”. As drawn, it excludes a lot of potential sites that would be larger infill (within the built form) without the village extending outwards. Most settlement boundaries are drawn to include recent developments, such as Rural Exception Sites, or in conjunction with the allocation of a site outside an existing settlement boundary. Both are to support development, whereas this appears to only constrict development. As drawn this would seriously restrict sustainable development, additional housing and could jeopardise the longevity of facilities and services. Does Policy 1 do enough to protect coalescence, that this policy would be largely unnecessary?		spaces. It is considered that Policy S1 does not render Policy S2 unnecessary. Policy S1 addresses coalescence along the northern edge of the village. Policy S2 provides protection to the settlement boundaries to the south, east and west. This approach is consistent with Local Plan Policy DS3, which supports small- scale residential development where it is proportionate in scale, maintains sustainable patterns of development, and avoids adverse cumulative impacts. The policy also requires that the development is appropriate to the rural character of the locality and safeguards open spaces and gaps that contribute positively to the village character, including views and vistas. It further recognises the importance of considering	
--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--

						cumulative impacts over time in relation to the potential erosion of rural character and the risk of coalescence or a more urban form of development	
				The implication of para. 6.8.4 is that the NP is enabling Old Talland as a makeweight to this policy - there would already be support through planning policy if it secured the future conservation of heritage assets	Object	It is not accepted that this is the implication of the wording referred to. The wording in question has been moved to the explanation of Policy S5 (paragraph 6.11.1)	Plan amended
Comments on Policy S3: Housing to meet the needs of the community							
L2	Landowner	9.5.26	S3	Questions whether all requirements can be accommodated given the small scale of proposals likely to come forward within the Development Boundary.	Object	The criteria set out in Policy S3 are intended to apply to development of any scale	No action required
R9	Resident	29.3.26	S3	Although I understand some houses need to be single storey to suit older people, I also think it cuts off attracting younger people who might not		Noted	No action required

				wish to live in a bungalow.			
R39	Resident	10.5.26	S3	Important that any new housing developments include social housing. This is especially important for youngsters in our area who are being driven out due to being priced out.		Noted	No action required
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	S3	The SG could identify neighbouring parishes for purposes of what constitutes "local".		Noted	No action required
Comments on Policy S4: General Design Principles							
R6	Resident	24.3.26	S4	Adding even one "swift brick" to every new build would help swifts, an endangered species, survive.		??????????????	
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	S4.2	Could add ... by respecting building lines, orientation, heights, and dormer levels, where appropriate.		Policy changed to include proposed wording	Plan amended
			S4.5	Would this not contradict Policy S1		It is not accepted that this contradicts Policy S1 but the words 'wherever sited' have been deleted	Plan amended
			S4.7	All policies of the Local Plan should be adhered		This policy will help ensure that the relevant	No action required

				to where relevant. And likely to be a new policy adopted soon. Suggest deleting.		policy protection is maintained as the Local Plan framework evolves	
			S4.9 &10	These are prudent, but would be better move to support text for interpretation of S4.8, not as policy wording.		Noted. Considered appropriate to retain as part of policy	No action required
			S4.16 - 25	Much of these criteria are duplicative of the Local Plan. Only locally specific points adding to an existing policy will be effective. Remove where duplicate wording or same approach?		Noted. Considered appropriate to retain?	No action required
			S4.16	Preserve or enhance is a term associated with heritage assets, just to clarify that this is intended to apply to all development.		The wording in the Plan is 'protect or enhance' and it is considered this applies to all development	No action required
Comments on Policy S5: Old Talland School of Equitation							
R3	Residents	6.5.26	S5	The main part of the Old Talland site is in flood zone 3b and the remainder is in flood zone 3a. Any development here would be inconsistent with that and	Object	Policy amended by addition of a new paragraph 3(c) which indicates that proposals should "appropriately respond to the constraints	Plan amended (paragraph 3(c))

				would be extremely likely to increase elsewhere.		of the site including flood risk”.	
L1	Landowner	6.5.26	S5	It would be useful for the Policy to set out the scale and form of development that would be supported.	Support	It is not considered appropriate to include additional detail in the Policy	No action required.
R11	Resident	30.3.26	S5	I agree that this area needs to be preserved and maintained but have concerns as to how it is developed. Although the area is not designated flood plain its close surrounding area is. My concern is the detrimental effect development could have on problems with surface water and the sewerage system and local flooding		Policy amended by addition of a new paragraph 3(c) which indicates that proposals should “appropriately respond to the constraints of the site including flood risk”	Plan amended (paragraph 3(c))
R26	Resident	7.5.26	S5	Suggest the following addition. It is important to note that the Talland site is in the floodplain, and new development would not therefore be supported. Redevelopment should avoid any increase in hardstanding or otherwise lead to a loss of vertical drainage or increase in runoff and also be in		Policy amended by addition of a new paragraph 3(c) which indicates that proposals should “appropriately respond to the constraints of the site including flood risk”.	Plan amended (paragraph 3(c))

				accordance with Policy S4 (General Design Principles: Water management) and S9 (Flood policy).			
R27	Resident	8.5.26	S5	Does this not go against S1, reducing the green gap.		Most of the site comprises existing heritage assets and dilapidated stabling. Any (re)development that would harm or reduce the green gap would not be supported	No action required.
R29	Resident	10.5.26	S5	Please note comments about Talland development in [Respondent]'s email to the committee regarding the precedent set by promoting a development in zone 3b functional flood plain and with poor vehicular access.		Policy amended by addition of a new paragraph 3(c) which indicates that proposals should “appropriately respond to the constraints of the site including flood risk”.	Plan amended (paragraph 3(c))
R36	Resident	10.5.26	S5	What is meant by a redevelopment of Old Talland/Church Farm? Will it be housing? Does it form part of the designated heritage assets - surely these are separate from the Old Talland farm? The tithe barn I do understand has		The Policy encourages redevelopment of the site that will secure the long term conservation of the important historic assets. The site also has significance as a gateway to the village, which any proposals should respect and enhance	No action required

				historic significance. The stable surely are not worth preserving - are they?			
R37	Resident	10.5.26	S5	Redevelopment of Old Talland site long overdue. Turned down the last time. It's a disgrace. Would it be possible to include parking for the church - long needed.		Noted	No action required
R41	Resident	10.26	S5	Important to maintain heritage features and protect from future housing		Noted	No action required
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	S5	As per the SEA screening response from Historic England, we would suggest trilateral work on this policy, particularly around 'tightening up in the policy of the criteria in heritage terms'.		The Policy has been amended to include the wording provided by CDC	Plan amended
Comments on Policy S6: Local Green Space Policy							
L1	Landowner		S6	The allocation of the green spaces is supported but notes that Local Green Space designation is superfluous to safeguard their kind term protection.	Support	It is considered that designation as Local Green Spaces is important to safeguard the sites identified	No action required

R30	Resident	10.5.26	S6	My Grandpa helped me read this plan - I often with my mum and sister go to the playpark from home and would welcome the road (Canal Lane) becoming a green space to keep it protected as I grow up	Support	Noted	No action required
R31	Residents	10.5.26	S6	I often go to the park- I take my bike sometimes - I really hope [Canal Lane] can be maintained as is and become a Green Space so I can use it safely and unchanged	Support	Noted	No action required
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	S6	As (a) and (b) are already designated in the Local Plan, and they will be “passported” into the new Local Plan good to draw attention to them and indicate the boundaries in this document, but recommend deleting this policy. This would be duplicating, and their designation does not need to be assessed again.		It is considered that designation as Local Green Spaces is important to safeguard the sites identified notwithstanding the inclusion of 1(a) and 1(b) in the Local Plan. This is particularly important because a new Local Plan is to be produced	No action required

Comments on Policy S7: Important Views Policy							
L2	Landowner	9.5.26	S7	This policy is inconsistent and poorly evidenced and detracts from the Plan.	Object	The Plan has been amended to include the criteria used to assess the views. The Policy reflects wording commonly used in other Neighbourhood Development Plans and is based on established assessment principles	Plan amended (Appendix C)
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	S7.4	This is too strong, and not positively worded		Comment appears to relate to policy S7.2. It is not considered appropriate to change the wording	No action required
Comments on Policy S8: Trees, Hedgerows and Woodlands Policy							
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	S8	Policy S8 duplicates Local Plan policy EN7.		It is agreed that Policy S8.1 duplicates Local Plan Policy EN7 and it has been removed. S8.2 and S8.3 provide further definition of Policy EN7 and introduce a local element to its application and have been retained.	Plan amended
Comments on Policy S9: Flood Policy							
SC4	Statutory Consultee	8.5.26	S9.1(b)	Betterment in surface water flows is sought for brownfield sites, where 40% improvement over	Object	Policy S9.1(b) has been amended to remove reference to greenfield sites	Plan amended (Policy S9.1(b))

				current rate or greenfield runoff rate is sought			
			S9.1(d)	It is too vague to require flood attenuation areas to be outside flood zones. Attenuation basins in flood zone 2 are acceptable.	Object	Policy S9.1(d) has been amended to refer to Flood Zones 2 and 3 for the reasons given in paragraph 6.5.16 of the Plan	Plan amended (Policy 9.1.(d) and paragraph 6.5.16)
L2	Landowner	9.5.26	S9	The Policy should follow National Standards for SuDS.	Object	Policy S9.1(d) has been amended to refer to Flood Zones 2 and 3 for the reasons given in paragraph 6.5.16 of the Plan y	Plan amended (Policy 9.1.(d) and paragraph 6.5.16)
L1	Landowner	6.5.26	S9	Questions whether the Policy is needed as it sets out requirements in the Local Plan and the National Planning Policy Framework		Noted. It is acknowledged that the Policy covers the same matters as Local Plan Policy EN14 but it is considered that the Policy is desirable to reflect local concerns	No change required
R23	Resident	28.4.26	S9	Policy S9 provides a policy to mitigate flooding, but there is little mention of the serious sewage discharge issue in the village resulting from insufficient capacity in the Ashton Road sewer. Also, there is no mention of the unacceptable sewage discharges at the		Plan amended to include reference to concerns about inadequate sewage capacity in Section 7	Plan amended (Section 7)

				Shorncote WWTW. These problems need highlighting as persistent overflows indicate insufficient wastewater capacity relative to housing growth. There should be a policy requiring phased development closely linked to wastewater upgrades by Thames water both in the main sewer for Siddington and at the Shorncote WWTW			
R12	Resident	30.3.26	S9	Flooding and the recent almost continuous sewage spills on Ashton Road and Cherry Tree are a serious concern. There should be no more development in the area until this is resolved.		Noted. See above comment in relation to sewage capacity	Plan amended
R10	Resident	29.3.26	S9	Any development should take into account the existing problems of flooding and sewage spills that the village has encountered frequently.		Noted. See above comment in relation to sewage capacity	Plan amended
R42	Resident	10.5.26	S9	The prevention of leaking sewage and flooding due to cracked pipes and poor maintenance must be put		Noted. See above comment in relation to sewage capacity	Plan amended

				in place before any new development/building works is carried out			
R10	Resident	29.3.26	S9	Crucial that any future development does not worsen the existing flooding problem in the village. Similarly future developments must be fully supported by improvements in the currently totally inadequate sewerage infrastructure		Noted. See above comment in relation to sewage capacity	Plan amended
R22	Resident	27.4.26	S9	I live on Ashton Road close to the Parkway junction. The roads flood every time there is heavy rain. This has worsened since the new buildings were constructed at Severells Field and the Spire View Estate. We are also plagued with heavy traffic that causes disruption for people trying to get in and out of the village. New buildings are likely to make the flooding and traffic problems worse.		Noted. See above comment in relation to sewage capacity	No action required
SC7	Statutory Consultee	8.5.26		Respondent notes that the flood plain south of		Noted	No action required

				Siddington (and north of South Cerney) is not recognised and needs to be strengthened			
R16	Resident	31.3.26	S9	On the basis that in the future change will occur with the addition of further housing, attention to flooding and traffic conditions is critical/essential.		Noted. See above comment in relation to sewage capacity. Appendix B of the Plan includes aspirations relating to transport conditions	Plan amended
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	S9	Although this has been highlighted as a key issue, nothing locally/ site specific being added to the scope/ approach of Local Plan policy EN14.		Noted. It is acknowledged that the Policy covers the same matters as Local Plan Policy EN14 but it is considered that the Policy is desirable to reflect local concerns	No action required
			S9	Detailed drafting comments.		Noted	No action required
Comments on Policy S10: Sustainable Transport and Active Travel							
R4	Resident	12.4.26	S10A.2	Route of proposed footpath from Siddington Road to Tesco would destroy security of properties along Primrose Way, the equanimity of the residents and tranquillity of the area and encourage antisocial behaviour. If accessible from Wildwood Park, it		The route of the proposed footpath is indicative only. Residents' comments will be taken into account in the detailed planning stage if any proposal is submitted	No action required

				would lead to parking in Wildwood Park and shopping trolleys being dumped. An alternative route from Church Avenue or South Cerney Road is suggested.			
R19	Resident	13.4.26	S10	Especially like the pathway ideas. As a wheelchair user connectivity is vital to Cirencester and South Cerney	Support	Noted	No action required
R2	Resident	24.4.26	S10A.2	Concerns about the route of proposed footpath from Siddington Road to Tesco: flooding, wildlife disturbance, antisocial behaviour.		The route of the proposed footpath is indicative only. Residents' comments will be taken into account in the detailed planning stage if any proposal is submitted	No action required
SC4	Statutory Consultee	8.5.26	S10	The policy should be strengthened by distinguishing between routes that are to be safeguarded through development management and routes that are aspirational, dependent on third party land, funding or delivery	Support	Agreed. Policy S10A.2 has been amended	Plan amended (Policy S10A.2)
			S10A	Strengthen Policy by requiring development that would prejudice one		Agreed. Policy S10A.1 has been amended	Plan amended

				of the routes should demonstrate how the route will be safeguarded or incorporated.			(Policy S10A.1)
			S10	Clarify that relevant development proposals should proportionately contribute to improvements and make reference to s 106 and/or CIL funding as delivery mechanisms		Agreed. Policy S10C.1 has been amended to refer to s 106	Plan amended (Policy S10C.1)
			S10A.2(b)	Acknowledge engineering, land and environmental constraints		Agreed. Paragraph 6.16.5 has been amended.	Plan amended (paragraph 6.16.5)
			S10	Recognise the importance of protecting bus route viability		Agreed. Policy S10B.1 has been added	Plan amended (Policy S10B.1)
R8	Resident	27.6.26	S10	I don't agree with section 11 when you say there is a desire for a footpath between Tesco and Siddington Road. I don't think you will find that desire in the area that it would have the greatest impact. This was tried before when Tesco bought one of the houses in Cherry Tree drive with the plan to knock through		The route of the proposed footpath is indicative only. Residents' comments will be taken into account in the detailed planning stage if any proposal is submitted	No action required

				to Tesco. This plan has been rejected and is not what the residents of Cherry Tree Drive, and wildwood park, want as it will bring more unnecessary footfall into a quiet cul de sac area. If there was a footpath from the village to Tesco through the fields no one would object- but it would be under water half of the year due to flooding			
R24	Resident	3.5.26	S10A.2(d)	The proposed foot and cycle route should be a bridle path.	Object	Agreed. Policy S10A.2(d) has been amended to refer to a bridleway.	Plan amended (Policy S10A.2(d))
R24	Resident	3.5.26	S10A.2(e)	The Green Lane / Quiet Lane off Clarks Lane must not be downgraded to a cycle track and footpath. It has always been used by horse riders. If its status is to change it should be designated a bridleway.	Object	Agreed. Policy S10A.2(d) has been amended to refer to a bridleway.	Plan amended (Policy S10A.2(d))
R25	Residents	4.5.26	S10A	We strongly support the creation of better and additional footpaths	Support	Noted	No action required

				around the village, for example improving walking access to Cirencester and the creation of a footpath from the village to Tesco			
SC7	Statutory Consultee	8.5.26	S10A.2(d)	Respondent strongly supports the proposal for a walking and cycle route along the old railway line from Siddington to South Cerney.	Support	Noted	No action required
R28	Resident	8.5.26	S10A.2(d)	I am just a little confused about the conflict between the desire for the disused railway line to have a cycle lane and footpath but it being privately owned. I would very much support this being made available to connect with South Cerney and would use it regularly	Support	Noted	No action required
R	Residents	10.5.26	S10A,	We strongly object to a footpath along the back of our boundary where it floods. We bought our property for the lovely views and wildlife.	Object	The route of the proposed footpath is indicative only. Residents' comments will be taken into account in the detailed planning stage if any proposal is submitted	No action required
R34	Residents	10.5.26	S10A.2(a)	The proposed footpath may encourage		Noted	No action required

				unwelcome traffic and parking in a residential area by shoppers driving north via Siddington Road.			
			S10A.2(b)	This is long overdue but would be best routed well away from the road itself. It is suggested that a new path could start near the junction of South Cerney Road and Siddington Road, cross the field to Church Avenue, proceed past the church, proceed across Wildwood Park and meet Siddington Road where it meets Cherry Tree Drive. It would have spin-off benefits to policy S5 (the redevelopment of Talland/Church Farm).		Noted	No action required
			S10A.2(e)	This would exclude many disabled people who cannot walk or cycle that far and therefore need access by car. It is a peaceful and quiet spot to visit.		Noted	No action required
R27	Resident	8.5.26	S10	Policy should include provision for a footpath/pavement along		Noted	No action required

				South Cerney Road to join up with footpath to Dobbies			
R40	Resident	10.5.26	S10A.2(e)	Unethical to use public money to remove public rights of way	Object	Noted	No action required
R43	Residents	10.5.26	S10	Whenever a new estate or group of dwellings is designed and built, sustainable transport (walking and cycling) and flood impact needs to be planned for.		Noted	No action required
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	S10	Detailed drafting comments.			

Comments on Policy S11: Protecting and Supporting Community Facilities							
CDC1	CDC	28.5.26	S11.2(c)	Still not sure how “suitable employment or service trade uses” fit into this. Last sentence of (c) not required (duplicates 2 and c combined).		Policy is considered clear and no changes to be made.	No action required

This page is intentionally left blank

Siddington Draft Neighbourhood Plan

Reg 14 – Jan 2026

Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) & Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)

Screening Report

Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) Screening

1. Introduction

- 1.1 This HRA screening report will be issued to Siddington Parish Council to assist in supporting the Reg. 14 consultation of the draft Neighbourhood Plan. Statutory consultees (Natural England, Environment Agency and Historic England) must be consulted on this HRA/SEA screening opinion. It is intended for that consultation to be simultaneous with the Reg. 14 consultation.
- 1.2 Siddington Parish Council are leading the preparation of a Neighbourhood Plan to provide locally specific planning policies intended to address issues identified as being important to the local community, particularly where those issues are perceived as not being adequately addressed through existing planning policies.
- 1.3 As the 'competent authority' under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (the Regulations) Cotswold District Council is required to assess development plans through the HRA process.
- 1.4 The purpose of a HRA is to assess possible effects of development plans on the nature conservation interests of sites designated under the Habitats and Wild Birds Directives. These sites consist of Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and also include Ramsar Sites.
- 1.5 The integration of the HRA process as part of the preparation of development plans is fundamental to the plan making process as policies in the plan can potentially affect designated sites.
- 1.6 HRA is an iterative process and the remaining stages will be completed alongside and will inform preparation of the Plan. **This is a screening opinion to ensure that any changes required can be made to the plan to facilitate the next stages of assessment under the Regulations.**

How do we do this?

- 1.7 The following assessment methodology will be followed to meet the requirements of the Habitats Directive:

Stage One – Screening

- 1.8 This comprises an initial analysis to determine whether the Neighbourhood Plan is likely to have a significant effect on any European sites. The Neighbourhood Plan will require appropriate assessment unless it is certain that it will not have a significant effect on any European sites.

1.9 Where there is a credible risk of an effect and in the absence of objective evidence demonstrating that there will not be it has to be concluded that there is a likely significant effect.

- Stage 1A: Identification of European sites relevant to the assessment, and analysis of them in terms of reasons for designation, factors affecting their integrity and trends affecting them.
- Stage 1B: Identification of underlying trends that could affect the integrity of sites.
- Stage 1C: Analysis of the Neighbourhood Plan objectives, proposals and proposed policies in terms of their possible adverse effects on the integrity of European sites, examination of options and alternatives to avoid or reduce these effects.
- Stage 1D: Identification of other plans and projects relevant to the assessment, to identify any likely in-combination effects. Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive requires that plans and projects likely to have a significant effect on a European site alone or in combination with other plans or projects shall be subject to appropriate assessment.

1.10 The ruling of the Court of Justice of the European Union in case C-323/17 People over Wind in given in April 2018 has had a profound effect on the approach to screening. Prior to this ruling it was established practice to take account of mitigation measures included in a plan or project when determining if that plan or project was likely to have a significant effect. However, paragraph 40 of the ruling states that:

Article 6(3)... must be interpreted as meaning that, in order to determine whether it is necessary to carry out, subsequently, an appropriate assessment of the implications, for a site concerning, of a plan or project, it is not appropriate, at the screening stage, to take account of measures intended to avoid or reduce the harmful effects of the plan or project on that site'


1.11 Accordingly, the benefit of measures intended to avoid or reduce the harmful effects of a plan or project must be disregarded when determining whether it is likely to have a significant effect on a European site.

Stage Two – Appropriate Assessment

1.12 Determination of whether any proposals or policies in the Neighbourhood Plan identified at the screening stage as having a likely significant effect would have an adverse effect on the integrity of any European sites, in view of the conservation objectives for those sites and the nature of the likely significant effect that has been

identified. Modifications to those proposals or policies are identified to avoid any adverse effects on site integrity.

2. Designated Sites (European) within a 10km Zone of Influence



North Meadow & Clattinger Farm SAC

2.1 Natural England Conservation Advice for Protected Sites:

Site name:	North Meadow & Clattinger Farm SAC
Designation type:	SAC
Site identification:	UK0016372
Location:	Wiltshire
Latest designation date:	01 Jan 1996
Qualifying features:	Lowland hay meadows (<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i> , <i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i>)
Designated area (ha):	104.14
Moderation/boundary changes:	Not applicable
Component Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI):	Clattinger Farm SSSI, North Meadow, Cricklade SSSI
Overlapping Protected Areas:	North Meadow, Cricklade National Nature Reserve (NNR)

This SAC comprises North Meadow and Clattinger Farm, two individual sites located approximately 4.5 miles apart in north Wiltshire close to the county boundary with Gloucestershire. Both sites lie within the floodplain of the Upper Thames and fall within the Cotswold Water Park.

Both parts of the SAC are located at the western end of the Upper Thames Clay Vales National Character Area (108). Clattinger Farm is 59.88 hectares in size and North Meadow is 44.25 hectares in size. North Meadow is a National Nature Reserve which is owned and managed by Natural England.

Both parts of the SAC sit within the Cotswold Water Park which is a manmade wetland created by the restoration of sand and gravel workings. The Water Park covers an area of 40 square miles with over 150 lakes of varying size. Prior to the exploitation of sand and gravel this area of the Upper Thames catchment was made up of floodplain grassland, river habitats and arable farming.

The SAC, along with a number of other associated smaller grassland SSSI, is a relic of the floodplain grazing farming system which was widespread in this area. Both sites sit on shallow glacial limestone gravel deposits which create free draining conditions that help to maintain the characteristic designated flora. The shallow gravel deposits at both sites result in a great seasonal variation in water table depth which has traditionally helped with hay meadow management leading to the sites characteristic flora.

North Meadow, which is located on the outskirts of Cricklade, between the River Thames and the River Churn is a Lammas floodplain meadow which has been managed by hay cutting and aftermath grazing for over 150 years. North meadow is known for a rich diversity of meadow plants, including the presence of around 95% of the UK's surviving

population of the nationally scarce Snake's head fritillary Fritillaria meleagris. The snake's head fritillary is also present at Clattinger Farm in a lower abundance.

Management of both sites aims to maintain traditional hay-meadow management of hay cutting from mid-summer, followed by grazing with livestock through the autumn and into the winter as ground conditions permit. This management allows plants to flower and set seed prior to hay cutting. Both sites, but in particular North Meadow, are regularly flooded by their adjacent watercourses.

In recent years, both sites have suffered prolonged periods of flooding which has threatened the continuation of traditional meadow management, particularly at North Meadow. The underlying shallow gravel deposits and adjacent watercourses at both sites present challenges for future management in the context of expected changes in climatic conditions.

As an NNR a significant amount of management at North Meadow is focused on managing visitor pressure, especially during fritillary flowering season, in order to balance conservation of the characteristic flora whilst allowing it to be accessible to the public.

Conservation objectives

The site's conservation objectives apply to the site and the individual species and/or assemblage of species for which the site has been classified (the 'Qualifying features' listed above).

The objectives are to ensure that, subject to natural change, the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and that the site contributes to achieving the Favourable Conservation Status of its qualifying features, by maintaining or restoring:

- the extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of the qualifying species*
- the structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats*
- the structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying species*
- the supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely*
- the populations of each of the qualifying species*
- the distribution of qualifying species within the site*

3. Screening of Policies

- 3.1 North Meadow and Clattinger Farm SAC is located outside the parish and therefore would not be subject to direct impacts as a result of the proposed Neighbourhood Plan.

- 3.2 As the SAC is within 10km of the parish, there could be effects in relation to recreational disturbance. The site description above particularly mentions managing visitor pressure as part of a National Nature Reserve.
- 3.3 Recreational pressure could be increased by allocating land for development. The draft Siddington Neighbourhood Plan does not allocate any plan for development.

Screened In:

- 3.4 None

Screened Out:

Policy S1 – Preventing Coalescence of Siddington with Cirencester

- 3.5 This policy proposes a “green gap” restricting development, to prevent the coalescence of Cirencester and Siddington, and is not considered likely to have any significant effect on a European Site.

Policy S2 – Settlement Boundary and Infill Development

- 3.6 This policy proposes a settlement boundary tight to the existing built form. Outward expansion of the settlement is specifically not supported. Infill development within the settlement boundary is supported in this policy. However, Local Plan policy DS3 (Small-Scale Residential Development in Non-Principal Settlements) already permits small-scale residential development subject to some criteria.
- 3.7 To note, in the Local Plan any settlement with a settlement boundary is considered a principal settlement. Neighbourhood Plans should not change the spatial strategy of a Local Plan. We are assuming that Siddington intends to be a non-principal settlement with a settlement boundary, meaning the provision of Local Plan policy DS3 still applies.
- 3.8 Overall, this policy gives clarity as to what constitutes ‘inside’ or ‘outside’ the settlement, but should not increase housing numbers disproportionately above those anticipated and taken cumulatively and in-combination with other plans.
- 3.9 This policy is unlikely to increase recreational pressure causing significant effects on a European site.

Policy S3 – Housing Mix

- 3.10 This policy specifies the type of housing supported in the Parish, with specific reference to affordable housing, but does not increase the housing numbers. This policy is not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European site.

Policy S4 – Housing to meet the needs of the community

- 3.11 The policy indicates the type of housing supported within the proposed Settlement Boundary (Policy S1) for Siddington, based on local needs. This policy is not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European site.

Policy S5 – General Design Principles

- 3.12 This policy supports high quality design and sets out a list of criteria which should be met by all development. This design policy is not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European site.

Policy S6 – Old Talland School of Equitation

- 3.13 This policy supports development on a brownfield site, which conserves, re-uses and enhances heritage assets on the site. This is primarily a heritage and design policy and does not specifically support or seek to allocate the site for housing, for example. The policy is not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European Site.

Policy S7A – Designated Heritage Assets

- 3.14 This policy aims to prevent harm to designated heritage assets. This heritage policy is not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European site.

Policy S7B – Conversion of Non-Domestic Historic Buildings

- 3.15 This policy supports the conversion to new uses providing it adheres with several criteria set out in the policy. This heritage policy is not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European site.

Policy S8 – Local Green Space Policy

Policy S9 – Important Views Policy

Policy S10 – Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland

Policy S11 – Flooding

Policy S12 – Sustainable Transport and Active Travel

Policy S13 – Community Facilities

- 3.16 These are environmental and community protection policies and are not therefore likely to have any significant effect on a European Site.

4. Required Mitigation

- 4.1 In the opinion of CDC's Neighbourhood Plans Officer, **there are no likely significant effects on European sites, arising from the Plan's policies. Therefore, there is no trigger for an Appropriate Assessment**, or a need to avoid adverse impacts through mitigation.

5. Other Ecological Considerations

- 5.1 Siddington parish includes five areas recognised for the importance of their habitats and wildlife. Protection of these sites is supported by CDC Policy EN9.

Cotswold Lakes SSSI

- 5.2 The Cotswold Lakes has been designated as a Site of Special Scientific Interest by Natural England. It covers over 2,000 hectares straddling three counties and includes 25 hectares in the south of Siddington parish. A SSSI is the highest national designation for nature protection and restricts both development within the SSSI and in adjacent areas which could have a negative impact.
- 5.3 However, in the case of Cotswold Lakes, as there are no SPAs or SACs (European designations) within the SSSI, the requirement for HRA is not applicable.

Local Wildlife Sites:

Shorncote Local Wildlife Site

- 5.4 Part of Shorncote Local Wildlife Site, within Siddington parish, is rough grassland with deep ditches and is selected for its importance in connecting habitats as well as bird assemblages. It has been regularly used by nesting Barn owls who hunt for small mammals in the grassland. The adjacent reedbeds are in the neighbouring parish of Somerford Keynes and Shorncote.

River Churn corridor



- 5.5 Local species of conservation concern include Watervole, as well as Otter and Dipper. The river also offers sightings of Kingfisher and supports various breeding birds including warblers as well as common freshwater fish species.

Canal (from Ashton Road to the Churn)

- 5.6 The Canal at Siddington, from Ashton Road to the Churn, is also designated as a Local Wildlife Site as supporting habitat for mammals. There is water in the canal seasonally and it supports many riparian plant species which provide habitat and food used by Water Voles.

Siddington Copse (Ancient Woodland)

- 5.7 Siddington Copse is Ancient Woodland, also registered as a Local Wildlife Site. It covers an area of 2 hectares and is broad-leaved woodland. It is classified as 'Ancient Replanted Woodland' in Natural England's Ancient Woodland inventory.



Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening

6. Introduction

Summary of Screening Opinion

- 6.1 Siddington Parish Council, as the qualifying body, is preparing a Neighbourhood Plan for Siddington Parish. The Plan seeks to address a number of local issues around protecting the village from coalescence, losing its rural and distinct identity, and protecting the natural environment, local green spaces and heritage assets.
- 6.2 It will be ‘made’ (or adopted) by Cotswold District Council as the local planning authority, and will form part of the statutory development plan for the area.
- 6.3 Based on the characteristics of the draft Siddington Neighbourhood Plan (December 2025) and the area characteristics, the conclusion of this initial SEA Screening Opinion is that the plan is ***unlikely to have significant effects on the environment and SEA is therefore not required.***
- 6.4 This screening opinion is to be sent (as part of the Reg. 14 consultation) to the statutory consultation bodies, that is: The Environment Agency, Historic England and Natural England in order to seek their views on its conclusions.

Neighbourhood Planning

- 6.5 Neighbourhood Plans are prepared by a qualifying body under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended). The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan is being prepared by Siddington Parish Council (as the ‘qualifying body’) and will be ‘made’ by Cotswold District Council as the local planning authority.
- 6.6 The preparation of Neighbourhood Plans is subject to The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 and The Neighbourhood Planning (Referendums) Regulations 2012 (and subsequent amendments) and the Neighbourhood Planning Act 2017.
- 6.7 Communities have a right to be able to produce a Neighbourhood Plan. However, communities are not required by legislative, regulatory or administrative purposes to produce such a plan.
- 6.8 Siddington Parish Council is devising a Neighbourhood Plan for the Siddington neighbourhood area (parish). Once ‘made’ it will form part of the statutory development plan for the area.

Strategic Environmental Assessment

- 6.9 To be ‘made’, a neighbourhood plan must meet certain Basic Conditions (as set out in Schedule 4B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, and in the Neighbourhood Planning General Regulations (2012) [as amended]) including compliance with EU obligations. One such obligation is the assessment of the effects of the plan on the environment. The procedures to be followed in determining whether a plan would be likely to have significant effects on the environment are set out in European Union Directive 2001/42/EC (the ‘SEA Directive’), which is transposed into UK legislation through The Environmental Assessment of Plans and programmes Regulations 2004 (the ‘SEA Regulations’).
- 6.10 The purpose of the SEA Directive is to provide a high level of protection of the environment and to integrate considerations of the environment into the preparation and adoption of plans with a view to promoting sustainable development.
- 6.11 The SEA Directive sets out criteria for assessing the significance of the impact of a plan or programme on the environment. Any assessment must consider both positive and negative effects.
- 6.12 In the first instance, it is necessary to ascertain if SEA is required. This process is referred to as ‘screening’.
- 6.13 This report presents the findings of an SEA screening opinion on the draft Siddington Neighbourhood Plan, provided by the Neighbourhood Plans Officer at Cotswold District Council. It adopts a proportionate approach in applying a series of criteria, as set out in Schedule 1 the SEA Directive, to look at the draft Siddington Neighbourhood Plan and determine whether any significant effects are likely.


Habitat Regulations Assessment

- 6.14 A Neighbourhood Plan could potentially have impacts on sites covered by the Habitats Regulations. The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan will be subject to a separate HRA screening assessment to ascertain whether an Appropriate Assessment is required under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, which relate to Articles 6(3) and (4) of the European Union Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild flora and fauna (the ‘Habitats Directive’).
- 6.15 A screening opinion has been provided confirming that appropriate assessment under the Habitats Regulations is not required (see Section 1 of this report).

Siddington Neighbourhood Area

6.16 Siddington is a rural parish, which adjoins Cirencester to the north. Some of the built sprawl of Cirencester town is within Siddington Parish at the NE corner around Siddington Road.

6.17 The Neighbourhood Area covers the entire civil parish of Siddington.



Siddington Neighbourhood Area

7. Siddington Draft Neighbourhood Plan

Vision and Objectives

Over the Plan period, the Parish of Siddington will retain its own identity as a village settlement distinct from the nearby urban centre of Cirencester and maintain its rural character and landscaping setting. The community will continue to be a peaceful, friendly, and inclusive place to live with thriving facilities for all age groups. New development will be sensitively integrated into the existing settlement and contribute positively to the immediate surroundings.

7.1 To achieve this vision, four key objectives have been set:

- *Protecting Siddington's identity as a rural village distinct from Cirencester*
- *Protecting and enhancing the natural environment*
- *Supporting, maintaining and improving the facilities in the community for all age groups*
- *Minimising the impact of traffic by maintaining and enhancing connectivity on foot, bicycle and bus.*

Draft Planning Policies

7.2 There are 13 draft planning policies to deliver the objectives, summarised below:

Policy S1 – Preventing Coalescence of Siddington with Cirencester

7.3 This policy proposes a “green gap” restricting development, to prevent the coalescence of Cirencester and Siddington.

Policy S2 – Settlement Boundary and Infill Development

7.4 This policy proposes a settlement boundary tight to the existing built form. Outward expansion of the settlement is specifically not supported. Infill development within the settlement boundary is supported in this policy.

Policy S3 – Housing Mix

7.5 This policy indicates the type of housing supported in the Parish, with specific reference to affordable housing.

Policy S4 – Housing to meet the needs of the community

- 7.6 The policy indicates the type of housing supported within the proposed Settlement Boundary (Policy S1) for Siddington, based on local needs.

Policy S5 – General Design Principles

- 7.7 This policy supports high quality design and sets out a list of criteria which should be met by all development.

Policy S6 – Old Talland School of Equitation

- 7.8 This policy supports development, which conserves, re-uses and enhances heritage assets on the site.

Policy S7A – Designated Heritage Assets

- 7.9 This policy aims to prevent harm to designated heritage assets.

Policy S7B – Conversion of Non-Domestic Historic Buildings

- 7.10 This policy supports the conversion to new uses providing it adheres with several criteria set out in the policy.

Policy S8 – Local Green Space Policy

- 7.11 The policy designates two Local Green Spaces within the Neighbourhood Area.

Policy S9 – Important Views Policy

- 7.12 The policy identifies six important views to be taken account of in development proposals and avoid adverse impact on the views.

Policy S10 – Trees, Hedgerows and Woodland

- 7.13 This policy supports measures to conserve and enhance valuable trees, hedgerows and woodland in the parish.

Policy S11 – Flooding

- 7.14 This policy sets out a series of criteria in relation to flood prevention, water management and drainage.

Policy S12 – Sustainable Transport and Active Travel

- 7.15 This policy seeks to preserve existing routes and encourage new routes as set out in part 2 of the policy. This policy also promotes active travel choice, and sustainable modes of transport.

Policy S13 – Community Facilities

- 7.16 This policy identifies seven community facilities within the parish to be protected in accordance with the criteria set out in the policy.

8. Baseline Information

Context

- 8.1 Siddington is a rural village in Gloucestershire, located within close proximity to the main urban centre of Cirencester, in the Churn Valley. Situated within the setting of the Cotswold National Landscape with its renowned local vernacular, it is an attractive place to live and work.

Biodiversity, flora and fauna

- 8.2 Siddington parish includes five areas recognised for the importance of their habitats and wildlife. Protection of these sites is supported by CDC Policy EN9.

Cotswold Lakes SSSI

- 8.3 The Cotswold Lakes has been designated as a Site of Special Scientific Interest by Natural England. It covers over 2,000 hectares straddling three counties and includes 25 hectares in the south of Siddington parish. An SSSI is the highest national designation for nature protection and restricts both development within the SSSI and in adjacent areas which could have a negative impact.

Local Wildlife Sites:

Shorncote Local Wildlife Site

- 8.4 Part of Shorncote Local Wildlife Site, within Siddington parish, is rough grassland with deep ditches and is selected for its importance in connecting habitats as well as bird assemblages. It has been regularly used by nesting Barn owls who hunt for small mammals in the grassland. The adjacent reedbeds are in the neighbouring parish of Somerford Keynes and Shorncote.

River Churn corridor


- 8.5 Local species of conservation concern include Watervole, as well as Otter and Dipper. The river also offers sightings of Kingfisher and supports various breeding birds including warblers as well as common freshwater fish species.

Canal (from Ashton Road to the Churn)

- 8.6 The Canal at Siddington, from Ashton Road to the Churn, is also designated as a Local Wildlife Site as supporting habitat for mammals. There is water in the canal seasonally and it supports many riparian plant species which provide habitat and food used by Water Voles.

Siddington Copse (Ancient Woodland)


- 8.7 Siddington Copse is Ancient Woodland, also registered as a Local Wildlife Site. It covers an area of 2 hectares and is broad-leaved woodland. It is classified as 'Ancient Replanted Woodland' in Natural England's Ancient Woodland inventory.





Population, human health

- 8.8 The most recent (March 2023) Local Insight profile for Siddington Parish, produced by OCSI for Gloucestershire County Council, and based on Census 2021 data, shows that the civil parish had a population of 1,350. This was over a 25% increase since 2001. The age structure is as follows:



- 8.9 This shows Siddington has a significantly older population than the county and national average.
- 8.10 Unemployment rates are low (below 2%) and about half the national average rate. 9.4% of people claim disability Attendance Allowance, whilst 5.3% claim Personal Independence Payment (both below the national average).
- 8.11 There is nobody in Siddington living in one of the 20% most deprived areas of England. In fact, the entire parish is in the 20-40th percentiles for least deprivation.

Issue: Ageing population

Climatic factors

- 8.12 No emissions data is available for the Siddington Parish. At district level, Cotswold has emissions of 2.76m KgCO₂e (2023). This has followed a downward trend since 2009 where it was 4.14m KgCO₂e. The district has a net zero target for 2045.
- 8.13 Car ownership levels in Siddington are higher than national and county levels. 87.4% of households have at least one car. This is typical of a rural parish with limited transport links. However, Gloucestershire reported that 24% of countywide emissions are for transport (GCCS, Dec 2023).

Issue: CO₂ emissions from transport

Soil

- 8.14 The majority of agricultural land in the Parish is Grade 3 i.e. Good to Moderate quality, with some pockets of Grade 2, Very Good quality agricultural land.

Issue: n/a

Water

- 8.15 The Swindon/ Wycombe/ Oxfordshire (SWOX) Water Resource Zone (WRZ) serves the parish. There is a High deficit of supply for demand in the SWOX WRZ and the area can be considered as seriously water stressed.
- 8.16 Most of the parish is within a Zone II Groundwater source Outer Protection Zone indicating there is some risk of contamination from activities that might cause groundwater pollution in the area.

Issues: Some level of risk to groundwater source pollution

Flooding

- 8.17 Much of Siddington, particularly parts of the settlement east of Siddington Road along the west bank of the River Churn are in Flood Zone 3 (with some pockets of Flood Zone 2), meaning there is the highest probability of flooding.

Issues: Flood Zone 3

Air

8.18 There are currently no Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) in either the parish, district or county. There are no significant and tangible air quality issues in the parish.

Issues: n/a

Material assets

8.19 The parish of Siddington has a number of facilities and services, all of which are in the main village of Siddington. They encourage and enhance a strong sense of community and contribute to the community's wellbeing:

- Siddington Village Shop
- The Greyhound pub
- Siddington Village Hall
- Siddington Church of England School
- St. Peter's Church

Issues: Other facilities easily accessible by car, in larger nearby settlements, could shrink demand and the viability of some of these assets.

Heritage assets

8.20 The parish has 15 listed assets and 2 scheduled monuments:

- Siddington House – Grade II (1340985)
- St Peter's Church – Grade II listed (1340986)
- Tithe Barn and one other adjacent to St Peter's (1090073); also a Scheduled Monument (1003445)
- Animal Shelter to east of Tithe Barn (1090074)
- Church Farmhouse – Grade II listed (1340987)
- Siddington Manor – Grade II listed (1340988)
- Roberts House – Grade II listed (1304080)
- The Round House – Grade II listed (1090069)
- Siddington Hall (Frazier's Folly) – Grade II (1090070)
- The Greyhound – Grade II (1090075)
- Upper Siddington House – Grade II (1340989)
- Upper Siddington Bridge – Grade II (1090036)
- School House – Grade II listed (1153896)
- Barton Farmhouse – Grade II (1090075)
- The Old Rectory – Grade II (1379927)

- Settlement SE of Chesterton Farm – Scheduled Monument (1003444). This is currently on the Historic England At Risk Register. The condition of the archaeology is extensive with significant problems. The vulnerability of the asset is Medium. An action/ strategy has been agreed but not yet implemented.

Issues: At Risk Scheduled Monument

Landscape

- 8.21 Natural England has divided the country up into 159 areas with similar landscape character, which are called National Character Areas (NCAs). Gloucestershire County Council has added further subdivisions referred to as Local Character Areas (LCAs).
- 8.22 Siddington is located on the edge of the Upper Thames Clay Vales (108), bordering the Cotswold National Character Area (107) to the north which encompasses Cirencester. The northern and western parts of the settlement and environs are located within the Dip Slope Lowland landscape type in the Kemble Dip Slope LCA. The southern and eastern environs lie in the River Basin Lowland landscape type in the Somerford Keynes LCA.
- 8.23 The dip slope land is primarily arable with medium to large fields. Hedgerows are well maintained and feature occasional hedgerow trees. There are numerous trees in belts and copses and along the defunct canal and railway. There are substantial tree belts enclosing the village, including mature trees in the disused nursery at Nursery View, and the limes and chestnuts flanking the canal.
- 8.24 The water meadows of the Churn flood regularly in winter with the river bursting its banks sending floodwater across the floodplain and inundating the fields for extended periods.
- 8.25 The Cotswold Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty is less than 1 mile northwest of Siddington, and the Cotswold Water Park SSSI is partly within the parish. Part of the Kemble and Ewen Special Landscape Area is within the western borders of the parish.


Issues: Continued pressure for development, which could have negative impacts on the landscape

9. SEA Screening

- 9.1 This section presents the SEA screening opinion assessment which is undertaken in two parts. The first part will assess whether the plan requires SEA (as per the flow chart which follows). The second part of the assessment will consider whether the Neighbourhood Plan is likely to have a significant effect on the environment, using criteria drawn from Schedule 1 of the EU SEA Directive and the UK Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004. The statutory consultation bodies (Historic England, Environment Agency and Natural England) will need to be consulted to determine whether they agree with the conclusion of this screening opinion.

Figure 1 Application of the SEA Directive to Plans and Programmes²

This diagram is intended as a guide to the criteria for application of the Directive to plans and programmes (PPs). It has no legal status.



*The Directive requires Member States to determine whether plans or programmes in this category are likely to have significant environmental effects. These determinations may be made on a case by case basis and/or by specifying types of plan or programme.

Table 1: Establishing the need for SEA

Stage	Answer	Reason
1. Is the PP subject to preparation and/ or adoption by a national, regional or local authority OR prepared by an authority for adoption through a legislative procedure by Parliament or Government? (Art. 2 (a))	YES	This neighbourhood plan is prepared by Siddington Parish Council (as the Qualifying Body) under the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by the Localism Act 2011. Once the plan is 'made' it will be adopted by Cotswold District Council and become part of the statutory development plan for the area.
2. Is the PP required by legislative, regulatory or administrative provisions? (Art. 2 (a))	YES	Communities have a right to be able to produce a Neighbourhood Plan, however communities are not required by legislative, regulatory or administrative provisions to produce a Neighbourhood Plan. This plan however is subject to 'provisions' that require it to be prepared in a formal way and if adopted would form part of the statutory development plan.
3. Is the PP required for agriculture, forestry, fisheries, energy, industry, transport, waste management, water management, telecommunications, tourism, town and country planning or land use, AND does it set a framework for future development consent of projects in Annexes I and II to the EIA Directive? (Art.3.2(a))	YES	The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan is prepared for town and country planning and land use. The plan sets out a framework for future development in the neighbourhood area. Once 'made' the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan would form part of the statutory development plan and will be used when making decisions on planning applications which may include development which may fall under Annex I and II of the EIA directive.
4. Will the PP, in view of its likely effect on sites, require an assessment under Article 6 or 7 of the Habitats Directive? (Art.3.2(b))	n/a	See HRA screening assessment in this report.
5. Does the PP determine the use of small areas at local level, OR is it a minor modification of a PP	YES	The Siddington Neighbourhood Plan does not identify land for allocation at the local level. However, once 'made' the Plan would form part of the statutory development plan

subject to Art. 3.2? (Art. 3.3)		and be used when determining decisions on planning applications of small areas at the local level.
6. Does the PP set the framework for future development consent of projects (not just projects in Annexes to the EIA Directive)? (Art. 3.4)	n/a	
7. Is the PP's sole purpose to serve national defence or civil emergency, OR is it a financial or budget PP, OR is it co-financed by structural funds or EAGGF programmes 2000 to 2006/7 (Art. 3.8, 3.9)	n/a	
8. Is it likely to have a significant effect on the environment? (Art 3.5)	NO	See Table 2 below

- 9.2 Criteria for determining the likelihood of significant effects on the environment arising from plans and programmes are set out in Schedule 1 of the SEA Directive. The criteria are split into two categories: those relating to the characteristics of the plan and those relating to the characteristics of the effects and areas likely to be affected.² An assessment of the draft Siddington Neighbourhood Plan against these criteria is set out in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Assessment of the likelihood of significant effects on the environment

Criteria (Schedule 1)	Summary of significant effects
(a) the degree to which the plan sets a framework for projects and other activities, either with regard to the location, nature, size and operating conditions or by allocating resources.	The Neighbourhood Plan does not seek to allocate land for residential or other forms development. Once made, the Neighbourhood Plan will form part of the statutory development plan for Siddington Parish and will be used in conjunction with the Cotswold Local Plan to determine planning applications in the area.
(b) the degree to which the plan influences other plans and programmes including those in a hierarchy	Due to the locally-specific nature of the policies, the effects of the Neighbourhood Plan on other plans and programmes within the wider development plan will be slight.

	Its policies are in general conformity with strategic policies in the existing development plan (the Cotswold Local Plan). The Plan has been prepared having regard to national planning policies and guidance.
(c) the relevance of the plan for the integration of environmental considerations, in particular with a view to promoting sustainable development.	One of the Basic Conditions which the Neighbourhood Plan must meet is to contribute to sustainable development. The approach adopted in the Neighbourhood Plan is to: • protect Siddington's identity as a rural village settlement distinct from the nearby urban centre of Cirencester. • protect and enhance the local natural environment for the benefit of future generations including biodiversity, green and open spaces, views, and footpaths. • encourage and enhance a strong sense of community by working together to support, maintain and improve the existing facilities for all age groups. • maintain and enhance connectivity throughout the parish on foot, bicycle, and bus to minimise traffic problems and reduce emissions.
(d) environmental problems relevant to the plan	The following potential environmental problems have been identified in relation to the plan: National designations: Cotswold Lakes SSSI Local wildlife sites: • Shorncote Local Wildlife Site • River Churn corridor • Canal (from Ashton Road to the Churn) • Siddington Copse (Ancient Woodland) Climate: CO2 emissions from transport Water: Some level of risk to groundwater source pollution Flooding: Flood Zone 3 Heritage: At Risk Scheduled Monument Landscape: Continued pressure for development, with negative impacts on the landscape

(e) the relevance of the plan for the implementation of Community legislation on the environment (e.g. plans and programmes linked to waste management or water protection).	Neighbourhood plans cannot contain policies or proposals in respect of waste management since this is 'excluded development' by virtue of the Planning Acts. The Neighbourhood Plan has no particular relevance for the implementation of other Community legislation, apart from its limited association with legislation designed to ensure continued protection of European designated sites.
(a) the probability, duration, frequency and reversibility of the effects.	The Neighbourhood Plan covers the period 2025-2031 i.e. a period of 6 years. However, the Plan does not allocate any land for development and will therefore not have any direct, permanent effects. Instead, the Plan establishes through its policies, a settlement boundary to direct new housing development to the existing built up area, protecting rural setting and the identification and protection of important green spaces, community assets and valued views. The Neighbourhood Plan is therefore highly unlikely to result in either temporary or permanent significant adverse environmental effects. The Neighbourhood Plan will also be subject to monitoring to ensure that a review of the Plan can be triggered in the event of non-delivery of its objectives or undesired or unintended consequences.
(b) the cumulative nature of the effects	The cumulative effects of proposals within the Plan are unlikely to be significant on the local environment given the relatively that the level of development proposed does not exceed that identified within the adopted local plan.
(c) the transboundary nature of the effects	Effects will be local with limited effects on neighbouring areas as the policies within the Plan only apply to the designated area.
(d) the risks to human health or the environment.	There are no anticipated risks to human health or the environment from the Neighbourhood Plan.
(e) the magnitude and spatial extent of the effects (geographical area and size of the population likely to be affected).	The population of the Parish is currently 1,350. The Plan is restricted to the neighbourhood area, and it is unlikely that the effects of proposals

	within the neighbourhood plan will have much, if any, effect beyond the Parish.
(f) the value and vulnerability of the area likely to be affected due to: • special natural characteristics or cultural heritage; • exceeded environmental quality standards or limit values; • intensive land-use;	The Parish can be assessed of being of medium/high environmental value, comprising: 1x SSSI (Cotswold Lakes) 4x Local Wildlife Sites 15x listed heritage assets 2x scheduled monuments The parish is within a 10km impact zone of an SAC (North Meadow and Clattinger Farm)
(g) the effects on areas or landscapes which have a recognised national, community or international protection status	The Cotswold Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty is less than 1 mile northwest of Siddington, and the Cotswold Water Park SSSI is partly within the parish. Part of the Kemble and Ewen Special Landscape Area is within the western borders of the parish.

SEA Screening Conclusion

- 9.3 On the basis of the assessment of the likely significance of effects on the environment of implementing the Plan as set out in Table 2 of this Screening Opinion, the conclusion is:
- 9.4 The draft Siddington Neighbourhood Plan does not propose more development than is set out within the adopted Local Plan, nor does it allocate sites for development.
- 9.5 In the opinion of the Neighbourhood Plans Officer at Cotswold District Council, having regard to the criteria set out in Schedule 1 of the SEA Regulations, the Siddington Neighbourhood Plan **is unlikely to have any significant positive or negative effects on the environment. Therefore, Strategic Environmental Assessment is NOT required.**
- 9.6 This conclusion is to be consulted with the statutory bodies at Reg. 14: Natural England, Historic England and the Environment Agency.

This page is intentionally left blank

Mark Harrison

From: Stuart, David <David.Stuart@HistoricEngland.org.uk>
Sent: 06 February 2026 19:00
To: Mark Harrison
Subject: Siddington pre-Reg. 14 Neighbourhood Plan - HRA/SEA Screening Opinion

You don't often get email from david.stuart@historicengland.org.uk. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear Mark

Thank you for your consultation on the SEA Screening for the emerging Siddington Neighbourhood Plan.

We also appreciate having sight of the current draft Plan as this provides us with the opportunity to familiarise ourselves with the community's policy aspirations and identify any matters which it would be useful to accommodate in its ongoing preparation.

The focus of our attention when considering SEA Screenings is proposals to allocate sites for development as experience has shown that these have the greatest potential to generate impacts on the historic environment.

We note that the Plan in policy S6 states that development will be supported at the Old Talland School of Equitation where it will ensure the conservation and enhancement of existing heritage assets on site and protect the setting of those nearby.

The SEA Screening Report states that the Plan "does not seek to allocate land for residential or other forms development" (Table 2, p24) and intimates that this policy is more about the heritage of the site.

In terms of how the policy is interpreted, it identifies a specific site and has drawn a line round it to identify its extent and stated that development of the site will be acceptable provided it ensures the future of the relevant heritage assets in a sensitive manner. The policy is not specific in identifying the nature or quantum of any development and indicates that development is regarded as an enabling tool to deliver against that objective.

Our view is that it would be quite legitimate to conclude that this is tantamount to a site allocation and given the concentration of high value heritage assets involved development in some form could well generate a likelihood of significant environmental effects. Indeed, given the policy's objective the conservation and enhancement of existing heritage assets would involve changes to the status quo and while these are intended to be positive they would still constitute "significant environmental effects" in its neutral SEA application.

At the same time, we note the non-specific nature of the policy and the criteria which are intended to ensure that any change is respectful of the significance of those heritage assets. And recent withdrawal of central funding imposes a challenge on Plan communities to produce a full SEA without the dedicated resources now to do so. In this case, a full SEA would be predicated only upon policy S6 and so it is reasonable to ask whether its requirement would be a reasonable expectation.

In heritage terms, there are other ways of demonstrating with evidence that policy S6, and thereby the Plan generally, can be delivered without causing harm to the historic environment in accordance with overarching national and local policy for the protection and enhancement of the

historic environment. We might also advise that thought be given to a tightening up in the policy of the criteria in heritage terms which need to be satisfied to fully convey here, as much as in the supporting text, the high value and multiplicity of the significance of the site and its setting. We would encourage the community to liaise with your authority's heritage team to facilitate this outcome.

On that basis we have no objection to the view that a full SEA is not required.

There are no other issues associated with Plan and its policies that we would flag up here or deem likely to want to comment on at future stages in its progress. We do however look forward to reviewing policy S6 and its supporting information when further consultations allow.

Kind regards

David

David Stuart | Historic Places Adviser

I now work only 2 days a week, usually Tuesdays and Wednesdays

Historic England | South West
1st Floor Fermentation North | Finzels Reach | Hawkins Lane | Bristol | BS1 6WQ
Direct Line: 0117 975 0680 | Mobile: 0797 924 0316
<https://historicengland.org.uk/southwest>



Ensuring our heritage lives on and is loved for longer.

historicengland.org.uk

This e-mail (and any attachments) is confidential and may contain personal views which are not the views of Historic England unless specifically stated. If you have received this e-mail in error, please do not use, copy or disclose the information in any way nor act in reliance on it. Any information sent to Historic England may become publicly available. For information on how to report a data breach, please see <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/report-a-data-breach>.

From: Mark Harrison <Mark.Harrison@cotswold.gov.uk>

Sent: 14 January 2026 17:30

To: Neighbourhood Planning <Neighbourhood.Planning@cotswold.gov.uk>

Subject: Siddington pre-Reg. 14 Neighbourhood Plan - HRA/SEA Screening Opinion

-- WARNING: This is an external message. Please use caution when replying, opening attachments or clicking on any links in this e-mail.--

Dear Consultee,

Please find attached a HRA/SEA Screening Opinion for the Siddington pre-Reg 14 Neighbourhood Plan (also attached).

Please could you **provide comments** on the Screening Opinion **by Friday 6th February 2026**.

Any queries, please get in touch.

Kind Regards,

Mark

Mark Harrison BSc(Hons) MA MRTPI
Senior Planning Policy & Neighbourhood Plans Officer
Planning Policy and Infrastructure
Cotswold District Council

P: Cotswold District Council, Trinity Road, Cirencester, GL7 1PX

T: CDC colleagues [chat to me on Teams](#)

E: mark.harrison@cotswold.gov.uk

W: [Planning Policy webpages](#)

My working hours are c. 9am-3pm Monday to Friday



COTSWOLD
District Council

Mark Harrison



COTSWOLD
District Council

The content of this email and any related emails do not constitute a legally binding agreement and we do not accept service of court proceedings or any other formal notices by email unless specifically agreed by us in writing.

Recipients should be aware that all e-mails and attachments sent and received by Cotswold District Council, Forest of Dean District Council, West Oxfordshire District Council and Publica (Support) Ltd may be accessible to others in the Council for business or litigation purposes, and/or disclosed to a third party under the Freedom of Information or Data Protection Legislation.

This page is intentionally left blank